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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

The 2014 Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) identifies the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor for a mid-term (2021-2030) Preservation and Rehabilitation project. The City of Moorhead currently has a project programmed for 2020 to construct improvements on $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South.

The purpose of this study is to:

- Consider a context-sensitive approach that considers the needs of all transportation system users
- Evaluate the current and future needs along the corridor
- Encourage input from the general public and $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South community through several outreach methods
- Identify short-term and long-range improvements that should be considered for future implementation
- Provide a framework for future project implementation and informed decision-making by City leaders

Different land uses exist along the corridor including residential, institutional, industrial and mixed-use. BNSF has five railroad tracks that cross the corridor just east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street.


An intersecting study is currently being conducted on US 10/75 which intersects the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study corridor at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (US 75) intersection. The teams for both studies have coordinated their efforts at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (US 75) intersection. The $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study is using Year 2040 for future traffic volume development, while the US 10/75 study is using Year 2045. However, the intent is for the proposed improvements at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection to be supported by both studies. The US 10/75 study will refer to this $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study regarding the recommended alternative improvements at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## Summary of Public Involvement

Study Review Committee (SRC): An SRC was formed to assist in the guidance and direction of this study. The SRC consisted of members from Metro COG, City of Moorhead, Concordia College, MATBUS, and Apex Engineering Group and its subconsultants.

Public Participation Plan (PPP): The study team developed a PPP document to guide the public engagement strategies for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study. The PPP identified the key stakeholders and outlined the various engagement tactics that would be used during the study.

Public Input Meetings: Two public input meetings (PIM) were held during the study:

- PIM \#1 in September 2018 (26 attendees)
- PIM \#2 in March 2019 (40 attendees)

Each meeting utilized an open house format with informational handouts and displayed exhibits, as well as a formal presentation. The public meetings were held on the campus of Concordia College, in the Birkeland Lounge at
 Offutt Concourse.

Online Surveys: Two online surveys were made available to the public during the study. The surveys were accessible from weblinks on both the Metro COG and City websites.

- Online Survey \#1 was available from July 3 - October 18, 2018. 172 responses were received. The most common corridor issues and needs identified by respondents were:
- Pavement Condition
- Pedestrian/Bicycle Connectivity and Safety
- Railroad Crossing Improvement at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Transit Facilities (benches, shelters)
- Trees and Streetscaping
- Online Survey \#2 was available from March 20 - April 22, 2019, and provided an opportunity to comment on and rank the proposed improvement alternatives. 26 responses were received:
- River Drive to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street - support for pedestrian/bicycle improvements, respondents slightly favored the shared thru-left at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street vs. widening for a short right turn lane.
- $8^{\text {th }}$ Street to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street - support for preserving trees over losing parking (on street bike lanes vs. widening sidewalk to create a path). Support for a future grade raise at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street to improve the BNSF RR track crossing.
- $20^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE - support for adding off-street path.
- Corridor-wide streetscaping opportunities - respondents generally supported these.



## Existing Conditions

- Traffic Conditions:
- No corridor capacity issues were identified with the current two-lane and three-lane sections.
- During AM and PM peak hours, all intersections currently operate at Level of Service (LOS) C or better, meaning that intersection delay is acceptable or better. The EB movement at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street does have a slightly higher delay.
- No queuing or traffic-backup issues were identified.
- Crash data from 2011-2015 was reviewed, and none of the corridor intersections were above critical crash rates.
- Pavement condition: generally in average to below-average condition.
- Parking and Access: Many different parking conditions are present along the corridor, and there are many private access points due to the high number of residential areas.
- Sidewalks and Paths: There are no sidewalk or path facilities from $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street to $6^{\text {th }}$ Street (north side), from $9^{\text {th }}$ Street to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street (south side), and from $20^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE (both sides).
- Utilities: Overhead power lines operated by Moorhead Public Service (MPS) run along the north side.
- Transit: MATBUS operates three routes along the corridor.
- Trees: 188 trees of varying species line corridor boulevards, including the "Crazy Tree" near $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.


## Future $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ No Build Conditions

If no improvements were made to the corridor, under projected 2040 traffic conditions the following occurs:

- The existing two-lane and three-lane roadway sections are adequate to handle future corridor traffic.
- During 2040 AM peak hour, all intersections currently operate at Level of Service (LOS) C or better, meaning that intersection delay is acceptable or better.
- During 2040 PM peak hour, $8^{\text {th }}$ Street operates at LOS D (below acceptable), with the eastbound movement failing at LOS F. All other intersections operate at LOS C (acceptable) or better.
- No queuing or traffic-backup issues were identified with 2040 AM peak hour. The $8^{\text {th }}$ Street eastbound thru and left turn movements do have queuing (backup) issues in the 2040 PM peak hour.



## Issue Identification and Needs Assessment

Issues and needs that were identified through SRC discussion and public input include:

## Traffic Operations and Roadway Geometrics:

- Increased future delay and queuing for eastbound traffic at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- A 10-foot offset shift across the intersection for eastbound traffic at $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- At $20^{\text {th }}$ Street, 3 -foot vertical grade change between the intersection and the BNSF RR tracks. This grade change combined with steep cross slopes can create unsafe travel conditions. Future potential for a quiet zone should also be accounted for at this location.


## Pedestrian and Bicycle Mobility

- Survey respondents identified the need to complete gaps in sidewalk facilities and create continuous sidewalk and/or a continuous shared use path through the corridor.
- Better connectivity for bicycle facilities with the surrounding path network (either on-street or offstreet).
- An ADA-compliant sidewalk crossing of the BNSF RR tracks at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Upgrading curb ramps to current ADA standards.


## Transit Facilities

- Ensure proper access and mobility at MATBUS stops, particularly highly used stops at $191 / 2$ Street and $25^{\text {th }}$ Street.


## Parking and Access Management

- Consolidate or eliminate private access points where possible.
- Review on-street parking usage and consider alternate uses for low-usage locations. Survey respondents indicated a preference for less on-street parking.


## Streetscaping and Trees

- Consider opportunities to incorporate decorative street paving and street art into the corridor, particularly through the Concordia College campus.
- Preserve boulevard trees to the extent possible.
- Take special care to avoid impacts to the "Crazy Tree" at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, which is considered a local landmark.
- Consider burying the overhead power lines to eliminate conflicts between trees and power lines.



## Study Recommendations

Based on input and analysis by the SRC along with public input and feedback, the following improvement alternatives are recommended for future implementation. Most of the recommendations are expected to be implemented with a planned project scheduled for 2020. Some improvements are identified as "long-range" as they will require a longer project development process and/or additional funding. Further environmental documentation or study may be required depending on the funding sources used by the City of Moorhead for future projects

## Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Route Improvements

- Install shared-lane markings "sharrows" from River Drive to $5^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Install a shared-use path on the south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Shift south curb to the north between $20^{\text {th }}$ Street and Main Avenue SE to create a boulevard wide enough to install a shared-use path along the south side.
- Install on-street dedicated bike lanes on the north and south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South between $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $191 / 2$ Street.
- Install a crosswalk at 19 1/2 Street.
- Install a concrete pad and waiting area with bench at the MATBUS stop west of $25^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Install pedestrian/bicycle crossing on east side of 20th Street at BNSF Railroad tracks.
- Improve curb ramps throughout the corridor to meet current ADA guidelines.


## Parking and Access Management

- Close parking lot driveways on $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South:
- North side directly east of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, and directly east of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- South side directly west of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street, directly west of $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street, and directly west of $25^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- South side directly east of $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street.
- Shift parking pullout on north side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South near $7^{\text {th }}$ Street further west.
- Remove parking area on south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South directly east of $9^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Shift parking lot driveway on south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South directly east of the BNSF RR tracks further east, away from the RR tracks.
- Install curb bump-outs around the southeast and southwest corners of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Street and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections, to keep parking away from intersections and to shorten pedestrian crossing distances.
- Paint curb near access points to deter parking in the access line of sight.


## Roadway Geometrics and Traffic Operations

- Reassign eastbound lanes at 8th Street intersection with a shared thru/left turn lane and a designated right turn lane, to reduce delay (this is supported as a long-range improvement).
- Realign $11^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection to eliminate horizontal offset and align the curb lines.
- Construct a grade raise at the $20^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection by adjusting the cross-slope on the east half of the intersection to improve the vertical profile of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ at the BNSF RR tracks (this is supported as a long-range improvement).


## Streetscaping and Trees

- Incorporate improvements throughout the corridor as roadway improvements are implemented.
- Bury overhead power lines (this is supported as a long-range improvement).


## Estimated Costs for Recommended Improvements

Some of the recommended improvements were determined to be already included in the base cost for the 2020 programmed mill, overlay, and pavement rehab project. These improvements are shown in the first table. The second and third tables summarize the estimated costs for recommended short-range and longrange improvements. All costs are in 2019 dollars.

| 12th Avenue South <br> Recommended Improvement Already Included in 2020 Base Project |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mill \& Overlay from $5^{\text {th }}$ St to 20 $0^{\text {th }}$ St; Pavement Rehab from 20 |  |
| Alternative St to Main Ave SE |  |


| 12th Avenue South <br> Short-Range Improvement Estimated Costs River Drive to Main Avenue SE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Estimated Cost |
| Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Improvements |  |
| 1A2 - Sharrows and Shared-Use Path from River Dr to 8th | \$90,000 |
| 2A - Shared-Use Path from 9th to 11th | \$110,000 |
| 3A - RR PED Crossing East of 20th | \$450,000 |
| 3B-10' Shared Use Path from 20th to Main Ave SE | \$250,000 |
| Subtotal | \$900,000 |
| Parking and Access Management |  |
| 1C - Access and Parking Area Removal \& Realignment from 5th to 8th | \$50,000 |
| 1D - Curb Bump Outs at 6th and 7th | \$75,000 |
| 2D - Access and Parking Area Removal \& Realignment from 8th to 10th | \$45,000 |
| Subtotal | \$170,000 |
| Roadway Geometrics and Traffic Operations |  |
| 1E1 - Short-Term Changes at SE Corner 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street for Future Lane Reassignment | \$110,000 |
| 2E - Realign 11th St Intersection | \$150,000 |
| Subtotal | \$260,000 |
| Streetscaping Improvements |  |
| 4C - Corridor-Wide Landscaping/Streetscaping Improvements Subtotal | \$415,000 |
| Short Range Total | \$1,745,000 |


| 12th Avenue South <br> Long-Range Improvement Estimated Costs <br> River Drive to Main Avenue SE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Estimated Cost |  |  |  |
| 1E1 - Long-Range Lane Reassignment and Re-Striping at $8^{\text {th }}$ St | $\$ 75,000$ |  |  |  |
| 2F - 20th St Intersection Grade Raise | $\$ 1,250,000$ |  |  |  |
| 4D - Bury Overhead Power Lines | $\$ 1,350,000$ |  |  |  |
| Long Range Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |



### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Study Background

The Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) and the City of Moorhead (City) commissioned a study of the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor between River Drive and Main Avenue SE in Moorhead. The 2014 Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) classifies $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South in Moorhead as a major collector west of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street, and as a minor arterial east of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street. The 2014 MTP also identifies this corridor for a mid-term (2021-2030) Preservation and Rehabilitation project. The City of Moorhead currently has a project programmed for 2020 to construct improvements on $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South.

The purpose of this study is to:

- Consider a context-sensitive approach that consider the needs of all transportation system users
- Evaluate the current and future needs along the corridor
- Encourage input from the general public and $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South community through several outreach methods
- Identify short-term and long-range improvements that should be considered for future implementation
- Provide a framework for future project implementation and informed decision-making by City leaders


### 1.2 Study Location

12th Avenue South is a 2-lane undivided roadway that runs east-west with a speed limit of 30 mph throughout the corridor (see Figure 1.1). The corridor has areas of on-street parking and on-street bike lanes. Different land uses exist along the corridor including residential, institutional, industrial and mixeduse. BNSF has five railroad tracks that cross the corridor just east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street. Key intersections along the corridor include:

## - 4th Street

- 5th Street
- 8th Street (US 75)


$12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South Industrial Area East of $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street



### 1.3 Intersecting Study: US 10/75 Corridor Study

Metro COG, the City of Moorhead, and the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) are conducting a corridor study on US 10/75 which intersects the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study corridor at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (US 75) intersection. The US 10/75 study started approximately three months after the start of the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study, and as of this writing (May 2019) is still ongoing. The teams for both studies have coordinated their efforts at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (US 75) intersection, particularly regarding the future traffic projection and analysis methodologies. The $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study is using Year 2040 for future traffic volume development, while the US 10/75 study is using Year 2045. However, the intent is for the proposed improvements at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection to be supported by both studies. Preliminary analysis on the US $10 / 75$ study does support the recommended alternative improvements at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street from this study.


### 2.0 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

### 2.1 Study Review Committee Meetings

A Study Review Committee (SRC) was formed at the beginning of the Study process to provide general guidance on the direction of the study, to assist in identifying issues and reviewing alternatives, to evaluate information prior to public viewing, and to relay information back to other members of their respective agency.

A total of four in-person meetings and one conference call were held with the SRC during the study. In addition, a streetscaping and art meeting was held in December 2018 which was not an official SRC Meeting, but did have several members of the SRC in attendance.
$\Rightarrow$ SRC Meeting \#1: May 16, 2018 | Kickoff meeting including study team introductions and initial discussions on issues, needs, traffic analysis process, and the public engagement plan.
$\Rightarrow$ SRC Conference Call: August 20, 2018 | The SRC reviewed and discussed comments on Draft Tech Memo \#1 (Existing Conditions), confirmed the future traffic projection methodology, discussed the online survey, and reviewed the plan for the upcoming Public Meeting \#1.
$\Rightarrow$ SRC Meeting \#2: October 18, 2018 | The SRC debriefed on Public Meeting \#1 and reviewed public comments received both at the meeting and through the online survey. Issue identification and needs were verified from the public input, and a discussion was held on alternative development.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Streetscape and Art Meeting: December 17, 2018 | This meeting was held to review concepts and ideas for streetscaping and street art near Concordia College.
$\Leftrightarrow$ SRC Meeting \#3: March 8, 2019 | The SRC reviewed and discussed comments on Draft Tech Memo \#3 (Alternative Development and Evaluation), summarized the coordination that was ongoing with the intersecting study on the US 10/75 corridor, and reviewed the plan for the upcoming Public Meeting \#2.
$\Leftrightarrow$ SRC Meeting \#4: April 30, 2019 | The SRC reviewed and discussed comments on the Draft Corridor Study Report and finalized arrangements for presentations to boards and councils to obtain approval for the final study report.

The SRC included participation from the following agencies and individuals:

| Metro COG | MATBUS | Stonebrooke Engineering |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adam Altenburg | Lori Van Beek | Kate Miner |
| City of Moorhead | Concordia College | Flint Group |
| Kristie Leshovsky | Roger Olson | Chris Hagen |
| Jonathan Atkins | Apex Engineering Group | Hanson Design Associates |
| Tom Trowbridge | Matt Kinsella | Jim Hanson |
| Steve Moore | Brent Muscha |  |

### 2.2 Public Participation Plan

The study team developed a Public Participation Plan (PPP) document to guide the public engagement strategies for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study. A copy of the full PPP document can be found in Appendix A.

The PPP identified the key stakeholders and outlined the various engagement tactics that would be used during the study.

### 2.3 Public Input Meetings

Two public input meetings were held during the study - one midway through the study and one near the end of the study. Each meeting utilized an open house format with informational handouts and displayed exhibits, as well as a formal presentation. The public meetings were held on the campus of Concordia College, in the Birkeland Lounge at Offutt Concourse. Advertising and notification tactics included:

- Posts on Metro COG and the City's websites
- Boost posts on Facebook and on Metro COG and City social media channels
- Posts to Nextdoor neighborhood social network app
- Mailed notices from the City to properties adjoining the corridor
- Print ad in the Clay County Extra newspaper
- Shareable emails and alerts were provided to partners such as Concordia College, Minnesota State University Moorhead (MSUM), MATBUS, MState, Eventide, Moorhead Business Association, Downtown Moorhead, Inc., Clay County Board of Commissioners, Mayor and City Council and numerous city boards and commissions.
- Moorhead Community Access Media (MCAM) also aired an advertisement on community access television


## $\Leftrightarrow$ Public Input Meeting \#1 - September 20, 2018

At the first meeting, the Existing Conditions and Future Conditions traffic analyses were presented. The goal was to hear from the public regarding what they viewed as the key issues and needs along the corridor. Approximately 26 members of the public attended the meeting. Meeting materials and a transcript of comments received during and after the meeting can be found in Appendix B.
$\Rightarrow$ Public Input Meeting \#2 - March 19, 2019
At the second meeting, the study issues and needs and proposed alternatives were presented. The results of the online survey were also summarized. The goal was to reflect back what the study team heard during the first round of comment and feedback, and to receive feedback on whether the proposed alternatives were in alignment with the public sentiment. Approximately 40 members of the public attended the meeting. Meeting materials and a transcript of comments received during and after the meeting can be found in Appendix B.


### 2.4 Online Surveys

Two online surveys were available to the public during the course of the study. The surveys were hosted on the SurveyMonkey platform and were accessible from weblinks on both the Metro COG and City of Moorhead websites.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ Online Survey \#1

Online Survey \#1 was available from July 3 - October 18, 2018, coinciding with the Issue Identification phase of the study. 172 survey responses were received. The survey consisted of 10 general questions about how the respondent used $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, what they saw as the key issues on the corridor, and what type of improvements they would be in favor of.

With that many responses being received, the comments received spanned across a large category of issues and needs. Overall, the most common topics commented on by respondents were:

- Pavement Condition
- Pedestrian/Bicycle Connectivity and Safety
- Railroad Crossing Improvement at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Transit Facilities (benches, shelters)
- Trees and Streetscaping

A complete summary of the survey questions and responses can be found in Appendix C.

## $\Rightarrow$ Online Survey \#2

Online Survey \#1 was available from March 20 - April 22, 2019, coinciding with the Alternative Development and Evaluation phase of the study. 26 survey responses were received. The survey consisted of 16 questions asking the respondent to rate the various proposed improvement alternatives on a scale of one to five stars. A complete summary of the survey questions and responses can be found in Appendix C.



### 3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Traffic Operations

This section is intended to summarize the description of data collection, methodologies for modeling the corridor, as well as operational, queuing, and safety analysis for the Existing Conditions. The following nine intersections were identified and evaluated along the corridor:

1. Elm Street
2. $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South
3. $17^{\text {th }}$ Street South
4. $4^{\text {th }}$ Street South
5. $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South
6. $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South
7. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street South
8. $14^{\text {th }}$ Street South
9. Main Avenue SE

Supporting data for the traffic analysis can be found in Appendix D.

### 3.1.1 DATA COLLECTION

In an effort to obtain all the data along the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S corridor necessary for both analyzing existing and proposed conditions, 12-hour turning movement counts for the nine intersections were collected in April 2018. The 2017 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes are required for safety analysis and were collected from MnDOT GIS layers.

Figure 3.1 displays the existing AM and PM turning movement counts and lane configurations of each intersection along the study corridor.

Crash data was collected for the last full 5-year period for which data was fully available, 2011-2015 from the Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool (MCMAT) database.
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### 3.1.2 MODEL SET UP

An existing conditions traffic model in Synchro was created, which included in-place geometry such as number of thru lanes and turn lanes, storage lengths for turn lanes, link distances, speed limits, and existing signal timing parameters. Separate files were created for the AM Existing Conditions and PM Existing Conditions, using the turning movement counts collected. Following creation of the models in Synchro, the files were output to SimTraffic for further analysis.

SimTraffic is a microsimulation software package that is the companion to Synchro. SimTraffic uses network seeding and microsimulation to predict and analyze traffic operations. Analysis results are generally based on actual observations of the modeled conditions, not on calculated values based on Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) formulas.

Results of the analysis are displayed as measures of effectiveness (MOE). MOEs establish quantitative information about the performance of an intersection. The primary MOEs that are used in the study are delay, level of service (LOS), and queue lengths.

### 3.1.3 EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing conditions include operational and queuing analysis of 2018 conditions as represented by the turning movement counts collected in April 2018. Safety analysis includes data from the last full five-year period for which data was available, 2011-2015. The following section includes methodology and results for operational, queuing, and safety analysis.

### 3.1.4 OPERATIONAL AND QUEUING ANALYSIS

The traffic operations analysis is based on methodologies documented in the Highway Capacity manual (HCM). The HCM contains analysis techniques for evaluating the operations of transportation facilities under various conditions, such as roadway and intersection configuration, intersection traffic control, type of roadway, number and type of lanes, impact due to presence of pedestrians, and many other factors.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ Delay and Level of Service

Operational analysis results are described in terms of Level of Service (LOS) ranging from "A to F" with "A" operating with the least delay and "F" operating with the most delay. LOS is determined based on methodology from the HCM, which defines LOS based on control delay. Control delay is the wait time experienced by vehicles slowing down for a signal, roundabout, or stop sign plus the stop time and the time for a vehicle to speed up and traverse the intersection control into the traffic stream. The average intersection control delay is a volume weighted average of delay experienced by all motorists entering the intersections on all approaches for a signalized or all-way stop intersection.

Intersection delay and corresponding LOS for signalized and unsignalized all-way stop intersections, as defined by HCM are presented in Table 3.1. The LOS delay thresholds for unsignalized intersections are lower than signalized intersections which accounts for the fact that drivers tend to accept longer delays at signals compared to stop or yield signs.

Based on standard practice in the traffic engineering industry, as well as guidance from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and conformance with MnDOT, the threshold for acceptable level of intersection operations is commonly taken to be the border between LOS D and LOS E. LOS D is considered acceptable and LOS E is considered unacceptable during the peak hour.

Table 3.1
Intersection Control Delay and Level of Service Definitions

| Level of Service <br> (LOS) | Average Delay (seconds/vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 10$ | Unsignalized Intersection |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $\leq 10$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |

## $\Rightarrow$ Queuing Analysis

Queuing at intersections can have serious traffic safety implications if expected queues exceed available storage. For example, if projected queuing for a left turning movement exceeds available storage in the turn lane, the queue can extend into the through lane and cause safety concerns with potential rear end crashes. Excessive queuing can also impede business, other private, or public access to and from the road. Finally, queuing analyses can determine whether queues are expected to dissipate during a signal cycle or on stop condition approaches, which can inform on the potential need for additional through lanes or other improvements.

Queuing values were taken from SimTraffic for average queue length and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile modeled queue length. The following criteria was used to identify "queuing issues" for particularly movements. A queueing issue was identified if any of the three conditions were met at a signalized intersection:

- Condition 1: 95th percentile queue length exceeds storage length and the movements operate at LOS E or LOS F
- Condition 2: Average queue length exceeds storage length
- Condition 3: 95th percentile queue length blocks upstream full access intersection

And at a stop-controlled intersection if the following was met:

- Condition 4: 95th percentile queue length exceeds 500 feet on a stop-controlled approach


### 3.1.5 CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The following subsections include planning level corridor-wide capacity analysis, intersection operations analysis, and queuing analysis.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ Existing Corridor Traffic Demand

Existing traffic demands were analyzed along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S corridor. Table 3.2 displays planning level capacity analysis using 2015 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes obtained from the FargoMoorhead Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The table shows that looking from a planning level only, the corridor is currently well below the planning level thresholds.

Table 3.2
2015 AADT and Capacity Analysis

| Segment | Existing <br> Roadway <br> Type | Section <br> Capacity | Existing 2015 | Additional <br> Capacity 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elm Street to 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 3,100 | 6,900 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $5^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 3,100 | 6,900 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 5,200 | 4,800 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 7,000 | 3,000 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $14^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 5,750 | 4,250 |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $17^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 4,700 | 5,300 |
| $17^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 3,900 | 6,100 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ Street S to Main Ave SE | Three-Lane | 18,000 | 4,900 | 13,100 |
| Main Ave SE to Ridgeway St $^{\text {Three-Lane }}$ | 18,000 | 4,800 | 13,200 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Planning level capacities are highly dependent on assumptions used such as access spacing, peak hour percent, directional distribution, saturation flow rates, etc. Values should not be used for operational analysis or final design.
${ }^{2}$ Positive numbers indicate that additional capacity is available. Negative numbers indicate over capacity

## $\Rightarrow$ Existing Intersection Traffic Operations Analysis Results and Conclusions

Table 3.3 displays a summary of AM and PM peak hour intersection delay by approach and by intersection, as well as their respective LOS. The reported approach and intersection delay was taken from SimTraffic and is based on the average of five 60 minute simulation runs. Note that intersection LOS is not defined by the HCM for thru-stop control intersections. This is because the minor approaches with relatively low percentages of overall traffic could experience excessive delay, while the mainline could experience little or no delay. The result likely would be low overall intersection delay, which on its face would indicate acceptable operations, when individual stop-controlled movements could be failing.

All intersections currently operate at LOS C or better during AM and PM Peak. During the PM Peak at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ the EB movement is operating at a LOS D with a delay of $38 \mathrm{sec} /$ vehicle.

Table 3.3
2018 AM and PM Level of Service and Intersection Delay ${ }^{1}$

| Intersection |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 은 | Location | Approach | LOS by Approach （Sec／Veh） |  | LOS by Intersection （Sec／Veh） |  | Los by Approach （Sec／Veh） |  | LOS by Intersection （Sec／Veh） |  |
|  |  |  | Delay | Los | Delay | LOS | Delay | Los | Delay | LOS |
|  | Elm Street | NB | 3 | A | 2 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{2}$ | 4 | A | 2 | N／A ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  | WB | 2 | A |  |  | 2 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 4 | A |  |  | 4 | A |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 0 | A |  |  | 0 | A |  |  |
| 耑 | 4th Street S | NB | － | － | 7 | A | － | － | 5 | A |
|  |  | WB | 7 | A |  |  | 3 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 7 | A |  |  | 6 | A |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 6 | A |  |  | 6 | A |  |  |
| 交 | 5th Street S | NB | 6 | A | 6 | A | 6 | A | 6 | A |
|  |  | WB | 4 | A |  |  | 5 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | － | － |  |  | － | － |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 7 | A |  |  | 7 | A |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \frac{N}{N} \\ & \frac{10}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 8th Street S | NB | 16 | B | 15 | B | 25 | C | 26 | C |
|  |  | WB | 16 | B |  |  | 24 | C |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 11 | B |  |  | 24 | C |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 21 | C |  |  | 38 | D |  |  |
|  | 11th Street S | NB | 4 | A | 8 | A | 4 | A | 6 | A |
|  |  | WB | 9 | A |  |  | 8 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 7 | A |  |  | 6 | A |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 8 | A |  |  | 5 | A |  |  |
| 号 | 14th Street S | NB | 5 | A | 7 | A | 6 | A | 6 | A |
|  |  | WB | 7 | A |  |  | 6 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | － | － |  |  | － | － |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 6 | A |  |  | 7 | A |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { た } \\ & \dot{2} \\ & \stackrel{5}{1} \end{aligned}$ | 17th Street S | NB | 4 | A | 2 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{2}$ | 4 | A | 2 | $N / A^{2}$ |
|  |  | WB | 2 | A |  |  | 2 | A |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 6 | A |  |  | 5 | A |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 2 | A |  |  | 2 | A |  |  |
| DNNccin | 20th Street S | NB | 10 | A | 13 | B | 10 | B | 14 | B |
|  |  | WB | 19 | B |  |  | 21 | C |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 12 | B |  |  | 13 | B |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 14 | B |  |  | 15 | B |  |  |
|  | Main | NB | 16 | B | 15 | B | 13 | B | 13 | B |
|  |  | WB | 16 | B |  |  | 15 | B |  |  |
|  |  | SB | 11 | B |  |  | 10 | B |  |  |
|  |  | EB | 24 | C |  |  | 23 | C |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Delay for all movements taken from SimTraffic reports．
${ }^{2}$ LOS is undefined for two－way stop control intersections

## $\Rightarrow$ Existing Queuing Analysis Results and Conclusions

Synchro uses HCM based equations to determine queues．SimTraffic is a microscopic model that uses observations based on simulation to measure queues．For its robust features，we have used SimTraffic tool for reporting average queue and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue by turning movements for each of the nine key intersections．

Tables 3.4 and 3.5 display a summary of existing storage lengths，average queues lengths，and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile modeled queue lengths for the AM and PM Peak Hours，respectively．Based on queueing analysis methodology previously identified，no queuing issues were identified along the corridor．

Table 3.4
2018 AM Queuing Summary

| Scenario |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | Storage (ft) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Average Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 95th \% Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| Elm Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 225 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | WB | - | 310 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | NB | - | 690 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 26 | - |
|  | SB | - | 330 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 34 | - |
| 4th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 340 | - | - | 37 | - | - | 51 | - |
|  | WB | - | 250 | - | - | 31 | - | - | 49 | - |
|  | NB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SB | 340 | - | 340 | 50 | - | 29 | 75 | - | 49 |
| 5th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 250 | - | - | 37 | - | - | 57 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 40 | - | - | 64 | - |
|  | NB | 690 | - | 690 | 45 | - | 31 | 71 | - | 52 |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8th Street S (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 315 | - | 24 | 50 | - | 56 | 91 | - |
|  | WB | 160 | 390 | 160 | 52 | 56 | 28 | 95 | 105 | 70 |
|  | NB | 130 | 710 | 710 | 40 | 160 | 143 | 107 | 244 | 223 |
|  | SB | 120 | 670 | 670 | 16 | 81 | 51 | 55 | 130 | 104 |
| 11th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 530 | - | - | 54 | - | - | 82 | - |
|  | WB | - | 340 | - | - | 49 | - | - | 76 | - |
|  | NB | 645 | - | 645 | 22 | - | 27 | 47 | - | 52 |
|  | SB | 650 | - | 650 | 26 | - | 50 | 49 | - | 80 |
| 14th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 545 | - | - | 42 | - | - | 65 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 54 | - | - | 90 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 34 | - | - | 55 | - |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17th Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 315 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 16 | - |
|  | WB | - | 240 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 19 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 30 | - |
|  | SB | - | 1045 | - | - | 25 | - | - | 50 | - |
| 20th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 170 | 300 | 170 | 26 | 41 | 14 | 56 | 82 | 33 |
|  | WB | 180 | 885 | - | 31 | 38 | - | 66 | 80 | - |
|  | NB | 200 | 645 | 200 | 25 | 77 | 14 | 55 | 140 | 34 |
|  | SB | 220 | 1450 | 175 | 13 | 89 | 19 | 38 | 154 | 48 |
| Main Avenue SE (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 800 | 130 | 11 | 60 | 6 | 34 | 115 | 28 |
|  | WB | 220 | 220 | 220 | 50 | 49 | 24 | 97 | 99 | 54 |
|  | NB | 180 | 1250 | 500 | 9 | 97 | 47 | 26 | 153 | 104 |
|  | SB | 240 | 530 | 500 | 42 | 51 | 27 | 86 | 96 | 63 |

[^0]Table 3.5
2018 PM Queuing Summary

| Scenario |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | Storage (ft) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Average Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 95th \% Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| Elm Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 225 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | WB | - | 310 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | NB | - | 690 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 18 | - |
|  | SB | - | 330 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 34 | - |
| 4th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 340 | - | - | 26 | - | - | 52 | - |
|  | WB | - | 250 | - | - | 21 | - | - | 44 | - |
|  | NB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SB | 340 | - | 340 | 40 | - | 19 | 62 | - | 47 |
| 5th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 250 | - | - | 42 | - | - | 70 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 51 | - | - | 75 | - |
|  | NB | 690 | - | 690 | 39 | - | 23 | 58 | - | 50 |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8th Street S (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 315 | - | 43 | 126 | - | 119 | 253 | - |
|  | WB | 160 | 390 | 160 | 104 | 63 | 49 | 164 | 148 | 98 |
|  | NB | 130 | 710 | 710 | 86 | 168 | 154 | 169 | 264 | 250 |
|  | SB | 120 | 670 | 670 | 55 | 172 | 157 | 129 | 264 | 250 |
| 11th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 530 | - | - | 44 | - | - | 67 | - |
|  | WB | - | 340 | - | - | 41 | - | - | 65 | - |
|  | NB | 645 | - | 645 | 24 | - | 24 | 49 | - | 48 |
|  | SB | 650 | - | 650 | 17 | - | 37 | 42 | - | 59 |
| 14th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 545 | - | - | 38 | - | - | 57 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 45 | - | - | 68 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 31 | - | - | 52 | - |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17th Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 315 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 16 | - |
|  | WB | - | 240 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 39 | - |
|  | SB | - | 1045 | - | - | 15 | - | - | 42 | - |
| 20th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 170 | 300 | 170 | 29 | 50 | 22 | 65 | 91 | 46 |
|  | WB | 180 | 885 | - | 31 | 50 | - | 63 | 103 | - |
|  | NB | 200 | 645 | 200 | 27 | 86 | 18 | 54 | 160 | 56 |
|  | SB | 220 | 1450 | 175 | 12 | 115 | 23 | 41 | 197 | 67 |
| Main Avenue SE (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 800 | 130 | 8 | 71 | 11 | 28 | 128 | 43 |
|  | WB | 220 | 220 | 220 | 33 | 40 | 13 | 70 | 84 | 30 |
|  | NB | 180 | 1250 | 500 | 7 | 71 | 23 | 20 | 121 | 66 |
|  | SB | 240 | 530 | 500 | 58 | 64 | 45 | 104 | 115 | 87 |

[^1]
### 3.1.6 SAFETY ANALYSIS

Crash and traffic volume data were collected and analyzed for intersections along the corridor. Existing average daily traffic volumes were taken from the online MnDOT Traffic Mapping Application. The nine intersections identified and evaluated along the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ corridor include:

- Three traffic signal controls at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street $S, 20^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ and Main Avenue SE
- Four All-Way Stops at $4^{\text {th }}$ Street $S, 5^{\text {th }}$ Street $S, 11^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$
- All other intersections operate as a thru-stop condition with the north-south approaches under stop control


## $\Rightarrow$ Crash Severity

Crashes are generally divided into five severity levels. Each severity level is defined below:

- Fatal (F) - One or more deaths resulted due to injuries sustained from the crash, either at the scene or within 30 days of the crash.
- Incapacitating injury (A) - This is a severe injury that prevents continuation of normal activities such as a broken bone.
- Non-Incapacitating Injury (B) - This is an evident injury such as bruising, abrasions or minor lacerations, which do not incapacitate the individual.
- Possible Injury (C) - This is an injury that is claimed, reported, or indicated by behavior but without any obvious wound. This includes limping or a simple complaint of pain.
- Property Damage Only (PDO) - This is a crash that results in no injuries and only damage to property.


## $\Leftrightarrow$ Crash Rate and Severity Rate

Crash rate, expressed as crashes per million entering vehicles at intersections, accounts for exposure and is used as a method to facilitate comparisons to other similar intersections or sections. Severity crash rate applies a weighted average to crashes more severe in nature, i.e. fatal crashes have the highest weighted multiplier. There were no Fatal or ' $A$ ' crashes at intersections, therefore severity rate was not calculated.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ Critical Crash Rate and Severity Rate

Using critical rates to compare against observed crash rates is considered to be one of the most statistically valid methods for identifying hazardous locations. Critical rates account for the type of intersection (traffic control, approach speed, environment), amount of exposure measured in volume traveling through the intersection, and the random nature of crashes. This analysis uses a 99.5\% confidence interval in calculating critical crash and severity rates.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ Critical Crash Index

Critical Index is simply the actual rate divided by the critical rate. A critical index in excess of 1.0 indicates that the actual rate is higher than the critical rate, and thus, from a statistical perspective, the location can be considered hazardous for the particular measure of effectiveness under consideration (crash rate and severity rate).

## $\leftrightarrows$ Safety Analysis Results and Conclusions

Crashes from the five-year time period 2011-2015 were queried from the online MnDOT Crash Mapping Analysis Tool. The five-year state average crash rates for different roadway intersections and segments were obtained from MnDOT's 2015 Intersection and Segment Toolkit and are listed in Table 3.6. These averages include intersections statewide in Minnesota. The table shows that there are three intersections with a crash rate slightly higher than the statewide average, but none of them above the critical crash rate. Indicating the intersections are operating with a normal and expected range.

Table 3.6
Intersection Crash Rates 2011-2015

| 12th Avenue S Intersection with | Total <br> Number of Crashes | Crash Types |  |  |  |  | Daily <br> Entering <br> Volume | Observed <br> Crash <br> Rate (crashes/ MEV) | Average <br> Crash <br> Rate <br> (crashes/ <br> MEV) | Critical Crash Rate (crashes/ MEV) | Critical <br> Index ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PD | C | B | A | K |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elm Street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |  | - |
| 4th Street S | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,850 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.79 | 0.09 |
| 5th Street S | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.84 | 0.10 |
| 8th Street S | 34 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24,550 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 1.03 | 0.74 |
| 11th Street S | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,050 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.78 | 0.18 |
| 14th Street S | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,675 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.87 | 0.45 |
| 17th Street S | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,350 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.17 |
| 20th Street S | 19 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17,475 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.70 |
| Main Ave SE | 10 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14,650 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.89 | 0.42 |

${ }^{1}$ A Critical Index greater than 1.0 indicates a hazardous location


### 3.2 Construction History

The available history of construction on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor is shown in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7-Construction History

| Year | Type of Work | Specific Location (if applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Drive to 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |
| Mid 1950s | Original Grading and Paving |  |
| 1988 | Full depth asphalt reconstruction | $8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ |
| 1991 | Full depth asphalt reconstruction, some curb replacement | $4^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ St |
| 2006 | Rehab -6" asphalt over 6" agg base | River Dr to $1^{\text {st }}$ St |
| 2006 | Mill and asphalt overlay | $1^{\text {st }}$ St to $4^{\text {th }}$ St |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Street to $20^{\text {ath }}$ Street |  |  |
| Mid 1950s | Original Grading and Paving |  |
| 1994 | Full depth asphalt reconstruction, some curb replacement (<50\%) |  |
| 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE |  |  |
| 1964 | Original Grading and Paving - 2" asphalt over 8" soil cement base |  |
| 1979 | Asphalt overlay (2") |  |
| 1988 | Mill and asphalt overlay (4") |  |

### 3.3 Land Use

Between River Drive and $20^{\text {th }}$ Street, land use is almost entirely low-density residential, with some moderatedensity residential properties located just west of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street. Institutional zoning is also present along the corridor (including Concordia College, Grace United Methodist Church, and the former Thomas Edison Elementary School), with some mixed-use also along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street north-south corridor.

East of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street, zoning is light and heavy industrial. Figure 3.2 shows the City's zoning map along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South.

Figure 3.2 | Zoning Map


### 3.4 Geometry

The horizontal alignment is straight on 12th Avenue South, since it is a section line road. The vertical alignment is flat, with the exception of the area just east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street, where the road grade rises to meet the BNSF RR crossing grade.

### 3.5 Typical Section

The existing typical street sections found on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor are shown in Table 3.8. All segments have sidewalks/paths on both sides of the street, unless otherwise noted.

Table 3.8
Typical Section

| Segment | Street Width | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Drive to 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | $36^{\prime}$ | - 2-lane with parking <br> - No sidewalk on south side between River Drive and Elm Street (1 block) <br> - No sidewalk on north side between $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street and $4^{\text {th }}$ Street (2 blocks) |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Street to $7^{\text {th }}$ Street | $32^{\prime}$ | - 2-lane with parking <br> - No sidewalk on north side between $4^{\text {th }}$ Street and $6^{\text {th }}$ Street (2 blocks) |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Street | 46' | - 2-lane with parking <br> - Bus pullout on north side of street |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Street to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street | 42' | - 3-lane (2 EB, 1 WB ) <br> - No parking |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street to $9^{\text {th }}$ Street | Varies $38^{\prime}-56^{\prime}$ | - 4-lane (3 WB, 1 EB) <br> - No parking |
| 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to $15^{\text {th }}$ Street | $36^{\prime}$ | - 2-lane with parking <br> - No sidewalk on south side between $9^{\text {th }}$ Street and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street (2 blocks) |
| $15^{\text {th }}$ Street to $16^{\text {th }}$ Street | 46' | - 2-lane with parking <br> - Bus pullout on north side of street |
| $16^{\text {th }}$ Street to $19^{\text {th }}$ Street | $36^{\prime}$ | - 2-lane with parking |
| $19^{\text {th }}$ Street to $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street | 48' | - 4-lane (3 EB, 1 WB ) <br> - No parking |
| 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to $25^{\text {th }}$ Street | 50' | - 3-lane with bike lanes both sides <br> - No sidewalk either side <br> - No parking |
| 25 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Ave SE | 56' | - 4-lane (3 EB, 1 WB ) <br> - No sidewalk either side <br> - No parking |

Note: Widths are from face of curb to face of curb.

### 3.6 Pavement Condition

The following sections summarize the existing pavement condition within the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South study corridor. The information provided is based on visual observation and construction history data.

## $\Leftrightarrow$ River Drive to 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

The existing pavement in this segment is asphalt and is generally in average condition, with some below-average areas present at the $4^{\text {th }}$ Street, $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (US 75), and $9^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections. Some cracking and patching is present, and some potholes have formed near $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and $9^{\text {th }}$ Street. The River Drive to $4^{\text {th }}$ Street segment was last rehabbed and overlaid in 2006, while the $4^{\text {th }}$ Street to $9^{\text {th }}$ Street segment dates to the late 1980s/early 1990s.
$\Leftrightarrow 9^{\text {th }}$ Street to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street
The existing pavement in this segment is asphalt and dates to the mid-1990s. It is generally in average to below-average condition, with cracking (some large cracks) and patching present.

## $\Leftrightarrow 20^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE

The existing pavement in this segment is asphalt and was last overlaid in the late 1980s. It is generally in average to below-average condition, with an area in particularly rough shape around the BNSF RR tracks just east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street.

### 3.7 Right of Way

The existing right of way width, as measured from the centerline of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, varies throughout the corridor, as shown below in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9
Right of Way Width

| Segment | North ROW Width <br> (typical) | South ROW Width <br> (typical) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| River Drive to $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Street | $33^{\prime}$ | $33^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Street to $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Street | $40^{\prime}$ | $60^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Street to $\mathbf{1 7}^{\text {th }}$ Street | $40^{\prime}$ | $40^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}^{\text {th }}$ Street to $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ Street | $40^{\prime}$ | $37.5^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ Street to $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street | $37.5^{\prime}$ | $37.5^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE | $36^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}$ |

### 3.8 Access and Parking

There are several different parking conditions and restrictions in place along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South. Figure 3.3 on the next page shows the areas where parking is allowed or not allowed, and the restrictions (if any) that are in place. The location and type of access points along the corridor are also shown on Figure 3.3.


### 3.9 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Figure 3.4 shows the existing sidewalk and bike lane facilities along the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor. Figure 3.5 on the next page shows the existing pedestrian volumes at each intersection for the AM Peak, PM Peak and Daily totals. The signals at the intersections with $8^{\text {th }}$ Street $S, 20^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ and Main Avenue SE accommodate pedestrian crossings in each direction. In addition, throughout the corridor there are either signed or painted crosswalks at the following locations:

- $\quad 3^{\text {rd }}$ Street $S-$ signed crosswalk
- $4^{\text {th }}$ Street S - painted crosswalk
- $5^{\text {th }}$ Street S - painted crosswalk
- $6^{\text {th }}$ Street S - signed crosswalk
- $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ Street $S-$ signed and painted crosswalk

Figure 3.4 | Sidewalks and Bike Lanes
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### 3.10 Lighting

Lighting along the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor is summarized as follows:
$\Leftrightarrow$ River Drive to 6th Street | Street lights are present on the north side of the roadway at each intersection, attached to utility poles.
$\Leftrightarrow \quad$ 6th Street to 9 th Street | Traveling through the Concordia College campus area, street lighting is present, occasionally on both sides of the street, and smaller sidewalk/path lighting are also present.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 9th Street to 20th Street \| Street lights are present on the north side of the roadway at each intersection, attached to utility poles, with the occasional light in between intersections.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 20th Street to Main Avenue SE \| Street lights are present on the north side of the roadway at periodic spacing, attached to utility poles.

### 3.11 Drainage/Storm Sewer

The storm sewer facilities within the corridor can be summarized as follows:
$\Leftrightarrow$ River Drive to $1^{\text {st }}$ Street $\|$ runoff is collected and drains west along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South to an outfall to the Red River.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 2nd Street to 6th Street | runoff is collected and drains west to the alley between $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street, where it drains south to $16^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South and then west to an outfall to the Red River.
$\Rightarrow$ 7th Street to 8 th Street $\mid$ runoff is collected and drains west along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South to $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, then south to $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, then west to the alley between $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street, where it drains south to $16^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South and then west to an outfall to the Red River.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 9th Street to 14th Street | runoff is collected and drains north along $10^{\text {th }}$ Street, eventually working its way to the Red River.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 15th Street to 20th Street \| runoff is collected and drains south along $16^{\text {th }}$ Street, then west along $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, then south on $13^{\text {th }}$ Street, then west along $16^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South to an outfall to the Red River.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 20th Street to Main Avenue SE \| runoff is collected and drains south along $25^{\text {th }}$ Street and is discharged through a pumping station into Ditch 47, eventually working its way to the Red River.

Drainage Eventually Works its Way to the Red River


### 3.12 Utilities

### 3.12.1 SANITARY SEWER

The City sanitary sewer facilities within the corridor can be summarized as follows:
$\Leftrightarrow$ River Drive to 8th Street | Sanitary sewer lines run along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South from River Drive to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street and from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street, in the center of the roadway. Sanitary sewer crossings of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South are present at each intersection. Material is primarily vitrified clay pipe (VCP) with sizes ranging from 8 to 12 inches. A 15 inch PVC pipe crosses at $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 8th Street to 15 th Street $\|$ Sanitary sewer lines run along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South from $9^{\text {th }}$ Street to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street on the north side of the roadway, and from $11^{\text {th }}$ Street to $15^{\text {th }}$ Street in the center of the roadway. Sanitary sewer crossings of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South are present at the $10^{\text {th }}, 11^{\text {th }}, 12^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}$, and $15^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections. Material is VCP with sizes ranging from 8 to 12 inches.
$\Leftrightarrow$ 15th Street to Main Avenue SE \| East of $15^{\text {th }}$ Street, there are no sanitary sewer lines that either run along or cross $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South.

### 3.12.2 WATERMAIN

The watermain facilities within the corridor can be summarized as follows:
$\Leftrightarrow$ River Drive to 8 th Street \| Water lines run along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South from River Drive to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street, on the north side of the roadway. Water line crossings of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South are present at each intersection except $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street. Material is a mix of cast iron pipe (CIP) and PVC pipe, with sizes ranging from 6 to 8 inches.
$\Rightarrow$ 8th Street to 15 th Street $\mid$ Water lines run along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South from $8^{\text {th }}$ Street to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street on the north side of the roadway. Water line crossings of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South are present at all intersections. Material is a mix of CIP and PVC pipe, with sizes ranging from 6 to 12 inches. There is a 12 inch asbestos cement pipe (ACP) that crosses at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street.
$\Rightarrow$ 20th Street to Main Avenue SE \| A 6 inch CIP water line ( $20^{\text {th }}$ to $25^{\text {th }}$ Street) and a 12 inch PVC water line ( $25^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE ) run along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South on the south side of the roadway. Lines of various size and type cross at the side streets.

### 3.12.3 OTHER PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UTILITIES

Several overhead and underground public and private utilities are present within the corridor, as summarized below. The information provided is based on visual observation and available data.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Overhead facilities | Moorhead Public Service (MPS) operates overhead power lines that run along the north right of way line through virtually the entire $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor, from Elm Street to Main Avenue SE. There are also numerous overhead service line crossings from this main line across to the south side of the roadway.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Underground facilities | Several types of underground utilities are known to exist within the corridor. Exact location, ownership, and type of these facilities is undetermined. Some of the underground facilities believed to be present include:

- Electric lines (MPS, BNSF, OTVR, Concordia College)
- Gas lines (Xcel Energy)
- Cable and/or fiber optic lines (Midcontinent Communications, Cable One, 702 Communications)


### 3.13 Railroad Crossings

Two railroad lines cross $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South within the study corridor area:
$\Leftrightarrow$ BNSF Railway (BNSF)

- 5-track crossing located just east of 20th Street intersection
- USDOT Crossing No. 062576 Y
$\Leftrightarrow$ Otter Tail Valley Railroad (OTVR) - This crossing is just east of the Main Avenue SE intersection but is within the functional area of the intersection.
- 1-track crossing located just east of Main Avenue SE intersection
- USDOT Crossing No. 080725V

Both crossings are signalized and gated. Photos of each crossing can be found below.

There have been no accidents at either of these crossings since 1990, according to the data provided on the Federal Railroad Administration's database.


### 3.14 Transit

MATBUS operates three routes in Moorhead that travel either along or across the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor. Figure 3.6 shows the routes and designated bus stops, and also lists February 2018 and April 2018 monthly ridership data for certain stops along the routes, as well as bike loading data for the entire year of 2017.

- Route 1 - Crosses $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South at the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections.
- Route 2 - Crosses $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $14^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections.
- Route 3 - Travels along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South from $14^{\text {th }}$ Street to Main Avenue SE, and also crosses at $20^{\text {th }}$ Street.
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Figure 3.6 | MATBUS Routes and Ridership/Bike Loading Data



### 3.15 Trees and Landscaping

The Street Tree Review is intended to be used as a resource while planning for improvements during the $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave. South corridor study and help determine proposed corridor improvement impacts on the existing street trees. This review is not a recommendation for street tree removals.

Impacts on existing street trees should be carefully evaluated before recommending removals. The City Forester and community should be an integral part of those discussions. Community "ownership" of existing trees is common and often a very sensitive issue to adjacent property owners and the neighborhood.

The Forestry Department for the City of Moorhead has maintained and nurtured these trees to become an aesthetic, safe, integral and valued part of the existing corridor. Although some trees may be identified as 'not the best tree' for certain locations as we review these trees today, the site conditions, technology, knowledge and practices may not have been the same as when they were installed. For example, the City Forester is tasked with caring for very large trees beneath powerlines and trees with existing/upcoming problematic disease or pest issues. These trees may have originally been selected out of economy or from much more limited availability. Trees were also selected during times when particular diseases and/or pest issues were not in evidence as they are today.

The 12th Avenue South corridor contains existing street trees of the following species:

- American Elm (58)
- Linden (8)
- Lilac Tree (2)
- Chokecherry (34)
- Maple (7)
- Pear (2)
- Crabapple (28)
- Hedges (7)
- Apple (1)
- Ash (26)
- Coffeetree (3)
- Hackberry (1)
- Amur Chokecherry (9)
- Hawthorn (2)

The corridor is dominated by large mature American Elm, Chokecherry, Crabapple, Green Ash, with lesser amounts of Amur Chokecherry, Linden and Maple and others.

The American Elm, Green Ash, Linden and Maple generally appear to be in good condition. Several of these large trees located beneath power lines, appear to be healthy, but have been topped to clear the powerlines. Topping increases the potential for disease by opening wounds, increases the maintenance and impacts the aesthetics.

The Chokecherry, Crabapple and Amur Chokecherry are at or past maturity. These trees are showing evidence of decline and or other health issues. Amur Chokecherry have large trunks, with several trunks/branches that appear to be splitting. Trunk rot is suspected. The Chokecherry are large and appear in generally good shape, but have the fungal disease 'Black Knot' in vary degrees from a few to numerous branches. Maintenance of the fungal disease is by frequent pruning, before the disease has a chance to enter main branches or the trunk. The Chokecherry trees located beneath power lines, have been topped, which increases the maintenance and impacts the aesthetics.


Physical constraints on the existing street trees that are affecting the overall condition and evaluation of the trees include the width of the boulevard and overhead power lines. There are trees that are of large size with flare roots grown to the curb and are also lifting adjacent sidewalks. Overhead power lines have required the 'topping' of trees to keep branches from interfering with the lines.

Table 3.10 and Figure 3.7 show a summary of the existing street tree conditions and locations.

The following is a summary of the existing street trees. The summary indicates the street trees as in Condition 1, 2 or 3.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Condition 1: Street Trees that appear healthy and are appropriate size/species for the location.
$\Rightarrow$ Condition 2: Street Trees that appear in reasonably good health but may have one or more existing or potential negative issues.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Condition 3: Street Trees that may be inappropriate for the location based on size/species, have evidence of disease, condition issues or already high-maintenance.

Table 3.10
Existing Tree Condition

| Species | No. of Trees | Condition |  |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| American Elm | 58 | 40 | 3 | 15 | - Cond. 2 - Trees are lifting sidewalks and/or curbs. <br> - Cond. 3 - Trees are beneath powerlines and have been topped. |
| Chokecherry | 34 | 0 | 1 | 33 | - Cond. 2 - Potential for fungal disease. <br> - Cond. 3- Numerous topping and evident fungal disease. |
| Crabapple | 28 | 6 | 5 | 17 | - Cond. 2 - Some die-back, size issues for boulevard. <br> - Cond. 3 - Significant trunk/branch issues, size issues. |
| Ash | 26 | 0 | 25 | 1 | - Cond. 2 - Future potential for Emerald Ash Borer. <br> - Cond 3. - Tree topped. |
| Amur <br> Chokecherry | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | - Cond. 3 - Trees are past maturity with stem/branch issues. |
| Linden | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | - Cond. 3 - Tree is suckering, which may be sign of health issues. |
| Maple | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hedges | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | - Cond. 2 - Hedges are acting as buffers. |
| Coffeetree | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hawthorn | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lilac | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pear | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Apple | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - Cond. 3 - Inappropriate species for street tree. |
| Hackberry | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |


Figure 3.7 | Existing Tree Locations and Conditions (2 of 2)
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### 4.0 FUTURE 2040 NO BUILD CONDITIONS

### 4.1 Future 2040 No Build Conditions

2040 was chosen as the analysis year so that analysis from this study will be consistent with regional planning. Future 2040 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) were obtained from the Fargo-Moorhead 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and can be found in Table 4.1. Table 4.1 also displays the planning level capacities and shows that the existing roadway sections today have adequate capacity to handle the 2040 projected volumes. Supporting data for the traffic analysis can be found in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

Table 4.1
2015 and 2040 AADT and Capacity Analysis

|  | Segment |  | Existing <br> Roadway <br> Type | Section <br> Capacity | Existing <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Forecast <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elm Street to 4th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided <br> Capacity <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 10,000 | 3,100 | 4,700 | 6,900 | 5,300 |
| 4th Street S to 5th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 3,100 | 4,700 | 6,900 | 5,300 |
| 5th Street S to 8th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 5,200 | 4,900 | 4,800 | 5,100 |
| 8th Street S to 11th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 7,000 | 9,700 | 3,000 | 300 |
| 11th Street S to 14th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 5,750 | 9,500 | 4,250 | 500 |
| 14th Street S to 17th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 4,700 | 8,700 | 5,300 | 1,300 |
| 17th Street S to 20th Street S | Two-Lane <br> Undivided | 10,000 | 3,900 | 9,200 | 6,100 | 800 |
| 20th Street S to Main Ave SE | Three-Lane | 18,000 | 4,900 | 9,000 | 13,100 | 9,000 |
| Main Ave SE to Ridgeway St | Three-Lane | 18,000 | 4,800 | 10,600 | 13,200 | 7,400 |

${ }^{1}$ Planning level capacities are highly dependent on assumptions used such as access spacing, peak hour percent, directional distribution, saturation flow rates, etc. Values should not be used for operational analysis or final design.
${ }^{2}$ Positive numbers indicate that additional capacity is available. Negative numbers indicate over capacity.

Using the 2015 and 2040 AADT volumes from the Fargo-Moorhead Metro COG an annual growth rate was calculated for each section of the corridor and the cross streets. This growth rate was applied to the 2018 existing turning movement counts to determine the future 2040 turning movement counts. Figure 4.1 on the next page displays the 2040 projected AM and PM turning movement counts and existing lane configuration for the intersections along the corridor.
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### 4.2 Future 2040 No Build Conditions Operational Analysis

Methodology for operational and queuing analysis was the same as that described in Technical Memorandum \#1 - Existing Conditions. The geometric characteristics for the 2040 No Build models are the same as the 2018 Existing Conditions. Updated, projected 2040 turning movement volumes were input and model optimizations were completed for signal timings.

Table 4.2 displays a summary of 2040 AM and PM peak hour intersection delay by approach and intersection, as well as their respective Level of Service (LOS). The reported delays for approach and intersections were taken from SimTraffic and is based on the average of five 60-minute simulation runs. LOS E is highlighted in yellow and LOS F is highlighted in red. Note that intersection LOS is not defined by the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) for thru-stop control intersections. This is because the minor approaches with relatively low percentages of overall traffic could experience excessive delay, while the mainline could experience little or no delay. The result likely would be low overall intersection delay, which on its face would indicate acceptable operations, when individual stopcontrolled movements could be failing.

In the 2040 AM peak hour, all intersections operate with a LOS C or higher.

In the $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ PM peak hour, the intersection with $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street operates at an overall LOS D with the eastbound movements operating at a LOS F. All other intersections operate at a LOS C or higher.


### 4.3 Future 2040 No Build Conditions Queuing Analysis

Tables 4.3 and 4.4 display storage lengths, average queue lengths, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for the 2040 AM and PM Peak Hours, respectively. Queue lengths were taken from SimTraffic output. Red shading indicates average or $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths that exceed the available storage length.

Based on the queuing analysis methodology identified in Technical Memorandum \# 1 where if the following criteria are met then "queuing issues" are identified:

- Condition 1: 95th percentile queue length exceeds storage length and the movements operate at LOS E or LOS F
- Condition 2: Average queue length exceeds storage length
- Condition 3: 95th percentile queue length blocks upstream full access intersection

And at a stop-controlled intersection if the following was met:

- Condition 4: 95th percentile queue length exceeds 500 feet on a stop-controlled approach

Based on the above criteria there are no intersections that experience queuing issues in the $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ AM Peak hour.

The following intersections experienced queuing issues in the 2040 PM Peak hour:

- 8th Street S: Eastbound thru lane meets Condition 1 and Condition 2; and Eastbound left lane meets Condition 1.
$\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street Intersection


Table 4.3
2040 No Build AM Queuing Summary

| Scenario |  | 2040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | Storage (ft) |  |  | Average Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 95th \% Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| Elm Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 225 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | WB | - | 310 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | NB | - | 690 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 22 | - |
|  | SB | - | 330 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 34 | - |
| 4th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 340 | - | - | 29 | - | - | 52 | - |
|  | WB | - | 250 | - | - | 37 | - | - | 62 | - |
|  | NB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SB | 340 | - | 340 | 55 | - | 31 | 80 | - | 50 |
| 5th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 250 | - | - | 44 | - | - | 73 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 42 | - | - | 70 | - |
|  | NB | 690 | - | 690 | 53 | - | 38 | 78 | - | 65 |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 315 | - | 23 | 55 | - | 58 | 104 | - |
|  | WB | 160 | 390 | 160 | 67 | 76 | 45 | 115 | 133 | 92 |
|  | NB | 130 | 710 | 710 | 50 | 215 | 189 | 130 | 355 | 330 |
|  | SB | 120 | 670 | 670 | 20 | 85 | 50 | 56 | 146 | 113 |
| 11th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 530 | - | - | 62 | - | - | 97 | - |
|  | WB | - | 340 | - | - | 72 | - | - | 114 | - |
|  | NB | 645 | - | 645 | 29 | - | 32 | 53 | - | 50 |
|  | SB | 650 | - | 650 | 27 | - | 64 | 49 | - | 100 |
| 14th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 545 | - | - | 52 | - | - | 75 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 72 | - | - | 109 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 37 | - | - | 56 | - |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17th Street S (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 315 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 36 | - |
|  | WB | - | 240 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 26 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 30 | - |
|  | SB | - | 1045 | - | - | 28 | - | - | 54 | - |
| 20th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 170 | 300 | 170 | 51 | 91 | 28 | 108 | 169 | 80 |
|  | WB | 180 | 885 | - | 59 | 86 | - | 114 | 158 | - |
|  | NB | 200 | 645 | 200 | 35 | 119 | 18 | 69 | 207 | 54 |
|  | SB | 220 | 1450 | 175 | 22 | 155 | 30 | 96 | 250 | 92 |
| Main Avenue SE (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 800 | 130 | 21 | 120 | 21 | 71 | 217 | 90 |
|  | WB | 220 | 220 | 220 | 111 | 120 | 62 | 200 | 223 | 140 |
|  | NB | 180 | 1250 | 500 | 15 | 155 | 102 | 48 | 232 | 199 |
|  | SB | 240 | 530 | 500 | 67 | 84 | 52 | 121 | 147 | 108 |

[^2]Table 4.4
2040 No Build PM Queuing Summary

| Scenario |  | 2040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Appr | Storage (ft) |  |  | Average Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 95th \% Queue (ft) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| Elm Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 225 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | WB | - | 310 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | NB | - | 690 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 14 | - |
|  | SB | - | 330 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 33 | - |
| 4th Street S <br> (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 340 | - | - | 28 | - | - | 50 | - |
|  | WB | - | 250 | - | - | 26 | - | - | 48 | - |
|  | NB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SB | 340 | - | 340 | 49 | - | 22 | 74 | - | 46 |
| 5th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 250 | - | - | 59 | - | - | 104 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 56 | - | - | 86 | - |
|  | NB | 690 | - | 690 | 46 | - | 30 | 70 | - | 57 |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 315 | - | 73 | 318 | - | 176 | 749 | - |
|  | WB | 160 | 390 | 160 | 150 | 185 | 63 | 212 | 455 | 133 |
|  | NB | 130 | 710 | 710 | 119 | 241 | 226 | 189 | 378 | 352 |
|  | SB | 120 | 670 | 670 | 69 | 215 | 198 | 155 | 325 | 303 |
| 11th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 530 | - | - | 54 | - | - | 87 | - |
|  | WB | - | 340 | - | - | 50 | - | - | 74 | - |
|  | NB | 645 | - | 645 | 29 | - | 28 | 53 | - | 51 |
|  | SB | 650 | - | 650 | 21 | - | 43 | 46 | - | 68 |
| 14th Street S (All-Way Stop) | EB | - | 545 | - | - | 46 | - | - | 71 | - |
|  | WB | - | 350 | - | - | 63 | - | - | 96 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 33 | - | - | 51 | - |
|  | SB | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17th Street S <br> (Thru-Stop) | EB | - | 315 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 26 | - |
|  | WB | - | 240 | - | - | 7 | - | - | 38 | - |
|  | NB | - | 645 | - | - | 11 | - | - | 36 | - |
|  | SB | - | 1045 | - | - | 17 | - | - | 44 | - |
| 20th Street S <br> (Signalized) | EB | 170 | 300 | 170 | 55 | 117 | 54 | 109 | 221 | 134 |
|  | WB | 180 | 885 | - | 63 | 101 | - | 122 | 188 | - |
|  | NB | 200 | 645 | 200 | 39 | 144 | 24 | 98 | 256 | 89 |
|  | SB | 220 | 1450 | 175 | 16 | 193 | 48 | 44 | 330 | 146 |
| Main Avenue SE (Signalized) | EB | 130 | 800 | 130 | 19 | 148 | 38 | 71 | 250 | 125 |
|  | WB | 220 | 220 | 220 | 56 | 93 | 26 | 110 | 158 | 62 |
|  | NB | 180 | 1250 | 500 | 7 | 119 | 65 | 24 | 183 | 142 |
|  | SB | 240 | 530 | 500 | 132 | 126 | 104 | 233 | 274 | 220 |

[^3]
### 4.4 Traffic Operations Conclusion

The $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ corridor will be below planning level capacity thresholds for the Existing and Future No-Build conditions but will experience traffic operational failures for the eastbound movements at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ due to increased traffic volume and delay that will be generated by the year 2040.
$12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S and $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Street Intersection
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### 5.0 ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The following issues have been identified along the corridor based on factors including stakeholder input, public input, existing conditions, and the 2040 projected traffic volumes. The study review committee met on several occasions to discuss the existing conditions, public input received, and streetscaping. Public input was gathered through an open-house format meeting that included a formal presentation, as well as an online survey.


### 5.1 Traffic Operations and Roadway Geometrics

Of the nine intersections evaluated along the corridor, all provided an acceptable Level of Service (LOS) of D or above in the existing and future condition analysis except the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South intersection. Here, the eastbound traffic experienced queuing issues and operated at a LOS F in the future 2040 PM Peak hour.

The intersections at $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South and $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South could be improved to provide more desirable geometric features including horizontal or vertical alignment adjustments. At the intersection of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South, $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is offset 10 feet horizontally across the intersection. Moorhead City Code 11-5-7 prohibits intersection jogs with centerline jogs of less than 150 feet. There are several streets intersecting $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South with a centerline jog, though the impacts of realigning those streets would be significant.

11th Street South Intersection, Facing East


At the $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South intersection, there is a 3 foot vertical profile change between the intersection and the BNSF Railroad tracks 60 feet to the east. This vertical grade change combined with steep cross slopes can cause buses and other large vehicles stopped at the railroad tracks to lose traction and slide off the roadway in winter conditions.

The BNSF Railroad crossing east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South should also be considered for quiet zone improvements. This location was evaluated in the City's previous Quite Zone Study. Future improvements should be reflective of the recommendations of that study, accounting for any changes in current conditions.

20th Street South Intersection, Facing North


### 5.2 Pedestrian and Bicycle Mobility

Providing a safe and connected system for pedestrians and bicycle users was a clear concern from the respondents to the online survey for public input. Nearly all respondents agreed that a continuous sidewalk on both sides of the roadway, or a continuous shared use path on one side of the roadway would be an enhancement to the corridor. Over half of the respondents also noted the need for an improved crossing at the BNSF Railroad tracks east of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South.

Most of the sidewalk curb ramps throughout the corridor do not meet current ADA design guidelines. There are also curb ramps that could be moved to improve crossing locations, and some that could be removed as there is no connecting ramp on the other side of the roadway.

Many Sidewalk Curb Ramps Do Not Meet Current ADA Guidelines or Do Not Align


A theme of the 2014 Moorhead River Corridor Master Plan is to support enhanced recreational opportunities for the Red River corridor through enhanced connectivity to the river. This need was further supported through public input gathered in the 2016 FM Metropolitan Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan with two of the most common comments received relating to "better connectivity" and "more bike lanes". As a result, the study team prioritized a short-term project for bike facilities on 12th Avenue South between the Red River and 20th street.

### 5.3 Transit Facilities

The current MATBUS stop locations were evaluated for improvements. MATBUS considers shelters for locations meeting a variety of criteria including open areas, available parking, surrounding amenities, commercial/educational/government/medical facility areas, high density, low income, and high ridership areas. The stop at $191 / 2$ Street South has the highest ridership but is near private property and not a good candidate for a shelter. Many public input comments were received regarding the stop at $25^{\text {th }}$ Street South. Although there is not currently high enough ridership to warrant a shelter at this location, other enhancements can provide better access and mobility at the stop.

MATBUS Riders Boarding Near 25th Street


### 5.4 Parking and Access Management

Current Moorhead City Code 11-5-7 states the desired number of full access points for a Minor Arterial is 4 per mile with up to 8 per mile under conditional situations, and up to 16 per mile within the urban core at the discretion of the City Engineer. There are 106 access points within the two-mile corridor study area of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, many of them being a private driveway or garage access. The consolidation or elimination of access points reduces the number of conflict points between motor vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles. While it is not realistic to expect significant changes to private driveway access points, parking lot access and bus parking areas within the corridor can be improved.

The 2012 Moorhead Neighborhood Parking Study indicated that most areas east of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South have less than $25 \%$ on-street parking utilization. Over $20 \%$ of the respondents to the online public input survey said
that less on-street parking would improve the safety of the corridor, while only one percent desired more parking.

### 5.5 Streetscaping and Trees

The City of Moorhead has been working to incorporate arts and culture into community development and improvement projects. While artwork may not be appropriate for all areas, consideration should be given to areas of opportunity including both new development and redevelopment of existing neighborhoods. Artwork can be part of a successful formula to transform areas considered industrial or blighted.

### 5.5.1 STREETSCAPING AND ART

In 2016, CenturyLink commissioned seven works of art through the CenturyLink Moorhead Box Art Project contest that invited creatives to submit original works of art with a technology theme to be selected to wrap a CenturyLink utility box. There are 3 CenturyLink Box Art locations on 12th Avenue South. Additional locations should be encouraged whenever opportunities arise. Traffic signal cabinets and other City owned equipment should be considered and would be supported by the City of Moorhead.

The 2015 Sidewalk Art and Poetry Project selected two poems, "Sugar Beet Baby" and "Dreams are Precious", to stamp into the sidewalk at two locations within the study corridor. This should be considered for incorporation with improvement work on the corridor.

Sidewalk Poem Stamp


The industrial area from $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South to Main Avenue Southeast is a good opportunity to incorporate landscape enhancements. An enhanced pedestrian, bicycle, and landscape linkage would create a safer and more aesthetic access. Public comments reinforce this concept as this section of the corridor could become a much-improved connection to residential areas east of Main Avenue Southeast.

### 5.5.2 CONCORDIA COLLEGE

The Concordia College Campus is a significant portion of the 12th Avenue Corridor Study area. Roadway improvements are an opportunity to enhance the campus visibility and pedestrian circulation across $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South. This can be accomplished by incorporating campus site elements into the design of the corridor such as colored/stamped concrete sidewalks or crosswalks, light poles, monuments and signage, plantings, and artwork.

The 2010 Concordia College Campus Master Plan by EYP/Architecture Engineering P.C. includes features to enhance the visitor's progression through campus and heighten the sense of campus aesthetics, and to ensure consistent visual imagery of Concordia College. Improvements identified along the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor include:

- Primary Pedestrian Gateway Crossing at the intersection of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South
- Pedestrian Gateway \& Crossway at $6^{\text {th }}$ Street South and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street South
- Campus Identification at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street South and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South
- Vehicular Gateway to parking lots between $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South and $9^{\text {th }}$ Street South
- Landscape Improvement from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street South to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South

Figure 5.1 | Concordia Master Plan at 12th Avenue South and 8th Street South


### 5.5. TREES

There is a very old willow tree that is a community landmark on the Concordia grounds located outside the right-of-way on the south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South just west of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South. The tree was likely planted sometime in the early 1950's.

The "Crazy Tree" is a Local Landmark


The very large, multi-trunk tree is very popular in the community because of its unusual form, size and age. The trunks are very large diameter and are laying in a nearly horizontal configuration that makes for a unique and interesting form. The tree is visited often, is popular for photography, and is frequently climbed on.

The tree is in a lawn area, with a low levee located directly to the southwest of the tree. There are soccer fields located further southwest. The tree was pruned in 2017 to remove dead wood.

There were several large trunks removed on the southwest side that were impacted from the installation of the levee in the early 2000's. The tree is probably in decline and additional impact to the surrounding area around the tree will likely speed up the decline. Further development in the area surrounding the tree should be minimized to preserve the tree. Foot traffic from visitors, as it currently occurs, creates a certain amount of soil compaction, which can be detrimental to the tree. Activity from equipment, changes to grades, and increases in visitation from pedestrians will further compact the soil surrounding the tree. Since the tree has always existed in lawn, the lawn should remain.

Concordia has expressed an interest in having a path or sidewalk that can be utilized by their equipment between $9^{\text {th }}$ Street South and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street South. The area on top of the levee would be a preferred location since this area has already been disturbed. If a path must be located within the right-of-way and continuous along $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South, it should be located as far away from the tree as possible.

The City Forester indicated a preference to keeping all existing viable trees along the corridor. Results from the public input survey showed that over half of survey respondents noted that existing boulevard trees should be preserved, while many also agreed that new streetscape improvements such as landscaping, lighting, or special paving/artwork would enhance the corridor. Representatives from Concordia College expressed a preference for replacing all chokecherry trees along campus if possible.

A final issue that impacts not only trees, but also several other areas of need, is the presence of overhead power lines owned by Moorhead Public Service in the north boulevard along over $80 \%$ of the corridor. Existing trees require continual trimming to prevent limbs from damaging the lines. The location of the poles in the boulevard also limits the feasibility of any significant improvements or changes to the north side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Overhead Power Lines are Strung through Mature Trees Along the 12th Avenue South Corridor


### 6.0 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION

Based on the 2040 projected traffic volumes, the existing lane configurations of the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor meet the planning-level capacity requirements. As such, the future build alternatives assume that the existing lane configurations will be maintained, and the improvement alternatives developed for this study focus more on improving the specific issue/need areas addressed within each segment. The costs presented are planning level construction estimates using 2019 dollars and do not include engineering fees, right of way purchase, extensive utility relocations, or other unknown design details. Detailed cost estimates can be found in Appendix E.

### 6.1 River Drive South to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South

| Improvement Alternative | Table 6.1 <br> Segment 1: River Drive South to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South |  |  | SRC <br> Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue/Need Addressed | Estimated Cost | Impacts |  |
| 1A1: Install shared lane markings (Sharrows) | Bike Route Connectivity | \$7,500 | Low: Pavement markings | Not Preferred |
| 1A2: Replace existing south sidewalk with an $8^{\prime}$ shareduse path from $5^{\text {th }}$ St to $8^{\text {th }}$ St and install Sharrows from River Dr to $5^{\text {th }}$ St Figure 6.1 | Bike Route Connectivity | \$90,000 | Medium: Right of way; 2 driveways; up to 16 existing trees | Preferred - Short Range |
| 1B: Install 5' sidewalk on north side between $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{St}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ St Figure 6.1 | Pedestrian Route Connectivity | \$110,000 | High: ROW, 8 driveways; up to 17 existing trees; OH power lines and other private utilities | Not Preferred |
| 1C: Close parking lot access points near $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ and $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, and shift parking area near $7^{\text {th }}$ St Figure 6.1 | Parking and Access Management | \$50,000 | Medium: Reduced parking lot access/ increased access congestion; existing trees; private utilities | Preferred - Short Range |
| 1D: Install curb bump-outs at $6^{\text {th }}$ St and $7^{\text {th }}$ St intersections Figure 6.1 | Parking and Access Management | \$75,000 | Medium: Reduced parking; pavement, curb, and sidewalk reconstruction | Preferred - Short Range |
| 1E1: Reassign eastbound lanes at $8^{\text {th }}$ St intersection with a shared left/thru and a designated right by shifting curb Figure 6.1 | Traffic Operations | \$110,000 (Short-term) $\$ 75,000$ (Long-range) | Medium: Traffic signal revisions, signal controller/cabinet; pavement, curb, and sidewalk reconstruction; drainage | Preferred - Long Range (C \& G and signal pole work in SE corner to be done in short-term) |
| 1E2: Widen $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave to install designated eastbound right turn lane at $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. Figure 6.2 | Traffic Operations | Dependent on Skyway Pier impacts | High: The widening would impact a pier for the Concordia Skyway. This pier would need to be relocated and the skyway may need to be redesigned. Impacts to the pier could be limited by installing a 50' turn lane with $30^{\prime}$ taper. | Not Preferred |
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## $6.28^{\text {th }}$ Street South to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South

| Table 6.2 <br> Segment 2: $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement Alternative | Issue/Need Addressed | Estimated Cost | Impacts | SRC Recommendation |
| 2A: Install 8' or $10^{\prime}$ shared-use path on south side from $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ St, staying south of the "Crazy Tree" Figure 6.3 | Bike Route Connectivity | \$110,000 | Low: Right of way; Concordia College property | Preferred - Short Range |
| 2B1: Install shared lane markings (Sharrows) | Bike Route Connectivity | \$10,000 | Low: Pavement markings | Not Preferred |
| 2B2: Add 6' designated onstreet bike lanes on each side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ <br> Figure 6.3 \& 6.4 | Bike Route Connectivity | \$30,000 | Medium: Pavement markings; signs; elimination of parking along $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave could place additional stress on side-street parking | Preferred - Short Range |
| 2B3: Replace existing south sidewalk with an 8' shareduse path from $11^{\text {th }}$ St to $20^{\text {th }}$ St Figure 6.3 \& 6.4 | Bike Route Connectivity | \$305,000 | High: Right of way, 20 driveways; up to 49 existing trees; private utilities | Not Preferred |
| 2C: Install crosswalk at $191 / 2 \mathrm{St}$ Figure 6.4 | Pedestrian <br> Route <br> Connectivity | \$5,000 | Low: Pavement markings | Preferred - Short Range |
| 2D: Remove parking area on south side near $9^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, realign approach into campus lots, remove driveway to parking lot Figure 6.3 | Access <br> Management | \$45,000 | Low: Temporary access restrictions | Preferred - Short Range |
| 2E: Realign $11^{\text {th }}$ St intersection to improve horizontal alignment Figure 6.3 | Roadway Geometrics | \$150,000 | High: Right of way; driveways; pavement; drainage; curb; existing trees; private utilities; drainage | Preferred - Short Range |
| 2F: Construct grade raise of $20^{\text {th }}$ St intersection to improve vertical profile with BNSF RR Tracks Figure 6.4 | Roadway Geometrics | \$1,250,000 | High: Right of way; apartment driveway and parking lot; drainage; traffic signals; pavement; curb; sidewalks; existing trees; private utilities | Preferred - Long Range |
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## $6.320^{\text {th }}$ Street South to Main Avenue Southeast

| Table 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment 3: $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street South to Main Avenue SE |  |  |  |  |
| Improvement Alternative | Issue/Need Addressed | Cost | Impacts | SRC <br> Recommendation |
| 3A: Construct pedestrian/bicycle crossing on east side of $20^{\text {th }}$ Street South at BNSF Railroad tracks Figure 6.5 | Bike and <br> Pedestrian <br> Route <br> Connectivity | \$450,000 | High: Right of way/ private property; railroad crossing; drainage; private utilities | Preferred - Short Range |
| 3B: Add new 10' shared-use path on south side (remove existing on-street bike lanes, shift south curb line 10' north to accommodate offstreet path) Figure 6.5 | Bike Route Connectivity | \$250,000 | Medium: Right of way/private property; existing trees; drainage | Preferred - Short Range |
| 3C: Install concrete pad and concrete waiting area with a bench at $25^{\text {th }}$ Street South bus stop Figure 6.5 | Transit Facilities | \$5,000 | Low | Preferred - Short Range |
| 3D: Shift private business driveway east of the BNSF Railroad tracks Figure 6.5 | Parking and <br> Access <br> Management | \$15,000 | Low | Preferred - Short Range |

Railroad Crossing at $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street


This page intentionally left blank.
6.0 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION


### 6.4 Corridor-Wide Improvements

|  | Corridor-Wide Improvements |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Improvement Alternative | Issue/Need <br> Addressed | Cost | Impacts | Recommendation |
| 4A: Upgrade existing <br> sidewalks \& paths to current <br> ADA standards | Bike and <br> Pedestrian <br> Route <br> Connectivity | $\$ 200,000$ | Medium: Curb \& gutter; <br> drainage; up to 99 curb <br> ramps | Preferred - Short <br> Range |
| 4B: Review and enforce <br> parking policies, paint curb <br> to restrict parking near <br> accesses | Parking and <br> Access <br> Management | $\$ 15,000$ | Low: Changes in parking <br> policy may cause <br> confusion; additional <br> parking on side-streets | Policy Changes - <br> Not Preferred; Curb <br> Painting - Preferred <br> Short Range |
| 4C: Streetscaping <br> improvements <br> Figure $6.6 \& 6.7$ | Trees and <br> Streetscaping | $\$ 415,000$ <br> *See Below | Low: Improvements can <br> be incorporated with <br> roadway improvements |  <br> Long Range |
| 4D: Bury overhead electric <br> lines | Trees and <br> Streetscaping | $\$ 1,350,000$ | High: Right of way; <br> driveways; existing trees; <br> sidewalks | Supported -Long <br> Range |

*Streetscape/Landscape Improvements cost estimate includes:

- Boulevard Trees ( 35 of $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ) =
\$15,000
- Accent Trees (8 of $1 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) =
\$3,500
- Accent Shrubs (50) =
\$3,500
- Accent Perennials (300) =
\$7,500
- Landscape Edging =
\$2,500
- Planting Bed Area Topsoil =
\$1,000
- Landscape Mulch =
\$3,500
- $18^{\prime \prime}$ High Concrete Accent Seatwall =
\$16,500
- Accent Columns at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street (4) $=\$ 30,000$
- Accent Paving for Crosswalks = \$35,000
- Regular Concrete for Accent Paving = \$15,000
- Accent Paving for Bumpouts = \$35,000
- Accent Paving for $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Gateway $=$
\$39,000
- Concrete sidewalk at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street $=\$ 3,000$
- Benches (3) =
\$5,000
- Lightpoles with Banner Arms (48) = $\$ 200,000$
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### 7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

### 7.1 Scope of Environmental Impact Analysis

This corridor study did not include an in-depth evaluation of the environmental impacts or coordination with potentially affected agencies typically involved in implementing transportation projects. The following information is presented for discussion and as a reference for identification of potential future environmental impacts.

### 7.2 Natural Resources

### 7.2.1 LAND USE AND RIGHT OF WAY

As documented in the "Existing Conditions" portion of the study, the land use throughout the corridor is a mix of low to moderate density residential, mixed-use, institutional, and light and heavy industrial zoning. It is not anticipated that any of the proposed alternatives would significantly impact the existing land use so this aspect was not analyzed further.

The existing right of way varies throughout the corridor. The proposed improvement alternatives are generally designed to stay within the existing right of way, although alternatives that include removing and replacing the existing sidewalk with a wider shared-use path, or installing a new path where one does not exist, may require temporary construction easements or purchase of permanent easements or right of way. These areas include:

- South side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street S to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street S
- $20^{\text {th }}$ Street $S$ to Main Avenue SE.

The properties in these areas will need to be further evaluated if these alternatives are implemented.

### 7.2.2 WETLANDS AND WILDLIFE

According to the US Fish and Wildlife Wetlands Mapper application, there are no wetlands within the corridor study area. The nearest bodies of water include the Red River which is approximately 750 feet west of the study area and a county drain approximately $1000^{\prime}$ east of the study area. It is not anticipated that any of the proposed alternatives would significantly impact those water bodies or other potentially unknown wetlands.

### 7.2.3 TREES

There are many existing boulevard trees throughout the corridor study area, most notably from River Drive to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street SE. These trees are discussed more in-depth in the "Existing Conditions" and "Issue Identification and Needs Assessment" sections of this study. The majority of these trees are mature American Elm, Chokecherry, Crabapple, and


Green Ash. Overall there are 70 trees in good condition, 41 trees in fair condition, and 77 in poor condition. Many of the trees in poor condition are Crabapple trees near Concordia College campus that are past maturity and showing evidence of health decline or other health issues. Concordia has indicated that they would like to replace these trees.

The "Crazy Tree" is a local landmark located in the southwest corner of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street. Because of the historical and social nature of this tree, the alternatives were developed for that area focused on reducing or eliminating impacts to the tree.

Some of the improvement alternatives include removing and replacing existing sidewalks in the boulevard with a wider shared-use path. These improvements to the bicycle and pedestrian facilities would have negative impacts to the existing boulevard trees either traumatizing the root structure or requiring the tree to be removed completely. While this could be an opportunity to replace large overgrown trees with a more appropriately sized tree for a boulevard environment, the City Forester indicated a desire to keep all existing trees. The trees also have sentimental value to the public, especially the residents along the corridor and removal would likely not be favorable.

### 7.3 Utility Impacts

The major private utilities identified in the "Existing Conditions" analysis include overhead power lines owned by Moorhead Public Service (MPS) and several underground utilities. The exact location and ownership of the underground utilities is unknown and further analysis would be required on any alternatives chosen that would potentially impact these utilities.

The overhead power lines owned by MPS extend through 80\% of the corridor. The City expressed a desire to bury these lines for aesthetic and maintenance concerns. MPS was contacted to discuss the possibility of burying these lines and they indicated that these lines serve a large population along the corridor and burying them would take a significant effort. The impacts of such an undertaking would include temporary service disruptions, localized earthwork, and traffic impacts. MPS estimated a cost of $\$ 1,350,000$ to bury the power lines throughout the corridor.

### 7.4 Section 4(f)

Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Act of 1966 prohibits federal transportation agencies from using land from publicly owned parks, recreation areas (including recreational trails), wildlife and water fowl refuges, or public and private historic properties, unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative to that use and the action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from such a use.

Potential Section 4(f) properties include:

- Parks and recreation areas
- Wildlife or waterfowl refuges and wildlife management areas
- Cultural and archeological resources and sites
- Historic sites, bridges, and highways
- Landscapes
- School playgrounds
- Fairgrounds
- Public multiple-use land holdings
- Wild and scenic rivers
- Planned facilities
- Bikeways (recreational) and trails
- Public golf courses

There are properties along the corridor that would likely be protected under Section 4(f). City parks including Alm Park, Lamb Park, and Romkey Park are not directly adjacent to $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South but are within one city block. Concordia College has recreational facilities directly south of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South east of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street.

The 2014 Moorhead River Corridor Master Plan provides a vision for developing recreational and habitat enhancement to the area along the Red River. The Plan included potential future projects that may be within the area of future improvements on $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South.

This study did not include an analysis of possible historical, archeological, or cultural resources.

### 7.5 Section 6(f)

The purpose of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act (LAWCON) is to develop and provide accessibility to outdoor recreation resources. It prohibits use of any land purchased with LAWCON funds for any purpose other than recreational use unless replacement land with equal usefulness is provided.

A search of the listing of park lands purchased with LAWCON funds indicates that there are currently no Section 6(f) protected lands within the corridor study area.

### 7.6 Environmental Justice and Social Considerations

In accordance with Executive Order 12898 "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations", environmental justice must be addressed to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law in all federal planning and programming activities. The intent of the order is to promote nondiscrimination in federal programs that affect human health and the environment, as well as provide minority and low-income populations access to public information and public participation. Future projects along the corridor could have federal funding and may be considered a federal project required to comply with this order.

A review of 2010 census data shows a high concentration of low-income and minority households along certain areas of the $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South corridor, particularly between $17^{\text {th }}$ Street and $20^{\text {th }}$ Street. It is not expected that the proposed improvements would negatively impact a particular area of the corridor more than another, however there will need to be further analysis with any future project.


### 8.0 STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS

### 8.1 Summary of Recommendations

Based on input and analysis by the Study Review Committee along with public and stakeholder input, the following improvement alternatives are recommended for future implementation. Most of the recommendations are expected to be implemented with a planned project scheduled for 2020. Some improvements are indicated as "long-range" as they will require a longer project development process and/or additional funding. Further environmental documentation or study may be required depending on the funding sources used by the City of Moorhead for future projects.

The following is a summary of the preferred recommendations for the corridor.

### 8.1.1 BICYCLE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT ROUTE IMPROVEMENTS

- Install shared-lane markings "sharrows" from River Drive to $5^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Install a shared-use path on the south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Shift south curb to the north between $20^{\text {th }}$ Street and Main Avenue SE to create a boulevard wide enough to install a shared-use path along the south side.
- Install on-street dedicated bike lanes on the north and south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ between $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $191 / 2$ Street.
- Install a crosswalk at $191 / 2$ Street.
- Install a concrete pad and waiting area with bench at the MATBUS stop west of $25^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Install pedestrian/bicycle crossing on east side of 20th Street at BNSF Railroad tracks.
- Improve curb ramps throughout the corridor to meet current ADA guidelines.


### 8.1.2 PARKING AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT

- Close parking lot driveways:
- North side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly east of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street
- South side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly west of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
- North side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly east of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
- South side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly west of $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street
- South side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly east of $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street
- South side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S directly west of $25^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- $\quad$ Shift parking pullout on north side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ near $7^{\text {th }}$ Street further west, away from the intersection.
- Remove parking area on south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly east of $9^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Shift parking lot driveway on south side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ directly east of the BNSF Railroad tracks further east, away from the railroad tracks.
- Install curb bump-outs around the southeast and southwest corners of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Street and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections.
- Paint curb near access points to deter parking in the access line of sight.


### 8.1.3 ROADWAY GEOMETRICS AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

- Reassign eastbound lanes at 8th Street intersection with a shared thru/left turn lane and a designated right turn lane (this is supported as a long-range improvement).
- Realign $11^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection to eliminate horizontal offset and align the curb lines.
- Construct a grade raise at the $20^{\text {th }}$ Street S intersection by adjusting the cross-slope on the east half of the intersection to improve the vertical profile of $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$ at the BNSF Railroad tracks (this is supported as a long-range improvement).


### 8.1.4 STREETSCAPING AND TREES

- Incorporate improvements throughout the corridor as roadway improvements are implemented.
- Bury overhead power lines (this is supported as a long-range improvement).


### 8.2 Estimated Cost for Recommended Improvement Alternatives

The tables below show the estimated costs for the recommended improvement alternatives listed above. Some of the recommended improvements were determined to be already included in the base cost for the 2020 programmed mill, overlay, and pavement rehab project. These improvements are shown in Table 8.1. Tables 8.2 and 8.3 summarize the estimated costs for short-range and long-range improvements. All costs are in 2019 dollars.

Table 8.1
Recommended Improvements Already Included in 2020 Base Project Cost

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Recommended Improvement Already Included in } 2020 \text { Base Project } \\ \text { Mill \& Overlay from 5 5t }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pt to 20 $0^{\text {th }}$ St |  |$\}$

Table 8.2
Short-Range Improvement Alternative Estimated Costs

| 12th Avenue South <br> Short-Range Improvement Estimated Costs River Drive to Main Avenue SE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Estimated Cost |
| Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Improvements |  |
| 1A2 - Sharrows and Shared-Use Path from River Dr to 8th | \$90,000 |
| 2A - Shared-Use Path from 9th to 11th | \$110,000 |
| 3A - RR PED Crossing East of 20th | \$450,000 |
| 3B-10' Shared Use Path from 20th to Main Ave SE | \$250,000 |
| Subtotal | \$900,000 |
| Parking and Access Management |  |
| 1C - Access and Parking Area Removal \& Realignment from 5th to 8th | \$50,000 |
| 1D - Curb Bump Outs at 6th and 7th | \$75,000 |
| 2D - Access and Parking Area Removal \& Realignment from 8th to 10th | \$45,000 |
| Subtotal | \$170,000 |
| Roadway Geometrics and Traffic Operations |  |
| $1 \mathrm{E1}$ - Short-Term Changes at SE Corner $8^{\text {th }}$ Street for Future Lane Reassignment | \$110,000 |
| 2E - Realign 11th St Intersection | \$150,000 |
| Subtotal | \$260,000 |
| Streetscaping Improvements |  |
| 4C - Corridor-Wide Landscaping/Streetscaping Improvements Subtotal | \$415,000 |
|  |  |
| Short Range Total | \$1,745,000 |

Table 8.3
Long-Range Improvement Alternative Estimated Costs

| 12th Avenue South <br> Long-Range Improvement Estimated Costs River Drive to Main Avenue SE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Estimated Cost |
| $1 \mathrm{E1}$ - Long-Range Lane Reassignment and Re-Striping at $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | \$75,000 |
| 2F - 20th St Intersection Grade Raise | \$1,250,000 |
| 4D - Bury Overhead Power Lines | \$1,350,000 |
| Long Range Total | \$2,675,000 |



## Appendix A

## Public Participation Plan

## 12TH AVENUE SOUTH MOORHEAD CORRIDOR STUDY

 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN
## OVERVIEW

Moorhead has programmed a project to construct improvements along 12th Avenue South in 2020. This roadway has served as a vital corridor through the city for decades. It is the policy of the FargoMoorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) and the City of Moorhead (City) to use a context-sensitive approach to design that considers the complete streets needs of all transportation system users (motor vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles) as well as the needs of adjacent and nearby property owners, including the preservation or addition of parking, trees and landscaping.

Engaging area property owners, residents, workers, students and users of this street and the surrounding sidewalks is a key component of the study, asking for input and feedback on needs, issues, alternatives, benefits and constraints.

## PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

The purpose and need of this study is to identify and analyze a range of complete streets and aesthetic design elements along 12th Avenue South, between River Drive and Main Avenue SE to enhance the safety and comfort of all users along the corridor while providing reasonable traffic operations for motor vehicles and preserving and enhancing the character of the neighborhood.

## KEY STAKEHOLDERS

- Home owners and renters
- Commuters (vehicle, bicycle, pedestrian, public transit)
- Staff and students of adjacent and nearby colleges and universities (Concordia, MSUM, MState)
- Public and private K-12
- Businesses, churches, organizations (specifically Eventide, Sanford, MHD industrial park tenants, and LAC/A Place For Hope
- City, State and county officials
- Moorhead business, civic and community organizations (MBA, River Keepers)
- City staff, core community services
- MHD Public Schools transportation/buses and MAT bus
- BNSF RR and Otter Tail Valley RR


## ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

Use multiple, existing communication channels already reaching stakeholders to boost awareness and engagement:

1. Provide shareable content for partners to reach their audiences.
2. Make it easy to engage and participate.

## GOALS, MEASUREMENT

Effectiveness of public engagement efforts will be measured and reviewed throughout the study period, allowing us to make changes in communication channels and messaging if necessary. The key measurement will be balance of input shared:

- Engagement goal is to have a representative cross-section of stakeholders share input.
- Measured by monitoring survey results together with public meeting attendance.


## OVERALL MESSAGES

- The City of Moorhead and Metro COG initiated this study of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead to support the current and future needs of all users of this street, including residents, walkers, bikers, transit users and motorists.
- As a user of 12th Avenue South, your insight and input is needed to help shape the future of this important corridor through Moorhead.
- Recommendations for alternatives and improvements will be developed using applicable standards together with your input and analysis of data and existing conditions.
- Information about the corridor study as well as key information and opportunities to give your input will be posted on the City of Moorhead's website, at http://www.cityofmoorhead.com/departments/engineering/current-projects/12th-ave-study (final website address TBD)


## MESSAGE PILLARS FOR SOCIAL CHANNELS

- Input opportunities, how, where to share your input
- Link to survey, https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Moorhead 12th Avenue
- Link to city website, study page
- Shared data, insight, input
- Data collected, key facts, findings, observations
- Ideas and input already shared
- Key dates/events
- Survey open, https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Moorhead 12th Avenue
- Public meetings
- Thursday, September 20, 2018; 4-7 p.m
- Tentative February 2019.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SCHEDULE AND TACTICS

| TIMING | AUDIENCE | TACTICS | DETAILS/WHO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | JULY - AUG 2018 |
| :--- |


| ADVERTISE PUBLIC MTG \#1 <br> WEEK OF SEPT 10 | All | Advertise public meeting | Paid advertisement for public meeting in Clay County Extra (Metro COG) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROMOTE PUBLIC MTG \#1 <br> WEEK BEFORE, WEEK OF | All | Promote public meeting on social channels, MCAM | Boost posts on Facebook (Flint) <br> Flint will coordinate distribution: <br> - E-notification <br> - Media advisory <br> - Email to lists <br> - Alerts to MAT riders <br> - Post to Facebook, Metro COG, Moorhead, Next Door <br> - Promote live opportunity via Facebook <br> MCAM PSA continues to air Shareable posts and emails for partners, employers: Concordia, MSUM, MState, Eventide, MAT, MHD schools |
| PROMOTE PUBLIC MTG \#1 <br> WED, SEPT 19 | Residents | Coffee with the Mayor topic | Share survey opportunity and public meeting with attendees (tentative) |
| PUBLIC MTG \#1 <br> THURS, SEPT 20, 4-7 PM. 2018 (SET UP AT 3) | All | Public Meeting at Concordia College, Birkeland Lounge, Offutt Concourse; | Flint/APEX set-up, directional signs <br> Open House format with formal presentation at scheduled time <br> - Share maps, corridor video <br> - Gather comments; paper surveys available <br> - Graphic of study timeline Leverage Facebook Live from public meeting: <br> - Share frequently asked questions/take questions <br> - Ask attendee to be interviewed <br> Walk viewers through the meeting virtually <br> Flint will coordinate/formal presentation filmed, aired through playback on MCAM |


| SRC MTG \#2 OCT 2018 | SRC | Study Review Committee Mtg \#2 | APEX to facilitate; update on tech memos \#1 and \#2; survey results; public meeting recap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UPDATE TO PUBLIC OCT 2018 | All | Post updated information to webpage; email database | Flint/APEX will supply content |
| SRC MTG \#3 DEC 2018 | SRC | Study Review Committee Mtg \#3 | APEX to facilitate; update on tech memos \#3; |
| DRAFT CORRIDOR STUDY REPORT <br> FEB 2019 | SRC | Draft report submitted to SRC | APEX to submit/share draft report to SRC |
| PROMOTE PUBLIC MEETING \#2 | All | Begin airing of PSA promoting public meeting \#2 on MCAM | Flint will write/coordinate production and airing with MCAM/Tony Tilton, general mgr. |
| 3 WEEKS before |  | Pitch story to print publications, opportunity to weigh in online and at meeting | Flint will pitch story to Clay County Extra and student newspapers, The Concordian and The Advocate |
| ADVERTISE PUBLIC MEETING \#2 <br> WEEK BEFORE | All | Advertise public meeting | Paid advertisement for public meeting in Clay County Extra (Metro COG) |
| PROMOTE PUBLIC MEETING \#2 <br> WEEK BEFORE, WEEK OF | All | Promote public meeting on social channels, MCAM | Boost posts on Facebook (Flint) <br> Flint will coordinate distribution: <br> - E-notification <br> - Media advisory <br> - Email to lists <br> - Alerts to MAT riders <br> - Post to Facebook, Metro COG, Moorhead, Next Door <br> Continue airing PSA on MCAM <br> Shareable posts and emails for partners, employers: Concordia, MSUM, MState, Eventide, MAT, MHD schools |
| PUBLIC MTG \#2 <br> FEB 2019 | All | TBD | Flint/APEX set-up, directional signs. Format TBD <br> - Alternatives and recommendations developed will be shared for input and discussion |


|  |  |  | Leverage Facebook Live from public meeting: <br> - Share summary of study work to date <br> - Slideshow of alternatives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aired/played back on MCAM | Flint will coordinate/formal presentation filmed, aired through playback on MCAM/Tony Tilton, mgr. |
| SRC MTG \#4 <br> MARCH 2019 | SRC | Study Review Committee Mtg \#4 | APEX to facilitate; discussion of Draft Corridor Study comments; public meeting recap |
| PRESENTATIONS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS <br> MARCH/APRIL $2019$ | Moorhead Planning Commission and City Council; TTC and Policy Board | Presentations to boards and commissions | APEX to present <br> (Note some are taped/televised as part of regular MCAM programming) |
| UPDATE TO PUBLIC APRIL 2019 | All | Post updated information to webpage; email database | Flint/APEX will supply content |
| FINAL STUDY | All | Post updated information, | Flint/APEX will supply content. |
| RELEASED <br> MAY 2019 |  | link to study on webpage; email link to database | Boost posts on Facebook (Flint) <br> Flint will coordinate distribution: <br> - E-notification <br> - Media advisory <br> - Email to lists <br> - Alerts to MAT riders <br> - Post to Facebook, Metro COG, Moorhead, Next Door <br> Shareable posts and emails for partners, employers: Concordia, MSUM, MState, Eventide, MAT, MHD schools |

## DRAFT Q\&A

## Why is a study of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead being conducted?

The City has a project for this corridor programmed for construction in 2020. This study will guide the final design of the project to ensure that it meets the current and future needs of all users of this street, including residents, walkers, bicyclists, transit users and motorists.

## Why are you asking for public input?

Sharing input from your unique perspective will help identify local needs and provide guidance to the City to design context-sensitive solutions and help shape the future of this vital corridor through Moorhead.

## How will my input be used?

Your input on how you use 12th Avenue South, and any challenges or improvements you'd like to see supports the long-range planning for this corridor. Your input will be used during the project team's analysis of solutions to address each challenge, which will be presented as concepts for public review and discussion.

## What is the study process?

The study team will use the community's ideas and comments to determine possible improvements for all types of transportation along this corridor. Additional analysis is completed and design options are developed. You will have the opportunity to review and comment on the alternatives developed. Further research is completed on those alternatives, including their economic feasibility, and the final study is presented to the Metro COG. The study period from start to end is approximately one year.

As improvements identified as part of the study are introduced and move forward, public involvement and comment is an essential component of the vetting process. Upon completion of the study, the City will proceed to final design of the project, incorporating those elements of the study that were recommended in the final study.

## Will I see ideas submitted?

Input received as well as analysis completed will be shared on the corridor study page on the city of Moorhead's website http://www.cityofmoorhead.com/departments/engineering/current-projects/12th-ave-study
(final website address TBD)

## How is this study funded?

This study is funded with a combination of federal transportation funds and City of Moorhead funds. Further questions about the funding and management of this project can be viewed at www.cityofmoorhead.com/12thAveStudy.com (final website address TBD) or by contacting Metro COG Project Manager, Adam Altenburg.

## Appendix B <br> Public Input Meeting Attendee List, Comment Transcripts, and Other Materials

Moorhead $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South Corridor Study
Attendee List for Public Input Meeting \#1 - 09/20/18

| No. | Attendee |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Karen Vosburg |
| 2 | Don Larew |
| 3 | Cindy Bossart |
| 4 | Tim Powers |
| 5 | Cheryl Revie |
| 6 | Ian Revie |
| 7 | Darrell Vasvick |
| 8 | JoAnn Walker |
| 9 | Joel Wehri |
| 10 | Phyllis Murray |
| 11 | Roy Murray |
| 12 | Denese Norris |
| 13 | Benny Peterson |
| 14 | Iola Peterson |
| 15 | Jeff Were |
| 16 | Patricia Beiswenger |
| 17 | Jean Hollaar |
| 18 | Julia Walk |
| 19 | Matt Kammerer |
| 20 | Sandra Rather |
| 21 | Jonathan Steinward |
| 22 | Roger Koppang |
| 23 | Barrett Voigt |
| 24 | Stan Struble |
| 25 | Jim Dustin |
| 26 | Juan Cabanela |
|  |  |

## Moorhead $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South Corridor Study

Transcript of Comment Forms Received at Public Input Meeting \#1 - 09/20/18

| No. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fire hydrant is too close to driveway - has been hit several times. |
| 2 | I would like to see crosswalk across $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$ at $191 / 2$ St, sidewalks east of $20^{\text {th }}$ St S , and street trees east of $20^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{S}$. |
| 3 | There are three blocks on the north side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave S, from $4^{\text {th }}$ St to $2^{\text {nd }}$ St approximately, where our kids had to walk on the street 30 years ago, and they still have to today. There needs to be sidewalk on that side of street also. <br> Bad corner at $3^{\text {rd }}$ St, when turning right there is a deep "dip". |
| 4 | Need sidewalk to be completed on north side of $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave S from $2^{\text {nd }}$ St to $6^{\text {th }}$ St. |
| 5 | As a daily bus rider, I really need MATBUS service to stop in front of A Place for Hope in the $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave S industrial park at $241912^{\text {th }}$ Ave S. If possible, could MATBUS place a shelter at this address? Also, request that the City fills in the hole approximately 5-10 feet from the bus stop sign. |
| 6 | Would like to see more connectivity in bike lanes - west of $20^{\text {th }}$ St is great! Would be nice to see that continue to $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. |
| 7 | Keep on improving bus service on $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave S from $20^{\text {th }}$ St to Hwy 52 (Main Avenue). A Place for Hope has many members who currently use the bus service and the service we have is appreciated. There is no shelter available for several blocks down on $20^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$, so there are a lot of people who have to wait in bitter cold temperatures and extreme winds. This is exceptionally bad when the train stops the bus. |
| 8 | We appreciate the bus stopping at A Place for Hope at $241912^{\text {th }}$ Ave S. It would be great to have 7 -day bus stops and a shelter for the cold weather days. |

# 12th Avenue South CORRIDOR STUDY 

The City of Moorhead and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) have partnered to study a section of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead, beginning at the west end at River Drive and extending east to the intersection with Main Avenue. The purpose of the study is to evaluate current and future needs along the corridor, and to identity shortterm and long-range improvements for consideration.


This corridor has served as a vital east to west roadway through the community since it was first paved in the 1950s and 1960s. The city has planned for improvements to be constructed in 2020 using an approach to design that considers the needs of everyone who uses 12th Avenue South - vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles - as well as the needs of adjacent and nearby property owners including adding or preserving parking, trees and landscaping.

This study will include public input on what needs, issues and improvements would be desirable for the future in addition to technical analysis that identifies current and future conditions and impacts for all types of users of 12th Avenue South.

## STUDY BENEFITS

This study will help influence improvements planned for the 2020 construction season.
Results will help identify and prioritize short-term and long-range planning.
The study allows the city to consider the needs and wishes of all stakeholders.

METROCOG
(G) Stonebrooke

Apere

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS CORRIDOR STUDY:

## What is a corridor study?

A corridor study is a focused look at current and future needs of all types of users and stakeholders for a specified roadway, or corridor, through the City of Moorhead. Using a combination of data and public input, the study process identifies needs, issues, alternatives, benefits and constraints.

Why is a study of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead being conducted now? This study was initiated by the City of Moorhead and Metro COG to support the current and future needs of all users of this street, a "complete streets" design approach that looks at the needs of residents, walkers, bikers, transit users and motorists. This study will inform future construction improvements planned for 2020, as portions of the pavement are already classified as below-average condition.

## What kind of input are you asking for?

We'll be seeking your input at multiple points in the study. Initially, we're hoping to hear what your ideas, needs and concerns are for what this avenue could provide into the future. As part of a complete streets design approach, we are asking those who currently use this corridor on foot or by car, bike or bus, as well as live or own property on or adjacent to 12th Avenue to share comments. An online survey is available at: www.surveymonkey.com/r/Moorhead_12th_Avenue
After alternatives and recommendations are developed, we'll be asking for your feedback again.

## How will my input be used?

Your initial input helps us validate current needs and issues, as well as anticipate what future needs will be for this avenue. After alternatives and recommendations are developed, your feedback will help guide plans and priorities for both short-term and long-term improvements.

## Information about the study, including technical memos and reports, will be posted to:

www.cityofmoorhead.com/departments/engineering/current-projects/12th-ave-s
www.fmmetrocog.org/projects-rfps/12th-avenue-south-corridor-study

## Please direct all questions and comments to:

Apex Engineering, Matt Kinsella: 701-373-7987
matt.kinsella@apexenggroup.com

Engineering Group

## CURRENT FACTS ABOUT 12TH AVENUE SOUTH:

3,100-7,000 vehicles move along segments of 12th Avenue each day.

900+ MATBUS riders a month use one of 9 bus stops for 3 routes currently driving on or crossing 12th Avenue.

2,400+ daily pedestrian crossing movements are made at the intersection of 12th Avenue South and 8th Street while area colleges are in session.

9 key intersections,
2 that are signalized, will be evaluated and studied, including 24-hour turning movement counts, wait times, queue length and crash data.

In the Mid-1950s 12th Avenue South was first graded and paved from River Drive to 20th Street, and the section from 20th Street east to Main Avenue SE was graded and paved in 1964.

188 trees and hedges are planted in the boulevard, plus the landmark "Crazy Tree" grows on the corner of Concordia College's campus.
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Parking

| NO PARKING 8 AM-4PM WED |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| NO PARKING OVER 3" OF SNOW |  |
| NO PARKING 8 AM-4PM THURS |  |
| 3 HR PARKING 7AM-5PM |  |
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| NO PARKING |  |
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| NO PARKING 8AM-5PM MON-FRI |  |
| PRIVATE ACCESS |  |
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| BIKE LANES (ON STREET) |  |
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Moorhead $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South Corridor Study
Attendee List for Public Input Meeting \#2 - 03/19/19

| No. | Attendee |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Timothy Stone |
| 2 | Sheri Larson |
| 3 | Sharon Weber |
| 4 | Andrea Cook |
| 5 | Faye Cook |
| 6 | Leonard Cook |
| 7 | Russell Pfaff |
| 8 | Kirsten Frantsvog |
| 9 | Karl Stumo |
| 10 | Marv Degerness |
| 11 | Benny Peterson |
| 12 | Iola Peterson |
| 13 | Roger Koppang |
| 14 | Jim Haney |
| 15 | Coralie Wai |
| 16 | Tim Myers |
| 17 | Don Swenson |
| 18 | Don Larew |
| 19 | Steve Busse |
| 20 | Forrest Steinhoff |
|  |  |


| No. | Attendee |
| :---: | :--- |
| 21 | Harold Kaste |
| 22 | Denese Norris |
| 23 | Mike Edenburg |
| 24 | Charles Franklin |
| 25 | Carolyn Kramer |
| 26 | Alan Cooper |
| 27 | Janine Hanson |
| 28 | Don Buegel |
| 29 | Jeff Werre |
| 30 | Marilyn Proulx |
| 31 | Jeremy Mattson |
| 32 | Nick Walberg |
| 33 | Chad Johnson |
| 34 | Nicole Mattson |
| 35 | Jenny Mongeau |
| 36 | Dr. J.E. Kreps |
| 37 | Steve Schaefer |
| 38 | Tim Wollenson |
| 39 | Jonathan Gilmour |
| 40 | Kenyon Williams |
|  |  |

## Moorhead $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South Corridor Study

Transcript of Comments Received During and After Public Input Meeting \#2 - 03/19/19

| No. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A mic would have been nice so that we could hear better. |
| 2 | That "Crazy Tree" identified on the corner of Concordia College campus is a very valuable tree and is grossly neglected. It struggles every year to overcome dying branches. This tree should be renamed, identified publicly with a small metal sign on a plaque, and a small metal fence of sorts should be placed along the street and avenue and by all means - no more children climbing on trunk and branches. This tree would bring in a lot of money to Concordia by "donate to the tree" on a yearly basis. I am making comparison to the "Lone Cypress" tree growing out of a solid rock out on Monterey Peninsula near Pebble Beach Golf Course and 17 Mile Drive and Carmel-By-The-Sea. |
| 3 | Tough job! Sounds like you have studied this thoroughly. |
| 4 | 1. Visuals were hard to read <br> 2. Please repeat comments from the front so we know what question is being addressed. <br> 3. Less lasting. Choose the big ideas. <br> 4. Is there a reason a bike path needs to be considered with $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave. <br> 5. Any of you want presentation coaching? |
| 5 | Will the curb be taken? <br> - Only if absolutely necessary |
| 6 | Changes to street parking? <br> - In some spots to make room for a bike lane/path if that alternative is used. |
| 7 | Elimination of $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave parking will push the vehicles to the already busy side streets. <br> - Agreed, no perfect option but we will try to do what is best |
| 8 | What is the slope goal for the RR crossing? What is it currently? <br> - We would like to be under $5 \%$, it is currently around $10 \%$ |
| 9 | Is there going to be a visibility issue with the crosswalk at the proposed bus stop with the cars making the corner off of $20^{\text {th }}$ onto $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave from the north? <br> - We can move the crosswalk, however there should be enough room to see and stop |
| 10 | How common is the bike/car path? How is safety with these? <br> - They are growing in popularity and education is key with safety. People will use them more and more and the more common that they are they more people will be bike aware in these areas. |
| 11 | It would be great to have some turning lanes on the major N/S Streets. The road is so tight, will be interesting to see if there will be home purchases. Any way to widen it by Concordia? |
| 12 | My big ask would be to improve the bikeability and walkability of 12th Ave between Main and 20th Street. It's really dangerous as it is now, even with the bike lanes. I'm sure I'm not the only one that would suggest this but thought I would pass it along.At one point last summer we were considering having our daughter bike to MSUM for College for Kids but because of that Corridor, we decided against it. |
| 13 | Second time I request this: consider doing something with 12th Ave S east of Hwy 52, specifically at the intersection of Ridgewood Boulevard and 12th Ave S. Consider cutting trees and relocating street signs at intersection of Appletree Lane or whatever the name of the street is that intersects with 12th Ave S , just passed the railroad tracks. They obstruct the view of traffic when turning east, onto 12 th Ave $S$. |

# 12th Avenue South CORRIDOR STUDY 

The City of Moorhead and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (Metro COG) have partnered to study a section of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead, beginning at the west end at River Drive and extending east to the intersection with Main Avenue. The purpose of the study is to evaluate current and future needs along the corridor, and to identity shortterm and long-range improvements for consideration.


This corridor has served as a vital east to west roadway through the community since it was first paved in the 1950s and 1960s. The city has planned for improvements to be constructed in 2020 using an approach to design that considers the needs of everyone who uses 12th Avenue South - vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles - as well as the needs of adjacent and nearby property owners including adding or preserving parking, trees and landscaping.

This study will include public input on what needs, issues and improvements would be desirable for the future in addition to technical analysis that identifies current and future conditions and impacts for all types of users of 12th Avenue South.

## STUDY BENEFITS

This study will help influence improvements planned for the 2020 construction season.
Results will help identify and prioritize short-term and long-range planning.
The study allows the city to consider the needs and wishes of all stakeholders.

METROCOG
(G) Stonebrooke

Apere

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS CORRIDOR STUDY:

## What is a corridor study?

A corridor study is a focused look at current and future needs of all types of users and stakeholders for a specified roadway, or corridor, through the City of Moorhead. Using a combination of data and public input, the study process identifies needs, issues, alternatives, benefits and constraints.

Why is a study of 12th Avenue South in Moorhead being conducted now? This study was initiated by the City of Moorhead and Metro COG to support the current and future needs of all users of this street, a "complete streets" design approach that looks at the needs of residents, walkers, bikers, transit users and motorists. This study will inform future construction improvements planned for 2020, as portions of the pavement are already classified as below-average condition.

## What kind of input are you asking for?

We'll be seeking your input at multiple points in the study. Initially, we're hoping to hear what your ideas, needs and concerns are for what this avenue could provide into the future. As part of a complete streets design approach, we are asking those who currently use this corridor on foot or by car, bike or bus, as well as live or own property on or adjacent to 12th Avenue to share comments. An online survey is available at: www.surveymonkey.com/r/Moorhead_12th_Avenue
After alternatives and recommendations are developed, we'll be asking for your feedback again.

## How will my input be used?

Your initial input helps us validate current needs and issues, as well as anticipate what future needs will be for this avenue. After alternatives and recommendations are developed, your feedback will help guide plans and priorities for both short-term and long-term improvements.

## Information about the study, including technical memos and reports, will be posted to:

www.cityofmoorhead.com/departments/engineering/current-projects/12th-ave-s
www.fmmetrocog.org/projects-rfps/12th-avenue-south-corridor-study

## Please direct all questions and comments to:

Apex Engineering, Matt Kinsella: 701-373-7987
matt.kinsella@apexenggroup.com

Engineering Group

## CURRENT FACTS ABOUT 12TH AVENUE SOUTH:

3,100-7,000 vehicles move along segments of 12th Avenue each day.

900+ MATBUS riders a month use one of 9 bus stops for 3 routes currently driving on or crossing 12th Avenue.

2,400+ daily pedestrian crossing movements are made at the intersection of 12th Avenue South and 8th Street while area colleges are in session.

9 key intersections,
2 that are signalized, will be evaluated and studied, including 24-hour turning movement counts, wait times, queue length and crash data.

In the Mid-1950s 12th Avenue South was first graded and paved from River Drive to 20th Street, and the section from 20th Street east to Main Avenue SE was graded and paved in 1964.

188 trees and hedges are planted in the boulevard, plus the landmark "Crazy Tree" grows on the corner of Concordia College's campus.
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## Title VI Public Participation Survey (Optional)
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Why is this Study Needed?

- Vital East-West Corridor in
the Area Network
- Evaluate Current and
Future Needs - Upcoming 2020
Construction Project
- Inform Short-Term and
Long-Range Planning
 METROCOG
Existing Traffic Conditions
High Level Capacity Analysis
• 2-lane roadway capacity $=10,000$ vehicles/day
• 3-lane roadway capacity $=18,000$ vehicles/day
$12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue South currently carries between 3,100 and
7,000 vehicles/day



Existing Traffic Conditions

- Leverational Analysis Results
( All intersections operating at LOS D or
higher
$\quad$ • EB Left turn movement at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Queuing - no issues
- Crashes - no issues


METROCOG

## Traffic Projections

- High-Level Capacity Analysis
- 9,700 vehicles/day highest future ( $8^{\text {th }}$ St to $17^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ )



pportunities
O
Public Input
Previous
Public Input Meeting \#1 - held on September 20, 2018
- 25 attendees signed in

METROCOG



## Heard From You

th Avenue?

$0 \% \quad 10 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 30 \% \quad 40 \% \quad 50 \% \quad 60 \% \quad 70 \% \quad 80 \% \quad 90 \% \quad 100 \%$
What We Heard From You




What We Heard From You
Top Commented Categories:

- Pavement Condition
pavenent Condition
- Railroad Crossing Improvement

Transit Facilities
Trees and Streetscaping
$\square$


METROCOG
Issues and Needs
Traffic Operations
Traffic Operations and Geometric Improvements

- No Additional Capacity (Widening) Required
- Delay for Eastbound Traffic at $8^{\text {th }}$ Stree
- $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Horizontal Offset at $11^{\text {th }}$ Street Intersection
- Steep Vertical Grade at BNSF Railroad east of 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

METROCOG
Issues and Needs
Pedestrian and Bic
Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity



Issues and Needs
Parking and Access Management
Reduce Access Conflicts

- On-Street Parking
- Pull-Out Parking/Loading Areas


Streetscaping and Trees
- Concordia-Area Enhancements
Preservation of Existing Trees

METROCOG
Streetscape Ideas
Possible Aesthetic Enhancements
- Street artwork/stamping
- Sidewalk art
- Utility box art
- MATBUS shelters/bench

Issues and Needs
Alternative Develo
Alternative Development \& Evaluation
- Three Segments
- River Drive to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street

METROCOG
River Dr to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Alternatives

METROCOG



Street Alternatives
Street to $20^{\text {th }}$
$8^{\text {th }}$


## -

Alternatives

METROCOG


METROCOG



Multiple Ways to Provide Input
Talk to team members tonight

- New online survey link available starting tonight

Complete comment forms - leave here or mail in
Email your comments:

- Contact info is provided on forms and handout

Study Schedule and Next Steps


- July - October 2018: $1^{\text {st }}$ Online Survey Available
- September 2018: Public Input Meeting \#1
- March 2019: Public Input Meeting \#2
- April 2019: 2nd Online Survey Available
- April 2019: Draft Study Report
- May 2019: Board and Council Approvals
- May 2019: Final Study Report

Spring - Summer 2020: Construction
Thank You for Attending!

- Questions and Comments


Apexat
(1)

## Appendix C

Online Survey Summaries

## Q1 Where do you live?

Answered: 170 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directly on 12th Avenue | $7.65 \%$ |
| Within six blocks of 12th Avenue | $57.65 \%$ |
| On campus student housing (input hall name or apartment name below) | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other (i.e. street, neighborhood, student housing hall, city) | $39.41 \%$ |

Total Respondents: 170

| \# | OTHER (I.E. STREET, NEIGHBORHOOD, STUDENT HOUSING HALL, CITY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Own rental on 12 Ave and property right off 12th Ave in Industrial Park | 10/9/2018 11:16 PM |
| 2 | Just north of MSUM | 10/9/2018 3:44 PM |
| 3 | Fargo | 10/9/2018 3:06 PM |
| 4 | Within 8 blocks of 12th Avenue | 10/9/2018 11:53 AM |
| 5 | 18th St. S. | 10/9/2018 11:10 AM |
| 6 | City | 10/9/2018 10:50 AM |
| 7 | I live In Dilworth, Mn | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 8 | 3922 6th St S, Moorhead | 10/9/2018 9:08 AM |
| 9 | Village Green | 10/9/2018 9:04 AM |
| 10 | 11th St S | 10/9/2018 8:38 AM |
| 11 | 8 blocks south of 12th Avenue | 10/9/2018 12:37 AM |
| 12 | 6th ave n Moorhead | 10/8/2018 8:27 PM |
| 13 | Barnesville | 10/8/2018 6:07 PM |

Moorhead 12th Avenue South Corridor Study - Public Input Survey

| 14 | 1215 2nd Ave s | 10/8/2018 5:59 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | By Horizon, but I use the street all the time \& used to live on it | 10/8/2018 4:42 PM |
| 16 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 4:25 PM |
| 17 | 41st Ave S | 10/8/2018 4:22 PM |
| 18 | South Moorhead, 40045 South Rivershore Drive | 10/8/2018 2:39 PM |
| 19 | Brookdale | 10/8/2018 2:38 PM |
| 20 | 1207 4th Ave S Moorhead | 10/8/2018 2:12 PM |
| 21 | 6th Ave N | 10/8/2018 1:38 PM |
| 22 | between 11th \& 12th | 10/8/2018 1:25 PM |
| 23 | 13th Street S | 10/8/2018 1:23 PM |
| 24 | In Fargo | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 25 | Between 18 \& 19th avenues south | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 26 | north moorhead | 10/8/2018 1:08 PM |
| 27 | 12th Ave N. | 10/3/2018 10:40 PM |
| 28 | Near Fleet Farm in Fargo | 9/20/2018 8:21 PM |
| 29 | 529 Maple Ln | 9/20/2018 1:28 PM |
| 30 | Morningside subdivision | 9/19/2018 7:48 PM |
| 31 | Elm st south | 9/18/2018 7:40 AM |
| 32 | Ridgewood Edition. I am working, cannot attend meeting. Please make sure you read my survey. Thank you. | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 33 | 20th Ave / 8th St S | 9/17/2018 9:46 AM |
| 34 | N/A | 9/17/2018 8:30 AM |
| 35 | 37th ave and 4th st south | 9/16/2018 10:27 PM |
| 36 | Meadows lane | 9/16/2018 10:19 AM |
| 37 | 30th Street South in Village Green area | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |
| 38 | South of the interstate | 9/16/2018 4:34 AM |
| 39 | Glyndon, MN | 9/15/2018 10:44 PM |
| 40 | 340010 th St S | 9/15/2018 1:37 PM |
| 41 | Ellen Hopkins Area | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 42 | 5th St S | 9/14/2018 9:13 PM |
| 43 | North Moorhead | 9/14/2018 6:41 PM |
| 44 | Fargo | 9/14/2018 4:24 PM |
| 45 | Dilworth | 9/14/2018 3:15 PM |
| 46 | Lived directly on 12 th Ave until $8 / 1 / 18$. Now live one block away. | 9/14/2018 2:29 PM |
| 47 | 418 5TH ST S | 9/14/2018 2:07 PM |
| 48 | 4th ave and 12th st s | 9/14/2018 1:36 PM |
| 49 | In Moorhead | 9/14/2018 1:33 PM |
| 50 | North Moorhead | 9/14/2018 11:59 AM |
| 51 | 1202 Elm st. S. | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 52 | South Fargo | 9/14/2018 8:08 AM |
| 53 | South Moorhead | 9/13/2018 4:55 PM |
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| 54 | Fargo | 9/13/2018 2:24 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | neighborhood | 9/13/2018 1:53 PM |
| 56 | 1411 20th St. S. | 9/13/2018 1:04 PM |
| 57 | Fargo | 9/13/2018 12:44 PM |
| 58 | Fargo, ND | 9/13/2018 12:30 PM |
| 59 | Westmoor Greens Neighborhood | 9/13/2018 9:25 AM |
| 60 | 4326 South Rivershore Drive | 9/13/2018 8:30 AM |
| 61 | 10 the st and 21 ave | 9/11/2018 8:31 AM |
| 62 | south Moorhead | 9/6/2018 10:57 AM |
| 63 | Near 34th St. | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |
| 64 | Brook Ave | 8/22/2018 2:58 PM |
| 65 | Westminster Dr | 7/29/2018 11:11 PM |
| 66 | 33rd st. N | 7/25/2018 11:18 PM |
| 67 | South Moorhead | 7/18/2018 1:56 PM |

## Q2 Where do you work/go to school?

Answered: 169 Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moorhead Industrial Park | $1.78 \%$ | 3 |
| Eventide | $1.78 \%$ | 3 |
| At Concordia College | $10.65 \%$ | 18 |
| At MSU-Moorhead | $11.24 \%$ | 19 |
| Other (please specify) | $74.56 \%$ | 126 |
| TOTAL |  | 169 |


| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | fargo | 10/12/2018 7:34 AM |
| 2 | North Fargo | 10/11/2018 5:52 PM |
| 3 | Fargo | 10/10/2018 9:57 PM |
| 4 | Retired | 10/10/2018 11:02 AM |
| 5 | FARGO | 10/9/2018 4:48 PM |
| 6 | Retired | 10/9/2018 3:44 PM |
| 7 | West Fargo | 10/9/2018 11:53 AM |
| 8 | Retired | 10/9/2018 11:48 AM |
| 9 | Downtown Fargo | 10/9/2018 11:35 AM |
| 10 | downtown Moorhead | 10/9/2018 11:10 AM |
| 11 | Around the block of 8th street and 24th ave south | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 12 | off of 30th Ave. | 10/9/2018 9:35 AM |
| 13 | Moorhead Public Library | 10/9/2018 9:08 AM |
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| 14 | Fargo Airport | 10/9/2018 9:04 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | NDSU | 10/9/2018 8:38 AM |
| 16 | Hornbachers main Ave | 10/9/2018 5:20 AM |
| 17 | Work at home | 10/9/2018 1:30 AM |
| 18 | Work remotely | 10/9/2018 12:37 AM |
| 19 | Downtown Fargo | 10/8/2018 10:52 PM |
| 20 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 10:46 PM |
| 21 | Downtown Fargo | 10/8/2018 9:50 PM |
| 22 | Sanford/ Mstate | 10/8/2018 9:28 PM |
| 23 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 8:39 PM |
| 24 | Office at CCRI and clients all over Moorhead. | 10/8/2018 8:27 PM |
| 25 | Horizon Middle School | 10/8/2018 8:05 PM |
| 26 | West Fargo | 10/8/2018 6:23 PM |
| 27 | I work for the city of Moorhead | 10/8/2018 6:07 PM |
| 28 | Moorhead public schools | 10/8/2018 5:59 PM |
| 29 | Retired | 10/8/2018 5:39 PM |
| 30 | I work in Dilworth | 10/8/2018 5:08 PM |
| 31 | Don't work/school | 10/8/2018 4:42 PM |
| 32 | Our Redeemer | 10/8/2018 4:22 PM |
| 33 | Farmstead Care | 10/8/2018 3:32 PM |
| 34 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 3:08 PM |
| 35 | Sanford 194 Hospital | 10/8/2018 2:49 PM |
| 36 | Children go to school at MHS and Horizon MS. | 10/8/2018 2:39 PM |
| 37 | Our Redeemer Lutheran Church | 10/8/2018 2:36 PM |
| 38 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 2:19 PM |
| 39 | Fargo | 10/8/2018 2:18 PM |
| 40 | Home | 10/8/2018 2:12 PM |
| 41 | Downtown Moorhead | 10/8/2018 1:38 PM |
| 42 | St Joes | 10/8/2018 1:23 PM |
| 43 | Near MSUM | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 44 | Granddaughter goes to Horizon Middle School | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 45 | Work from home, but our kids attend Horizon and Dodd's. | 10/8/2018 1:11 PM |
| 46 | on main ave in moorhead, daughter goes to ellen hopkins | 10/8/2018 1:08 PM |
| 47 | MatBus | 10/3/2018 10:40 PM |
| 48 | Fargo downtown | 9/28/2018 4:34 PM |
| 49 | Fargo | 9/24/2018 10:27 PM |
| 50 | City of Moorhead | 9/21/2018 8:15 AM |
| 51 | fargo downtown | 9/20/2018 4:27 PM |
| 52 | Fargo | 9/20/2018 9:11 AM |
| 53 | Work out of my home with travel regionally | 9/20/2018 5:36 AM |
| 54 | Fargo | 9/19/2018 7:48 PM |
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| 55 | Retired | 9/19/2018 5:28 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Robert Asp, Horizon Middle School, North Fargo | 9/19/2018 4:22 PM |
| 57 | retired | 9/19/2018 3:17 PM |
| 58 | Moorhead public schools, MSUM, Park Christian | 9/19/2018 9:47 AM |
| 59 | FARGO | 9/18/2018 1:33 PM |
| 60 | the meadows | 9/18/2018 9:20 AM |
| 61 | Mapleton Nd | 9/18/2018 7:40 AM |
| 62 | West Fargo | 9/17/2018 9:19 PM |
| 63 | courthouse | 9/17/2018 5:04 PM |
| 64 | I live near 12th Avenue, but I work in Fargo | 9/17/2018 11:22 AM |
| 65 | South Moorhead | 9/17/2018 10:45 AM |
| 66 | North Moorhead | 9/17/2018 9:46 AM |
| 67 | N/A | 9/17/2018 8:30 AM |
| 68 | Fargo | 9/17/2018 8:06 AM |
| 69 | Various locations - in home tutoring at students residence | 9/16/2018 11:18 PM |
| 70 | Mhd water plant | 9/16/2018 10:27 PM |
| 71 | Retired | 9/16/2018 8:48 PM |
| 72 | Glyndon | 9/16/2018 6:50 PM |
| 73 | Elementry School So. of town | 9/16/2018 11:27 AM |
| 74 | Retired | 9/16/2018 10:19 AM |
| 75 | NDSU | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |
| 76 | City of Moorhead | 9/15/2018 10:44 PM |
| 77 | Retired | 9/15/2018 4:52 PM |
| 78 | Dorothy Dodds Elementary school | 9/15/2018 1:37 PM |
| 79 | North Side of Fargo | 9/15/2018 1:10 PM |
| 80 | NDSU | 9/15/2018 10:49 AM |
| 81 | Horizon Middle School | 9/14/2018 9:51 PM |
| 82 | North Fargo | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 83 | retired | 9/14/2018 9:13 PM |
| 84 | 34th st \& 29th ave | 9/14/2018 9:02 PM |
| 85 | north Fargo | 9/14/2018 7:45 PM |
| 86 | Son's daycare at Our Redeemer, work downtown Moorhead | 9/14/2018 6:57 PM |
| 87 | Self employed professional photographer | 9/14/2018 6:41 PM |
| 88 | NDSU | 9/14/2018 5:00 PM |
| 89 | East of Moorhead | 9/14/2018 4:53 PM |
| 90 | at home | 9/14/2018 4:48 PM |
| 91 | retired | 9/14/2018 4:39 PM |
| 92 | Clay County | 9/14/2018 4:24 PM |
| 93 | Retired from NDSU | 9/14/2018 3:52 PM |
| 94 | Air national guard | 9/14/2018 3:30 PM |
| 95 | retired | 9/14/2018 2:33 PM |
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| 96 | South Fargo | 9/14/2018 2:29 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | South Fargo | 9/14/2018 2:21 PM |
| 98 | work in South Moorhead and South Fargo, but kids at schools - Concordia, St Joes, Horizon and MHS | 9/14/2018 2:07 PM |
| 99 | Home | 9/14/2018 1:36 PM |
| 100 | in Fargo | 9/14/2018 1:34 PM |
| 101 | Downtown | 9/14/2018 1:33 PM |
| 102 | Fargo | 9/14/2018 1:20 PM |
| 103 | Fargo | 9/14/2018 12:29 PM |
| 104 | Fargo | 9/14/2018 11:59 AM |
| 105 | VA Hospital | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 106 | Downtown Fargo | 9/13/2018 11:39 PM |
| 107 | Robert Asp elementary | 9/13/2018 6:32 PM |
| 108 | Downtown Fargo | 9/13/2018 4:55 PM |
| 109 | The Village Family Services | 9/13/2018 2:24 PM |
| 110 | Hector airport | 9/13/2018 1:30 PM |
| 111 | Disabled | 9/13/2018 1:04 PM |
| 112 | Fargo | 9/13/2018 12:33 PM |
| 113 | downtown | 9/13/2018 9:25 AM |
| 114 | Hornbacher's | 9/13/2018 8:30 AM |
| 115 | Home | 9/11/2018 8:31 AM |
| 116 | Fargo | 9/7/2018 2:48 PM |
| 117 | Work in the urban progress zone of downtown. | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |
| 118 | Fargo | 8/22/2018 3:38 PM |
| 119 | Work from home | 8/22/2018 2:58 PM |
| 120 | Downtown Fargo | 8/21/2018 3:56 PM |
| 121 | City of Moorhead | 8/9/2018 8:49 PM |
| 122 | NDSU | 8/3/2018 11:00 AM |
| 123 | Drive throughout Moorhead | 7/29/2018 11:11 PM |
| 124 | All over the metro | 7/25/2018 11:18 PM |
| 125 | Downtown Moorhead | 7/18/2018 1:56 PM |
| 126 | Fargo public schools | 7/10/2018 8:15 AM |

## Q3 How often do you travel 12th Avenue?



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multiple times per day | $59.65 \%$ | 102 |
| Once per day | $10.53 \%$ | 18 |
| Two to three times per week | $19.30 \%$ | 33 |
| Once per week | $7.60 \%$ | 13 |
| Less than once per week | $2.92 \%$ | 5 |
| TOTAL |  | 171 |

## Q4 How do you most often travel 12th Avenue?



| ANSWER CHOICES |  | RESPONSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle |  | 89.47\% |  | 153 |
| Bicycle |  | 3.51\% |  | 6 |
| Walk/Run |  | 2.34\% |  | 4 |
| Bus |  | 2.34\% |  | 4 |
| Other (please specify) |  | 2.34\% |  | 4 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 171 |
| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) |  | DATE |  |
| 1 | Almost exclusively by bicycle; PLEASE INCLUDE BIKE LANES |  | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |  |
| 2 | . |  | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |  |
| 3 | Vehicle - but I would like to use my bike more |  | 9/13/2018 9:25 AM |  |
| 4 | Rollerblade, bus, and vehicle. I frequently drive on 12th for work, but will often rolleblade when going to work or downtown. Occasionally use the bus. |  | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |  |

# Q5 For what reasons do you use 12th Avenue? (check all that apply) 



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| To get to/from work | $63.16 \%$ | 108 |
| To get to/from school | $21.05 \%$ | 36 |
| To get to/from home | $61.40 \%$ | 105 |
| To visit family/friends | $40.94 \%$ | 70 |
| To go shopping/run errands | $75.44 \%$ | 129 |
| For recreation/exercise/parks | $34.50 \%$ | 59 |
| Other (please specify) | $15.20 \%$ | 26 |
| Total Respondents: 171 |  |  |


| $\#$ | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Walking my dog | 10/10/2018 9:57 PM |
| 2 | Medical appts. | 10/10/2018 11:02 AM |
| 3 | I live on the Edge od Dilworth and I have to either backtrack and grab HWY 10 to the interstate or | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 4 | lalso across 12th Ave S on my daily walk. | 10/9/2018 8:38 AM |
| 5 | To get to daycare services | $10 / 8 / 2018$ 6:51 PM |
| 6 | Work | $10 / 8 / 2018$ 6:07 PM |
| 7 | Tolfrom the Library | $10 / 8 / 2018$ 4:42 PM |
| 8 | Drop off and pick up child from daycare | $10 / 8 / 2018$ 4:25 PM |
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| 9 | Walking pets | 10/8/2018 3:08 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | to/from youth hockey arena | 10/8/2018 2:49 PM |
| 11 | To go out to eat | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 12 | dance class held at church | 10/8/2018 1:08 PM |
| 13 | to walk my dogs | 9/19/2018 8:33 PM |
| 14 | My child goes to daycare at Our Redeemer. I travel on 12th after dropping him off and going to pick him up. | 9/17/2018 9:19 PM |
| 15 | To get to my church, to get to N. Fargo, via Main St. | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 16 | Go to the lake country | 9/16/2018 8:48 PM |
| 17 | Drive on for only $1 / 2$ block to get to 20th St. | 9/16/2018 11:27 AM |
| 18 | Chiropractor appointments | 9/16/2018 10:19 AM |
| 19 | To complete work duties | 9/15/2018 10:44 PM |
| 20 | It will be a main detour route during the 20th st underpass. Also to get my son to and from school, Horizon. | 9/15/2018 1:37 PM |
| 21 | go to frequent medical appointments at clinics | 9/14/2018 2:33 PM |
| 22 | . | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 23 | Kids activities at MSUM | 9/13/2018 8:30 AM |
| 24 | Alternative route if trains are blocking Main and 20th/21st. | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |
| 25 | Work | 7/29/2018 11:11 PM |
| 26 | Avoid trains | 7/25/2018 11:18 PM |

# Q6 What roadway or safety improvements do you feel are needed along 12th Avenue? (select all that apply) 



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Improvements that allow for quicker travel through the corridor | $29.24 \%$ | 50 |
| Improvements that slow or calm traffic through the corridor | $23.98 \%$ | 41 |
| Additional turn lanes | $26.90 \%$ | 46 |
| Additional/enhanced crosswalk pavement and markings | $43.27 \%$ | 74 |
| Additional on-street parking | $1.75 \%$ | 3 |
| Less on-street parking | $19.30 \%$ | 3 |
| Traffic signals | $15.20 \%$ | 3 |
| Sidewalk \& street lighting | $49.12 \%$ | 26 |
| None | $9.36 \%$ | 84 |
| Other (please specify) | $29.24 \%$ | 16 |


| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Enforcement of current Laws concern pedestrians not obeying traffic laws. Also enforcement of the laws concerning motorized vehicles on sidewalks near Concordia campus. | 10/14/2018 10:30 AM |
| 2 | Fix the actual road - it's horrible from 11th St to 20th St S | 10/11/2018 5:52 PM |
| 3 | A site of buses to get out of traffic to load and unload passengers | 10/9/2018 4:48 PM |
| 4 | Enhanced bike lane | 10/9/2018 3:44 PM |
| 5 | Landscaping, public art, places of business, independent eateries (NOT fast food or fast coffee chains found elsewhere) | 10/9/2018 11:35 AM |
| 6 | make sure there is better warning sign to not ride bike over the bridge. probably make a tunnel like barrier for people on sidewalk or bike can do away fromm the cold winter nights. better sidewalks and some places put in sidewalks for the first time. Also should fix the humpty dumpty part of the road, but right now it catches anyone going to fast. | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 7 | Bike Lane is needed | 10/9/2018 9:04 AM |
| 8 | Add sidewalks between Main Ave and 20th St | 10/8/2018 10:46 PM |
| 9 | More open lanes of travel. | 10/8/2018 9:28 PM |
| 10 | Bike path upkeep | 10/8/2018 6:23 PM |
| 11 | LEAVE THIS ROAD ALONE! | 10/8/2018 5:46 PM |
| 12 | a much needed bike lane from 8th St. S. to Old 52 along 12th ave s. | 10/8/2018 5:08 PM |
| 13 | Seems fine the way it is - just regular maintenance. | 10/8/2018 4:42 PM |
| 14 | It currently feels like an over-used residential street rather than a traffic artery. | 10/8/2018 2:38 PM |
| 15 | The road needs to be redone. It is very rough to travel in between Concordia and 20th St. Street lights instead of stop signs would improve flow of traffic. | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 16 | The surface needs to be smoothed and repaved. It is very uneven, rolling, bumpy. | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 17 | Not sure if sidewalk \& street lighting means lighting of sidewalks or presence of sidewalks. There are areas where there are major gaps in the presence of sidewalks that should be addressed (SE Main - 20 St). Traffic light at 12th Av \& Ridgewood Blvd as traffic volumes increase it's hard to make a left onto 12 Av S. | 9/21/2018 8:15 AM |
| 18 | Additional signs | 9/20/2018 8:21 PM |
| 19 | BIKE LANES between SE Main \& 20th St. Real ones; not just ones painted in the gravel at the edge. And a sidewalk or bike path on the same portion. It is simply dangerous! | 9/20/2018 4:42 PM |
| 20 | none where i am at | 9/20/2018 4:27 PM |
| 21 | improved space for bike riders | 9/20/2018 5:36 AM |
| 22 | bike lane | 9/19/2018 8:33 PM |
| 23 | regrading/repaving road surface, especially between 16th Street and 20th Street | 9/19/2018 9:47 AM |
| 24 | Resurface would help | 9/18/2018 6:58 PM |
| 25 | Better maintainence of the intersections in the winter. They are too slippery! | 9/18/2018 1:48 PM |
| 26 | Pavement maintained well enough for bicycle use. | 9/17/2018 5:04 PM |
| 27 | Why stop at 2th Ave $S$ and Main? You need to continue east on Main, consider improvemnts. Consider a traffic light at 12th Ave S and Ridgewood Edition. Take signs and trim trees obstructing view when turning east, from Appleteree Lane. The school traffic is difficult to manage at times when trying to turn from Ridgewood onto 12th Ave S. Keep the traffic signal at 12th Ave $S$ and Main just like it is set up now. We endured a horrible traffice light situation for many years until you finally replaced the traffic signals a few years ago. Leave those alone, do not change. Please | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 28 | Better bike safety improvements. | 9/17/2018 12:52 PM |


| 29 | Demarcated bike lanes, transit shelters with heat coils, boulevard medians with trees, better pedestrian crossing at RR tracks on 20th St, sidewalks east of 20th Street | 9/17/2018 8:06 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | Underpass at 20 th Street | 9/16/2018 8:48 PM |
| 31 | East of 20th street the 30mph speed limit seems too slow | 9/16/2018 10:19 AM |
| 32 | Bike lanes | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |
| 33 | slow traffic at west end in residential neighborhood; bike lane between Concordia and Main | 9/15/2018 10:49 AM |
| 34 | Mostly neighborhood homes......residential. Does not need to have faster traffic flow. | 9/14/2018 9:37 PM |
| 35 | Bridge connecting 12th Ave to 13th Ave S in Fargo for traffic and pedestrians/bikes. | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 36 | It could've use some center striping last Fall, really needs it now between 8th and 20th streets. | 9/14/2018 4:53 PM |
| 37 | round-about | 9/14/2018 4:48 PM |
| 38 | Use of the existing skywalk at 8th Street and 12th Avenue | 9/14/2018 4:24 PM |
| 39 | Smooth pavement. | 9/14/2018 2:29 PM |
| 40 | In particular the corner at 8th is dangerous for biking/walking children. No turn on red is regularly violated putting bikes/pedestrians at great risk. More kids biking across 20th to the middle school is tricky as well. | 9/14/2018 2:21 PM |
| 41 | Needs to be wider, no room for head to head when vehicles are parked on each side and even sometime when 1 side (snow) | 9/14/2018 1:34 PM |
| 42 | Bike lane | 9/14/2018 1:20 PM |
| 43 | . | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 44 | The major problem I deal with is college student/pedestrians that seem unable to follow the traffic signals and step out into the street and impede those vehicles that are trying to turn. Nothing anyone can do about that. | 9/13/2018 6:32 PM |
| 45 | Bicycle Facilities; ADA compliant sidewalks | 9/13/2018 4:55 PM |
| 46 | fix the railroad crossing by 20th st | 9/13/2018 1:30 PM |
| 47 | Level out the RR crossing | 9/7/2018 2:48 PM |
| 48 | Better bike lanes. The ones that run through the industrial park are constantly covered in debris, broken glass, loose gravel, and potholes. I've nearly wiped out several times and have been almost hit by traffic because the city doesn't properly take care of or, quite frankly, care about anything other than moving vehicular traffic. | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |
| 49 | Rail road underpass. Bike lanes throughout. | 8/3/2018 11:00 AM |
| 50 | Street sweeper never cleans the south bike lane on12th. Biking on gravel is dangerous. T | 7/10/2018 8:15 AM |

# Q7 What multi-modal (bicycle/pedestrian/transit) or aesthetic improvements do you feel would enhance 12th Avenue? (select all that apply) 

Answered: 171 Skipped: 1


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Continuous sidewalks on both sides of the street | $47.37 \%$ | 81 |
| A continuous shared use path (walking, bicycling, skating, etc.) on one side of the road | $49.71 \%$ | 85 |
| Continuous on-street bike lanes | $28.07 \%$ | 48 |
| Improvements for cyclists and pedestrians at the railroad crossing near 20th Street | $53.22 \%$ | 91 |
| Better transit amenities (e.g. transit shelters, benches) for MATBUS users | $23.39 \%$ | 40 |
| New streetscape improvements (e.g. lighting, landscaping, seating, special sidewalk paving, public art/sidewalk art) | $45.61 \%$ | 78 |
| Preserving existing boulevard trees | $55.56 \%$ | 95 |
| Bury overhead electric power lines | $38.01 \%$ | 65 |
| None | $7.02 \%$ | 12 |
| Other (please specify) | $14.62 \%$ | 25 |


| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Keep pedestrians and bicyclists off the street. Vehicles and pedestrians don't mix. The shear size differences between bikes and cars makes a simple mistake a tragedy. | 10/14/2018 10:30 AM |
| 2 | I already answered half of that | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 3 | Greenery and safety features for pedestrian travel in industrial park | 10/8/2018 7:01 PM |
| 4 | separate walk / bike paths would be nice. It isn't safe to bike on 12th. | 10/8/2018 6:23 PM |
| 5 | Resurfacing - currently very bumpy and bouncy pavement. | 10/8/2018 2:39 PM |
| 6 | Redo pavement. The rough pavement looks bad and would impove curb appeal and travel along the road. | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 7 | Improved pedestrian safety at busy intersections | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 8 | Or replacement of boulevard trees if some have to be removed for safety improvements. | 9/21/2018 8:15 AM |
| 9 | Off street bike lane, I ride here with my son frequently | 9/19/2018 7:48 PM |
| 10 | No sidewalk art ! | 9/18/2018 6:58 PM |
| 11 | Monitor traffice between Main and 34th St. on 12th Ave S. Drivers are always speeding that section of 12th Ave S as they also do from Main to 20th St. S., on 12th Ave. | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 12 | A boulevard median with trees and public art along the whole avenue. Also street trees east of the RR tracks. I can see the ugly storage tanks and industrial buildings from my house. It makes Moorhead look really dumpy and ugly. | 9/17/2018 8:06 AM |
| 13 | I don't view bike lanes as an "enhancement" but a priority/necessity | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |
| 14 | Remove stop signs if you want it to be a corridor of traffic. | 9/15/2018 4:56 PM |
| 15 | diversify tree and other boulevard plantings | 9/15/2018 10:49 AM |
| 16 | Bridge connecting 12th Ave to 13th Ave S in Fargo for traffic and pedestrians/bikes. | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 17 | Don't lose the "Crazy Tree!" It is a landmark! | 9/14/2018 5:00 PM |
| 18 | Round-abouts? | 9/14/2018 3:15 PM |
| 19 | On-street bike lanes would be good where the streets are wide enough. Speeding bicyclers who don't use warning bells that come up behind unsuspecting sidewalk pedestrians are not appreciated. | 9/14/2018 2:33 PM |
| 20 | - | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 21 | Would roundabout help traffic flow at 20th Street? | 9/14/2018 8:08 AM |
| 22 | improving the look through the industrial park area on the east end, plant trees, etc | 9/13/2018 1:30 PM |
| 23 | Some cars like to go as fast as possible between 11th \& 14th Streets. I still want to park my car on 12th avenue. No way do I want a bike trail on the street and lose my parking. Keep them off the busy streets. Powerlines were promised to be buried in the 1950s and never were. I want my boulevard trees for shading my home. Please do not widen this street! Use 20th and 8th street as major throughfares. | 9/13/2018 12:33 PM |
| 24 | Trail policies from the 2004 Comprehensive plan calls for sidewalks on both sides of streets. Either start following your own plans or start a new one! | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |
| 25 | Street sweeper can't effectively clean this stretch of road. Please try to clean the gravel. | 7/10/2018 8:15 AM |

# Q8 Are there any intersections or portions of 12th Avenue that you have safety concerns with? 

Answered: 157 Skipped: 15


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $42.68 \%$ | 67 |
| Yes (please explain) | $57.32 \%$ | 90 |
| TOTAL |  | 157 |


| \# | YES (PLEASE EXPLAIN) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As I mentioned earlier the amount of motorized vehicle traffic on the sidewalks on the corner of 8th and main is disturbing. The other day while trying to take a right turn from 12th heading east to eighth heading south, the light turned green for me. Naturally I had to wait for the pedestrians to cross first. So did the guy on the atv on the sidewalk. When it cleared for me to take my turn he pulled right out in front of me. | 10/14/2018 10:30 AM |
| 2 | intersection at 20th st. This intersection needs to be redone and lower the cross slope so there is not such a bump. | 10/12/2018 7:34 AM |
| 3 | Section between 11th St \& 20th St is full of uneven road - dips \& heaves. | 10/11/2018 5:52 PM |
| 4 | 20th st and old 52 | 10/10/2018 9:57 PM |
| 5 | 8th Street/Hwy 75 Pedestrians not obeying crossing signals \& turning cars not obeying signals. | 10/10/2018 11:02 AM |
| 6 | 20 th street 8th street Cars blowing thru red lights | 10/9/2018 3:44 PM |
| 7 | 12th Ave \& 20th Street | 10/9/2018 3:06 PM |
| 8 | Main Ave, 20th St, train crossings, 11th St | 10/9/2018 11:35 AM |
| 9 | Where it appears opened up the speed limit is still 30, most people do not do that | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 10 | It can be difficult as a pedestrian to cross 12th Ave S at the intersections of 11th St and 14th St. | 10/9/2018 8:38 AM |
| 11 | From 20th st to hwy 52 | 10/9/2018 5:20 AM |
| 12 | Concordia students frequently jaywalk at 12th and 8th street even though Concordia has provided an enclosed walkway at considerable expense. | 10/8/2018 10:52 PM |
| 13 | 8th st/12th ave needs better traffic flow for vehicles | 10/8/2018 9:28 PM |
| 14 | 12th avenue from 20th street to Main Avenue is not safe for pedestrians. The bike lane is consistently full of dirt and gravel, making it dangerous. | 10/8/2018 8:05 PM |


| 15 | Commuting on 12th ave south with the increased traffic from the underpass diversion is difficult. Seems to be too much traffic trying to use the roadway. 12th Avenue intersections at 20th and 8th street and dangerous as traffic does not stop when their light turns red. Many times vehicles through the yellow and red lights. I literally see it happening daily. So much so that when my light turns green I choose to wait extra and check. | 10/8/2018 6:51 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Ridgewood and 12th. Traffic goes by on 12th at a high rate of speed. $45 \mathrm{mph}+$ in a 30 is common. Either make it a faster road with stop signs leading up to it or add some stop lights. | 10/8/2018 6:23 PM |
| 17 | 20th Street over the tracks and possible across main Ave can be hairy. | 10/8/2018 5:59 PM |
| 18 | 12th Ave and 5th street | 10/8/2018 5:39 PM |
| 19 | Many motorists do not come to a full stop at multiple intersections. Especially 11th St. S. and 12th Ave and 14th St. S. and 12th Ave. | 10/8/2018 5:08 PM |
| 20 | The one way streets that connect to 12th, they aren't clearly marked and I've often found myself encountering someone driving the wrong way down the one ways | 10/8/2018 4:25 PM |
| 21 | Our Redeemer has a daycare and with all the continuous traffic from the stop sign on 14 th St , it is very difficult for all the daycare vehicles to turn from 16th St back on to 12th Ave. Also, the daycare kids go on stroller and bike rides along 12th Ave and have to cross it to get to lamb park. Ensuring their safety is important. | 10/8/2018 4:22 PM |
| 22 | 12th and 8th. The no right on red is dumb. Rarely anyone in cross walk... | 10/8/2018 3:08 PM |
| 23 | The railroad track at 20th and 12th is a challenge | 10/8/2018 3:08 PM |
| 24 | 12th Ave and 20th Street. There is significant contour/bounciness in the at intersection. Smooth out that intersection. It's hard on my car and I drive slowly through that intersection. | 10/8/2018 2:39 PM |
| 25 | 20th street. 8th street is OK, but probably can be improved. | 10/8/2018 2:38 PM |
| 26 | Visibility is low when entering the corridor and lack of pedestrian crossings means kids are frequently running across the street to the bus stop on the south side. | 10/8/2018 2:36 PM |
| 27 | It is hard to see oncoming traffic and unsafe when entering12th Ave from Appletree lane. | 10/8/2018 2:18 PM |
| 28 | The intersection of 12th \& 20th w/ the railroad crossing -- and the neighborhood around that same area is a bit sketchy. | 10/8/2018 1:38 PM |
| 29 | The acceleration of westbound traffic from the last stop sign on 4 street all the way to River drive. | 10/8/2018 1:25 PM |
| 30 | The lousy workmanship of the road. Stop cutting into the roads and making them crappy. | 10/8/2018 1:23 PM |
| 31 | The stop sign at 12th and 11 th st is bad and should be improved. | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 32 | 11 and 14th streets | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 33 | Pedestrians walking near the industrial park. They walk on the road and it is very unsafe. They need a sidewalk. | 9/28/2018 4:34 PM |
| 34 | The shared use bike path between 20th st and main is covered in dirt rocks along with being at a steep grade that is not safe for my elementary age children to travel. | 9/24/2018 10:27 PM |
| 35 | Hard to make safe left onto 12 Av S from Ridgewood Blvd during peak traffic. | 9/21/2018 8:15 AM |
| 36 | I wish there was a sign about the amount space between the intersection and the railroad tracks. | 9/20/2018 8:21 PM |
| 37 | Virtually all of them. MNDOT's attempts at crossing safety at 12th Av \& 8th St are a joke. Each quadrant is unique. What ever happened to standardization? The 20th St bike path at 12th Av directs bikes and pedestrians to the middle of the intersection. How weird is that. | 9/20/2018 4:42 PM |
| 38 | Even though outside of the current discussion, there is a need for a MARKED crosswalk for pedestrians on 12th Ave S AT 36th and 38th Street South by the Junior High. High traffic volumes make it a safety hazard for children trying to cross at those two intersections. thanks | 9/20/2018 4:00 PM |
| 39 | Crossing the severely jarring railroad tracks at 20th. I am worried it will harm my shocks/struts of my car. Also, buses (which have to stop) stop at the railroad tracks and trap vehicles in the intersection (both, traveling east on 12th at Main and 20th) when the light hurriedly turns red, allowing north/south-bound traffic to go. This is a hazard! Perhaps a lane for buses or ability for cars to get around the yielding buses. Not sure of the correct fix. | 9/20/2018 11:13 AM |
| 40 | Biking from 16th Street to Main Avenue is treacherous. | 9/19/2018 8:33 PM |


| 41 | 20th st railroad | 9/19/2018 7:48 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 12th Ave S and 3rd St S - major bus hub for school age children and no protection from vehicles during those times | 9/19/2018 4:22 PM |
| 43 | Every intersection on 12th avenue that is near a campus is a safety concern for pedestrians and bicyclists using the sidewalks. I've seen several near-accidents at stop signs where vehicles come in too quickly and nearly hit pedestrians and bicyclists | 9/19/2018 3:09 PM |
| 44 | At the one ways | 9/18/2018 6:58 PM |
| 45 | East of 20th St. With no sidewalk, I have either walked on the grounds (which are uneven, dirty, etc.) or on the street/gutter (which is dirty, unsafe). | 9/18/2018 4:38 PM |
| 46 | In the winter, 12th Ave and 14th Street and 12th Ave and 11th St. | 9/18/2018 1:48 PM |
| 47 | 8TH STREET HWY 52 20TH ST | 9/18/2018 1:33 PM |
| 48 | 12th and 14th. It would be nice to have additional turning lanes if possible. | 9/17/2018 9:19 PM |
| 49 | 12th Avenue and 11th Street. 12th Ave and 14th street. Lots of accidents there and very icy in the winter. Need more room for the MAT bus stops. | 9/17/2018 3:03 PM |
| 50 | Ridgewood and 12th Ave S. Main and 12th Ave S., 20th St. S. and Main Ave (on railroad tracks) | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 51 | 11th Street - especially in the fall people don't notice that the road is switching from 1-way to 2way. | 9/17/2018 12:52 PM |
| 52 | 20th St intersection (East Side) - A better transition to and from bike lanes on 12th Ave to bike path on 20th St. Heading west the bike lane just ends before the tracks. There is no safe way for a cyclist to head north or south on the bike path from 12th Ave. The cyclist either has to go to the intersection in the right turn lane to head north or cross all traffic to head south. Some type of paved bike lane/path which merges 20th St and 12th Ave on the west side of tracks would be helpful. | 9/17/2018 9:46 AM |
| 53 | 1. 20th St S intersection. There are no sidewalks east of 20th Street. 2. There is no crosswalk on $191 / 2$ St S to cross the road. The road is too wide to cross and is very scary to cross. I would never let my children cross the street alone. 3 . The yellow line at the intersection with the Concordia tree needs to be re-centered. Most drivers have the expectation that road is perfectly divided in half. I have seen a lot of close collisions at this intersection where cars are making a lefthand turn. | 9/17/2018 8:06 AM |
| 54 | 20th Street and 12th ave street lights slow the flow of traffic needs timing work | 9/16/2018 10:27 PM |
| 55 | Crosswalks to Concordia | 9/16/2018 6:50 PM |
| 56 | 12 Ave/Main Ave SE. When EB on 12 Ave, visibility of SB Main Ave is non existent unless you completely turn around in your seat due to the angles of the road. | 9/16/2018 4:34 AM |
| 57 | 8th St, 20th St, Hwy 52 | 9/15/2018 4:52 PM |
| 58 | 12th ave and Main/old 52. Especially in the winter, intersection gets extremely slippery making it hard for vehicles stopping at the speed limits. | 9/15/2018 1:37 PM |
| 59 | It should be a 4-way stop at 12th Avenue and Elm. The new striping down 12th from Concordia west is hazardous --forces vehicles into parked cars because lane is too narrow on half of street. | 9/15/2018 10:49 AM |
| 60 | 8th St and 12th Ave crosswalks | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 61 | Traffic is sometimes quite heavy on 12th avenue. Entering from neighborhood intersections, especially in winter when snow banks obscure vision, can be quite challenging. (Lived on 16th St for many years) | 9/14/2018 9:13 PM |
| 62 | 12th and Main when travelling East. Get behind a City bus, or any longer vehicle that has to stop for tracks, and you will find yourself in the intersection with a red light. The light does not stay green long for 1 or 2 vehicles. | 9/14/2018 4:53 PM |
| 63 | 8th street and 12th ave | 9/14/2018 4:48 PM |
| 64 | 8th Street and 12th Avenue and 20th Street and 12th Avenue | 9/14/2018 4:24 PM |


| 65 | Intersection with 8th Street where Concordia students won't use the elevated walkway Concordia students do not always use the designated xing area south of the Memorial Auditorium on the east side of that intersection. Also intersection with 18th or 19th Streets where people are crossing to the bus stop including young people | 9/14/2018 3:52 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | Train tracks and stop lights close together. | 9/14/2018 3:15 PM |
| 67 | When driving East on 12 th Ave $S$ in heavier traffic, it is difficult to see pedestrians and bicyclers that cross 12th Ave S to get to Concordia College and back from the street that borders the west end of Meritcare parking lot. | 9/14/2018 2:33 PM |
| 68 | At 12th Ave and 8th Street I would like to see electronic "no turn on red" signs that could change. During the summer when there are less Concordia students at the cross walks, right turns on red could be allowed. | 9/14/2018 2:29 PM |
| 69 | 8th, 20th, and Main $\sim \sim$ In particular the corner at 8 th is dangerous for biking/walking children. "No turn on red" is regularly violated putting bikes/pedestrians at great risk. There are more and more kids biking across 20th and Main to the middle school. It is tricky as well. | 9/14/2018 2:21 PM |
| 70 | Crossing at 20th, and again at the highway. This is a major route to the middle school and I am not comfortable having my kids travel it. I have them go to the high school and through the back way, but now that it is so torn apart there, I am unsure of how to get them to or from school in the spring when they bike... | 9/14/2018 2:07 PM |
| 71 | at the crossing 8th and at the rail road crossing | 9/14/2018 1:34 PM |
| 72 | stretch between SE Main \& 20th needs bike and pedestrian amenities/connectivity | 9/14/2018 1:33 PM |
| 73 | Make 11th St, north of 12th Ave, non one-way street | 9/14/2018 1:20 PM |
| 74 | 8th Street is much better than in the past, but always a point of concern with the high volume of traffic year round. | 9/14/2018 8:08 AM |
| 75 | In addition to the railroad crossing near 20th Street, bicycle and pedestrian travel is difficult all the way from 20th Street to Main Ave | 9/13/2018 11:39 PM |
| 76 | Again, the problem is mainly with college student/pedestrians that step out in front of vehicles, especially on where the one way streets intersect 12th avenue. | 9/13/2018 6:32 PM |
| 77 | 12th and 4th - people stop quickly and briefly 12th and 5th - people stop quickly and briefly - barely looking 12th and 6th - Concordia students cross without looking 12th and 8th - very busy intersection 12th and 20th - the grade change creates it difficult to cross quickly heading east on a bicycle | 9/13/2018 4:55 PM |
| 78 | The need for bus shelters, especially one at the corner of 12th Avenue and 19th St. S | 9/13/2018 1:42 PM |
| 79 | 12th ave and 20th street, mainly on the eastern part of the intersection, rough railroad crossing, would like a right turn lane for westbound to northbound traffic and for the left/center turn lane to connect east of the tracks so when trains back up traffic two lanes of cars can form and not have to wait until west of the tracks for the left turn lane (center turn lane is blocked by cross-hatches on the east side of tracks) | 9/13/2018 1:30 PM |
| 80 | Speeds along the avenue. Would also like large trucks off the street. Some curb drainage is horrible. Mosquito traps. Also continue to enforce Concordia students from walking across cross walks when it show red on the sign for no crossing. Most are good. Otherwise ban and force them to use the skyway. | 9/13/2018 12:33 PM |
| 81 | 12th Ave and 20th Street - RR crossing could be improved. | 9/13/2018 9:25 AM |
| 82 | 12th Avenue and 20th Street, specifically when you cross the railroad tracks. | 9/13/2018 8:30 AM |
| 83 | 18th st and 12 Ave. 12 and 12. Used by children to get to the pool | 9/11/2018 8:31 AM |
| 84 | RR crossing | 9/7/2018 2:48 PM |
| 85 | 5th Street (northbound one way) -- erratic pedestrian crossings in the area | 9/6/2018 10:57 AM |
| 86 | 12th and 20th, 8th and 12th, , SE Main and 12th, and even though it's not part of the study 12th and 34th. All of these areas are filled with speeding cars who don't care about pedestrians or cyclists and will frequently stop within crosswalks and ignore red lights when turning right. Additionally, the mixed use path between SE Main and 34th St on 12th lacks proper lighting at night. It's difficult for cars to see anyone who may be using the path. | 8/24/2018 6:26 PM |


| 87 | 20th St railroad crossing | $8 / 22 / 2018$ 2:58 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 88 | Train crossing | $8 / 21 / 2018$ 3:56 PM |
| 89 | Rail road at grade crossings at 20th St and Main Ave | $8 / 3 / 2018$ 11:00 AM |
| 90 | 8th ave with the Concordia students | $7 / 25 / 2018$ 11:18 PM |

# Q9 What is the most important issue(s) you believe should be addressed along the 12th Avenue corridor? 

Answered: 115 Skipped: 57

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The use of motorized vehicles in sidewalks | 10/14/2018 10:30 AM |
| 2 | Just needs new pavement so it is not so ruff. | 10/12/2018 7:34 AM |
| 3 | Actual road. | 10/11/2018 5:52 PM |
| 4 | Sidewalk from 20th straight through past Horizon Middle School | 10/10/2018 9:57 PM |
| 5 | Pedestrian/bicycle/vehicle safety. | 10/10/2018 11:02 AM |
| 6 | Conditions of the road itself, lighting, and visible markings of crosswalks | 10/9/2018 11:16 PM |
| 7 | safety | 10/9/2018 4:48 PM |
| 8 | Above | 10/9/2018 3:44 PM |
| 9 | Safety, efficiency, beauty | 10/9/2018 11:35 AM |
| 10 | the weather | 10/9/2018 9:45 AM |
| 11 | I am not sure if it is an issue but I think 12th Ave has and will continue to be a popular thoroughway from 8th street to 20th and to Main, especially due to the Horizon Middle School and Dorothy Dodds elementary. Therefore, I think getting traffic through in a faster, more efficient way might be a positive improvement for the future. | 10/9/2018 9:08 AM |
| 12 | Issues checked on question \#7. | 10/9/2018 8:38 AM |
| 13 | Sidewalks and bike lanes, please! | 10/9/2018 7:37 AM |
| 14 | Bike/walking paths and keeping quiet neighborhood feel | 10/9/2018 5:20 AM |
| 15 | Maintaining the integrity of the respective neighborhoods is of utmost importance, especially as more and more properties near and along 12th Avenue are converted to rentals. Traffic lights in residential areas should be avoided. I would rather see more amenities for pedestrians and cyclists at the expense of automobile convenience. Twelfth Avenue should never be an artery at any point west of 20 th street. | 10/8/2018 10:52 PM |
| 16 | Improve lanes of travel | 10/8/2018 9:28 PM |
| 17 | Speed limits should be increased and a bike path should be added between Old 52 and 34th Street | 10/8/2018 8:39 PM |
| 18 | Sidewalks/path continuously on at least one side between 20th street and Main Avenue | 10/8/2018 8:05 PM |
| 19 | The industrial park area is an eye sore. | 10/8/2018 7:01 PM |
| 20 | Too much traffic with the detours | 10/8/2018 6:51 PM |
| 21 | Make it smooth. Way too bumpy and uneven. | 10/8/2018 6:07 PM |
| 22 | Just leave the road alone. 1. We don't need more construction 2. This road and traffic flows fines 3. We don't want to pay for updates that are not needed | 10/8/2018 5:46 PM |
| 23 | Keep residential areas residential. More lighting. | 10/8/2018 5:39 PM |
| 24 | bike and pedestrian safety!!! | 10/8/2018 5:08 PM |
| 25 | Preserve that beautiful strange tree | 10/8/2018 4:25 PM |
| 26 | Get rid up bumps | 10/8/2018 3:08 PM |
| 27 | level grade of the road surface. It's very uneven now. | 10/8/2018 2:39 PM |


| 28 | If the city intends this to be a main corridor, looking at the nature of the full set of intersections is probably in order. | 10/8/2018 2:38 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Keeping it safe, but keeping it as a way to get across town without many stop signs or stop lights. | 10/8/2018 2:36 PM |
| 30 | Safety at intersections for vehicles entering 12th ave and pedestrians crossing 12th ave | 10/8/2018 2:18 PM |
| 31 | Keep the tree! | 10/8/2018 2:12 PM |
| 32 | Safety, ease of travel. | 10/8/2018 1:38 PM |
| 33 | Create a 4Way stop at the intersection of 12 Ave and 2nd street | 10/8/2018 1:25 PM |
| 34 | When laying down the pavement/concrete - ENSURE it last for more than 5 years without feeling like you are on a roller coaster and need a kidney belt. Get rid of the annoying side street stuff utility lines and boxes. Dedicate bikes and peds on one side of the street and in their dedicated (off the street) drive. Cars and bikes DO NOT mix. If signals go up, they should "sense" when the lane has emptied and immediately change for the other direction - unlike the 12th ave and 20th intersection. | 10/8/2018 1:23 PM |
| 35 | Redoing the road. | 10/8/2018 1:20 PM |
| 36 | Rough surfaces, pedestrian safety and street lighting. | 10/8/2018 1:16 PM |
| 37 | None, we don't need it we can't afford it. | 9/28/2018 9:03 PM |
| 38 | Sidewalk improvements, could use crosswalks near Our Redeemer area. Industrial Park area could use trees, landscaping, etc. It's an eye sore. | 9/28/2018 4:34 PM |
| 39 | It's really hard to bike along 12th Avenue. I'd make that my top priority. Also, I don't know why that road is so steeply sloped in my neighborhood (i.e. near the intersection of 3rd St and 12th Ave S). | 9/25/2018 8:24 PM |
| 40 | Mentioned in point 8 " 20 th st and main is covered in dirt rocks along with being at a steep grade that is not safe for my elementary age children to travel." However, outside of this study area I have another concern with 12th ave $s$ at 34 th st s . At that intersection, there is not a pedestrian crossing from west to east on the north side of the crossing and there's no pedestrian crossing from the south to north on the east side of the intersection making it not possible to reach Casey's from the west side of 34 th st. | 9/24/2018 10:27 PM |
| 41 | Continuous off-road pedestrian path/sidewalk. | 9/21/2018 8:15 AM |
| 42 | Better signs to figure out where the different colleges are would be helpful. | 9/20/2018 8:21 PM |
| 43 | Bike and pedestrian safety is sorely lacking. | 9/20/2018 4:42 PM |
| 44 | crossing by Concordia for event parking | 9/20/2018 4:27 PM |
| 45 | Crossing the railroad tracks at 20th. Rough, small distance between 20th and the railroad tracks, etc. | 9/20/2018 11:13 AM |
| 46 | the road quality is very inconsistent. In some spots it is fine, in others, it is uneven, potholed, etc. | 9/20/2018 8:21 AM |
| 47 | bike rider access and safety, and beautification. Moorhead can do a much better job of landscaping and beautifying our public spaces! | 9/20/2018 5:36 AM |
| 48 | 1. 12th Avenue should be a preferred East-West bicycle corridor with dedicated bicycle lanes. 2. There should be a MAT Bus route connecting Concordia to Moorhead Adult Basic Education along 12th Avenue to 34th Street and south. This would help volunteers (like me) and students in the $A B E$ program. | 9/19/2018 8:33 PM |
| 49 | Smoother crossing of the tracks at 20th st and off street bike lane(s) | 9/19/2018 7:48 PM |
| 50 | Limited neighborhood impact. Encourage mass transit. Emphasis on improvements closest to 8th street and Conc. College. | 9/19/2018 5:28 PM |
| 51 | bus traffic / school age child safety | 9/19/2018 4:22 PM |
| 52 | Appropriate bike and pedestrian paths so they can safely commute and not clog the sidewalks if it isn't necessary. | 9/19/2018 3:09 PM |
| 53 | Policing the Edison area--lots of activity in the lot. | 9/19/2018 9:47 AM |
| 54 | Bicycle and pedestrian use. | 9/18/2018 6:58 PM |
| 55 | Pedestrian safety and accessibility. | 9/18/2018 4:38 PM |


| 56 | Keeping traffic moving at safe speeds. | 9/18/2018 7:40 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | If this project involves special assessment, it should be assessed only on properties along 12th Street, especially if the City is resorting to specials to pay for the 20th Street underpass. | 9/17/2018 5:04 PM |
| 58 | Stoplights or stop signs. | 9/17/2018 3:03 PM |
| 59 | The corridor from Main to 34th St. S. on 132th Ave S. At least there are no residential home west of Main, only the Industrial Park, Less chance speeders will hurt or cause a crash. There is an extensive residential area from Main to 34th St S, on 12th Ave S. and lots of pedestrian and bike traffic, many children, along with the speeders. it is a crash or hit and hurt someone situation. Thankful it has not happened yet. I just do not understand why you are ignoring this part of the 12th Ave S corridor. | 9/17/2018 2:52 PM |
| 60 | pedestrian friendliness | 9/17/2018 1:41 PM |
| 61 | Bike and pedestrian safety! | 9/17/2018 12:52 PM |
| 62 | I would hate to see quicker travel through the corridor as I live and walk in this neighborhood. The speed limit is plenty high at 30 MPH . The intersections are served well with the stop signs. | 9/17/2018 11:22 AM |
| 63 | Improve the sidewalks for walking | 9/17/2018 10:45 AM |
| 64 | Safety for cyclists and pedestrians. Many areas currently without sidewalks and any existing bike lanes are narrow and often covered in sand from the street sweepers or filled with snow from plows. I commute year round. | 9/17/2018 9:46 AM |
| 65 | Safety of college students crossing the street. | 9/17/2018 8:30 AM |
| 66 | Improved amenities and beautification. I'm sick of Moorhead being labeled as the cheap and dumpy city. I invest a lot in my home to improve the aesthetics, I don't see why the city can't do the same. Forget the check book theory. "If there's no money in the check book account, then don't spend money you don't have." We invest in education (the key word "invest"), why shouldn't we invest in our quality of life too? | 9/17/2018 8:06 AM |
| 67 | It's a major corridor but too much stop and go for vehicles. That needs to be improved and still make it safe for bicycles and walkers. There should not be on-street parking on 12th. | 9/16/2018 6:50 PM |
| 68 | Paving | 9/16/2018 11:27 AM |
| 69 | safety | 9/16/2018 7:52 AM |
| 70 | 12th Ave S redevelopment must allow the roadway to serve as a high volume arterial as the City continues to grow. Please consider emergency vehicles and the ability of traffic to pull to the side. Center medians and single traffic lanes create bottlenecks and are difficult to maintain in the winter. | 9/15/2018 10:44 PM |
| 71 | Keeping the cost low or nothing. Why is the city looking at this when there are so many other areas that need wayyyyyy more improvement for safety, quality and benefit? Honestly, this area is a waste of the city's time. You (city) can do a LOT better. | 9/15/2018 4:56 PM |
| 72 | Improved Traffic safety for pedestrians and vehicles. | 9/15/2018 1:37 PM |
| 73 | Improving traffic flow | 9/15/2018 1:10 PM |
| 74 | 12th Avenue west of 4th street is not a high traffic, high use avenue; nor should it be. This is a residential area. All "development" west of 4th resulting in more traffic, more cars, and more nonsense will be opposed by neighborhood groups, residents, and business owners. | 9/15/2018 11:32 AM |
| 75 | During commuting hours it is too narrow to safely accommodate the traffic it receives. Where it is residential, I feel it would be better to reroute car traffic and use 12 th for bikes, pedestrians, buses. | 9/15/2018 10:49 AM |
| 76 | 1. Preserving the residential character of 12th Avenue and the surrounding neighborhood from 20 th street to the river. 2. Preventing 12th Avenue from becoming a major commercial thoroughfare with folks speeding through 3. Avoiding special assessments of neighborhood homes to finance expensive upgrades | 9/14/2018 9:51 PM |
| 77 | Keep the residential feel to 20th Street | 9/14/2018 9:37 PM |
| 78 | Road surface. | 9/14/2018 9:18 PM |
| 79 | Street narrows with cars parked in residential section of 12th Avenue. Either eliminate on street parking (not popular with those that live on 12th I suspect) or widen street. | 9/14/2018 9:13 PM |


| 80 | Speed | 9/14/2018 9:02 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Because my backyard is on 12th, I would much prefer less/slower/quieter traffic. | 9/14/2018 7:45 PM |
| 82 | Pedestrian safety | 9/14/2018 6:41 PM |
| 83 | Providing a safe bike/ pedestrian path would be helpful. | 9/14/2018 5:00 PM |
| 84 | Flattening out the intersections. | 9/14/2018 4:53 PM |
| 85 | Parking. Too many people use the street as a parking lot. | 9/14/2018 4:48 PM |
| 86 | Connect 12th ave in Moorhead to 13th Ave in Fargo via a bridge | 9/14/2018 4:39 PM |
| 87 | We have an existing skywalk that almost is never used, while turning onto other streets is virtually impossible. | 9/14/2018 4:24 PM |
| 88 | There are severe visibility issues at the intersection with 7th Street where student parking on the streets is too close to the intersection to see traffic coming from the west. At best there would be no parking allowed on 12th from 7th to 6th Street. There may be a similar visibility issue at 6th Street as well but I don't use that street often. | 9/14/2018 3:52 PM |
| 89 | Road conditions ie potholes | 9/14/2018 3:30 PM |
| 90 | Twelfth Ave S is largely a residential area that doesn't need a faster corridor to or over the river because there is a nearby major freeway going east and west that crosses the Red River. There are other bridges crossing the river between Moorhead and Fargo. What 12th Ave S needs is streets without pot holes and water main breaks but because of the winters here that is a difficult task. Adding a continuous path for bicycling, running and walking without major disruption of property,streets and traffic sounds fine providing there is enough space and money to spend. Improving existing parks and adding a nice park closer to the eastern end of the corridor.How about an art park with paths that don't use the entire length of the corridor. Bike lanes in the street would be a good thing where there the streets are wide enough. Speeding bicyclers who don't use bells that come up behind unsuspecting pedestrians are not appreciated. | 9/14/2018 2:33 PM |
| 91 | Continuous bike lane for bikes and walkers | 9/14/2018 2:21 PM |
| 92 | Large amounts of traffic and high speeds that people travel on this mostly residential route. | 9/14/2018 2:07 PM |
| 93 | widening | 9/14/2018 1:34 PM |
| 94 | Slow traffic down between 8th St and 20th St! | 9/14/2018 1:20 PM |
| 95 | Please don't do any construction on 12th Ave until after the train project is done!!!! | 9/14/2018 9:52 AM |
| 96 | Leave it alone. Where I live is a nice and quiet neighbor hood. I fear any "improvements " will ultimately destroy it. | 9/14/2018 9:43 AM |
| 97 | Safety for pedestrians and smooth travel for vehicles. A well lit wide path for walking/biking is a higher priority than decorating the area. Safety of our local residents and students should be a priority. | 9/14/2018 8:08 AM |
| 98 | Improving bicycle and pedestrian safety while maintaining efficient traffic flow | 9/13/2018 11:39 PM |
| 99 | Safety. But, practically speaking, there is nothing anyone can do if people will not obey the law, read and follow the traffic signals and pay attention. | 9/13/2018 6:32 PM |
| 100 | Improve pedestrian mobility and reduce the speed of vehicular traffic through traffic calming, such as reduced lane widths and curb bump-outs. | 9/13/2018 4:55 PM |
| 101 | Sidewalks through the industrial park | 9/13/2018 1:53 PM |
| 102 | Having bus shelters | 9/13/2018 1:42 PM |
| 103 | biggest issue i see is the need for better/more street lights through there (and even farther east to 34th st, but thats not in the study area). it's a very dark road at night and with pedestrians and bikes it makes it dangerous | 9/13/2018 1:30 PM |
| 104 | Cut down traffic through our neighborhood. Use 8th and 20th as the major routes and eliminate as much traffic as possible so it can be more quiet and less exhaust in our back yards. | 9/13/2018 12:33 PM |
| 105 | I think it should be treated as a main corridor. It should have wider lanes, concrete surface with great lighting. Currently it looks/feels like a residential street that everyone happens to drive on. | 9/13/2018 8:30 AM |
| 106 | Safety and asthetics | 9/11/2018 8:31 AM |


| 107 | RR crossing and sidewalks between 20th and Old 52. | $9 / 7 / 2018$ 2:48 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 108 | Making the entire corridor safer and more inviting to use. Keeping paths and bike lanes clear of <br> debris and well maintained. But honestly, better planning city-wide. | $8 / 24 / 2018$ 6:26 PM |
| 109 | Road pavement improvement. More space for cars, less car parking on the street. Larger <br> sidewalks for both bikes and pedestrians. | $8 / 22 / 2018$ 3:38 PM |
| 110 | n/a | $8 / 22 / 2018$ 2:58 PM |
| 111 | Train crossing! | $8 / 21 / 2018$ 3:56 PM |
| 112 | Lack of rail road underpass at 20th st and Main Ave locations | $8 / 3 / 2018$ 11:00 AM |
| 113 | Quicker travel | $7 / 29 / 2018$ 11:11 PM |
| 114 | Somehow improving the railroad crossing at 20th | $7 / 25 / 2018$ 11:18 PM |
| 115 | Clean bike and walking paths. Sweep the street, please. | $7 / 10 / 2018$ 8:15 AM |





Q1 1A2: Replace existing south sidewalk with an 8' shared-use path from 5th Street to 8th Street and install shared-lane bike markings from River Drive to 5th Street.


## Q2 1B: Install 5' sidewalk on north side between 2nd street and 6th Street.



## Q3 1C: Close parking lot access points near 5th Street and 8th Street, and shift parking area near 7th Street.

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 16.67\% |  |  | 8.33\% |  | 16.67\% |  | 8.33\% |  | 50.00\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 24 |  | 3.67 |

Q4 1D: Install curb bump-outs at 6th Street and 7th Street.


Q5 1E1: Reassign eastbound lanes at 8th Street intersection with a shared left/thru and a designated right turn by shifting curbline.

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | 29.17\% |  |  | 4.17\% |  | 12.50\% |  | 16.67\% |  | 37.50\% | 24 |  |  | 3.29 |
|  |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |

# Q6 1D2: Widen 12th Avenue to install designated eastbound right turn lane at 8th Street. 

Answered: 24 Skipped: 2


## Q7 Additional Comments on Segment 1.

Answered: 11 Skipped: 15

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Turn lanes always impeded by college foot traffic. Sidewalk north side 12th Ave would keep peds off street. Bicyclists would ignore bike lanes. | 4/17/2019 8:32 PM |
| 2 | Bump outs are not effective. Sidewalks are vital! DON'T remove sidewalk from 5th to 8th! Bikes go where they want and never obey traffic signs; DON'T give them a special lane. Remove parking from 12th Ave. so there is room to drive! I've driven on 12th Ave. for more than 30 years. The biggest problem has always been that the parking allowed on 12th squeezes the driving lanes down so half the year you can't go both directions (east and west) at the same time. You CAN'T remove the sidewalks that are already there. People need to be able to walk around the block! Pedestrian traffic is huge in our neighborhood. Don't mess that up! | 4/10/2019 5:42 PM |
| 3 | I would oppose removal of trees from the river to Fifth St. S. on the north side of 12th Ave., particularly the Canadian Cherry Trees. | 4/2/2019 7:47 PM |
| 4 | We need to keep street parking on at least one side of 12th. Ave. from Third Street to the river. Currently there is parking only on one side of Third St. If we lose parking on 12th. Ave., we will own a home that has zero Street parking. | 3/29/2019 5:30 PM |
| 5 | I absolutely hate the idea of 1D2 and most of the ideas that would impact trees. | 3/25/2019 9:54 AM |
| 6 | Leave pier as is | 3/24/2019 2:09 PM |
| 7 | Eastbound traffic turning right at 8th St is always limited, mostly to certain times of day. Such traffic bound for I-94 can always continue S on 6th to 24 th Av S, as an alternative. A small short turn lane is a good compromise. Curb bump-outs only intrude as an obstacle, especially for those making right turns, and they choke a lane used by both cars and bikes. Hitting one unexpectedly could be disastrous to either. Lastly, for all pedestrians at 8th \& 12th Av, "No Right Turn On Red" should be STRONGLY enforced! | 3/24/2019 1:54 PM |
| 8 | Less autocentric and more pedestrian friendly planning and engineering. | 3/22/2019 10:12 PM |
| 9 | bad idea, need a dedicated left turn lane more since there's no right on red light, they can't turn on red anyway so more important to have dedicated left turn lane and right turns can share lane with straight ahead traffic | 3/22/2019 3:55 PM |
| 10 | I applaud the interest in putting in bike lanes, but mixing them with pedestrians confuses bikes' status as having a right to use the road and is a hazard to the pedestrians. I'd rather see a bike lane on the road. I am satisfied with the current turn/ through lane configuration. My primary interest is in things that will improve pedestrian and bicycle safety, since vehicle congestion is not a major problem in that area. | 3/22/2019 11:18 AM |
| 11 | I think widening 12th Ave to install a right-turn lane would be a bad idea even if the skyway pier was not there. By widening the street, you would make it less pedestrian friendly, and I don't think there's enough of a traffic problem to warrant it. | 3/20/2019 3:48 PM |

Q8 2A: Install 8' shared-use path on south side from 9th Street to 11th Street, staying north of the "Crazy Tree."


Q9 2D: Remove parking area on south side near 9th Street, remove driveway for north side parking lot, realign access.


Q10 2E: Realign 11th Street intersection to improve horizontal alignment.
Answered: 24 Skipped: 2

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  | $33.33 \%$ |  | 8.33\% |  | $0.00 \%$ |  | $20.83 \%$ |  | 37.50\% |  | 24 |  | 3.21 |

Q11 2B2: Add 6' designated on-street bike lanes on each side of 12th Avenue from 11th Street to 19th Street.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 2


## Q12 2B3: Replace existing south sidewalk with an 8' shared-use path from 11th Street to 20th Street.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 2

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | $41.67 \%$10 |  |  | $12.50 \%$3 |  | 12.50\% |  | 4.17\% |  | 29.17\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 24 |  | 2.67 |

Q13 2C: Install crosswalk at 19 1/2 Street.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOTAL | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 12.50\% | 0.00\% | 41.67\% | 8.33\% | 37.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 24 |  | 3.58 |

## Q14 2F: Construct grade raise of 20th Street intersection to improve vertical profile with BNSF Railroad Tracks.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 2

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $20.83 \%$5 |  | 4.17\% |  | 8.33\% |  | 8.33\% |  | 58.33\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 14 |  | 24 |  | 3.79 |

## Q15 Additional Comments on Segment 2.

Answered: 11 Skipped: 15

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | On street bike lanes are a hazard. Shared use path parallel to street is safer. Do we need a crosswalk at 19 1/2 St? Raising 20th St intersection too costly. | 4/17/2019 8:32 PM |
| 2 | DON'T add on street bike lanes! The road is big enough for east/west traffic now. Continue to allow parking on this section of 12th Ave. It has never caused problems. Bikers do NOT follow traffic laws; DO NOT give them their own special lane. | 4/10/2019 5:42 PM |
| 3 | Please do not take our trees. The reason I live in the this area is that it is well-established with grown trees. The idea of destroying our greenery to cater to cars makes me ill. | 3/25/2019 9:54 AM |
| 4 | Protect "crazy tree" and other trees. | 3/24/2019 2:09 PM |
| 5 | I prefer on-street bike lanes between 11th St and 19St. For those reluctant to bike in traffic, more curb cuts and approaches could be added along 20th St, making it easier to enter/exit parallel shared use bike/pedestrian path that already exits! That bike traffic could then find a more quiet, safer E/W route alternative. Hopping a curb is dangerous for those unaccustomed to such a tactic. Put these curb cuts at logical intersections with 20th St. Currently there's only one a full half mile N of 12th Av., and the 1st one to the South is at 20th Av (I think). The result could be less bike traffic on a busy stretch of 12th S , but a good, logical alternative HAS to be provided! | 3/24/2019 1:54 PM |
| 6 | This corridor should be more pedestrian friendly and be turned into a multi-modal corridor emphasizing modes of travel other than the automobile with designated bike lanes. | 3/22/2019 10:12 PM |
| 7 | " 2 F" is excellent idea, plus need to reallign lanes across railroad tracks, the eastbound lane shifts to the right when you cross tracks (the street is wider on the east side of tracks than to the west) | 3/22/2019 3:55 PM |
| 8 | the $191 / 2$ crosswalk would only give pedestrians a false sense of security--drivers are probably already looking at the 20th street lights and won't comply with crosswalk markings. The value of realigning 11th street seems very low. It's not hard to navigate as is. | 3/22/2019 11:18 AM |
| 9 | These improvements at best leave me with a yawn. How about black cast iron street lights? Bronze statue on boulevard of terminating vista? Install plastic poles along bike lanes to make them more comfortable? If we're going to do this thing let's do it right and get rid of the tight wad image of Moorhead. Let's make Moorhead exciting for once and do something really cool. For example a pilot project of a solar multi use path that melts snow in the winter to save on snow removal costs. | 3/22/2019 11:13 AM |
| 10 | What's the possibility of making available parking to replace those spaces lost on 12th Avenue in the parking area of the school formerly located on the north side between 14th \& 16th | 3/21/2019 1:29 PM |

> I've long thought we needed a shared-use path by Concordia (between 8 th and 11 th). Any reason why it can't be ten feet wide, the recommended width? I think the on-street bike lanes are a great idea. I like that they are 6 feet instead of 5 feet. I've ridden on many of the 5 -foot lanes that I feel are too narrow. I was at the public input meeting and heard the complaints from the guy worried about the loss of parking. It's a valid concern, but I'd like to see an analysis of how many cars are typically parked along 12th ave and what the capacity is on adjacent streets to take those cars. My suspicion is that not that many will be impacted, and that there are enough parking places in the neighborhood, even if you'll have to walk a bit. Sure, some people will be inconvenienced, but we need to stop prioritizing cars over everything else. Bicyclists, pedestrians, and transit users have long been inconvenienced by the way we have been designing cities. We need to design a multimodal city that gives people the freedom to travel how they choose. This is the right move.

## Q16 3A: Construct pedestrian/bicycle crossing on east side of 20th Street South at BNSF Railroad tracks.



## Q17 3B: Add new 10' shared-use path on south side (remove existing onstreet bike lanes, shift south curb line 10' north to accommodate offstreet path), install curb ramp and concrete waiting area at 25th Street South bus stop.



## Q18 3D: Shift private business driveway east of the BNSF Railroad tracks, close driveways.



## Q19 Additional Comments on Segment 3.

Answered: 10 Skipped: 16

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Leave private drives alone. | $4 / 17 / 20198: 32$ PM |


| Moorhead 12th Avenue South Corridor Study - Transportation Improvements Survey |  | SurveyMonkey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | The major problem with this section of the road is the intersection of 12 th ave. and 20th St. The east side has no room because of the railroad tracks. There is no room for vehicles on the west side of the tracks. I don't know of any way to fix this. | 4/10/2019 5:42 PM |
| 3 | I think this road needs to be reconstructed so it doesn't fall apart all the time from the truck traffic. | 4/1/2019 11:28 AM |
| 4 | Protect trees. | 3/24/2019 2:09 PM |
| 5 | 20th St $S$ reconstruction squandered opportunities to improve grade changes in regard to railroad tracks at 12th AvS intersection. What were you thinking! 20th St could have been raised to minimize grade change, OR...... it could be LOWERED to intersect with the NEW (are you sitting down?) UNDERPASS, or grade separation in regards to those pesky RR tracks! If one new underpass is good, then even more would be better! Just dreaming. This last segment needs street lighting. What's there now is totally inadequate, especially for pedestrians and bike traffic. I believe this is considered an alternate route for such traffic during the ongoing SE Main/21St S grade separation project. What a joke! Broken pavement near the curbs, always littered with gravel debris, and dark to boot! This stretch of 12th Av S could have/should have had a mill and overlay 10 years ago! AND, some better lighting. | 3/24/2019 1:54 PM |
| 6 | Keep bike lanes on the road. Drivers in Fargo-Moorhead don't understand and are not the friendliest when it comes to bikes. Removing bike lanes from the road to a shared use path emphasizes cars and space for cars are more important than bikes and pedestrians. It also gives more staunch critics another reason to say bikes have no right to be on the road. | 3/22/2019 10:12 PM |
| 7 | glad to see sidewalk in this stretch since now there's one west of 20th and east of se main ave but nothing between. i've seen lots of people walking in the street there, it's not safe | 3/22/2019 3:55 PM |
| 8 | This is a dangerous segment from 20th Street to Main Ave SE. current traffic has little regard for bikes and pedestrians. To add to the danger are the fuel trucks using this segment to go to/from the terminal. | 3/22/2019 12:18 PM |
| 9 | I'm okay with a shared-use path from 20th St. to Main because it gets significant bike use and less pedestrian use. It would be seen as a continuation of the paths running on the east side of 20th St. and the south side of 12th Ave. from Main to Horizon. The purported bike line on this stretch is impassable and hazardously narrow; a wise cyclist would avoid it entirely and use the regular traffic lane. Anything would be an improvement. | 3/22/2019 11:18 AM |
| 10 | All should be completed as they would greatly increase pedestrian and bicycle safety!! | 3/20/2019 8:53 PM |

# Q20 4: Corridor-wide streetscaping improvements 

Answered: 25 Skipped: 1

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | TOTAL |  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $12.00 \%$3 |  | 8.00\% |  |  | 20.00\% |  | 24.00\% |  | 36.00\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  | 25 |  | 3.64 |

## Q21 Additional Comments on Corridor-wide Considerations.

Answered: 6 Skipped: 20

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Winter wrecks most of this. Why spend the money? | $4 / 17 / 20198: 32$ PM |
| 2 | Bump outs are totally ineffective. They narrow down the turning room for vehicles and make it <br> more difficult to make turns without moving into opposing traffic. DON'T install bump outs! | $4 / 10 / 20195: 42$ PM |


| 3 | Do NOT make summer drainage and winter drifting any worse between 20th St S \& SE Main than <br> it already is. Lastly, I sometimes think consultants and traffic engineers have never been on foot or <br> on a bike for any reason other than a leisurely walk around their own respective neighborhoods. <br> Have you EVER commuted to your job on a bike? Do you walk along and cross busy streets after <br> dark on a regular basis. Have you ever tried to get around in a wheelchair, for the rest of your life? <br> Sometimes small inexpensive changes can result in huge benefits for.....the little people. Would <br> YOU want YOUR elderly grandmother navigating these mean streets, sidewalks, and <br> intersections, with "Walk" lights that abruptly switch to "Don't Walk" when you've only made it to the <br> MIDDLE of the intersection?!? Little things like that. If you can't do it right, then you're only <br> pandering. | 3/24/2019 1:54 PM |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Why aren't there any improvements proposed between 11th and 20th Streets? Don't use that red <br> stamped concrete; it's not durable and looks terrible after just a couple of years. | 3/22/2019 11:18 AM |  |  |
| 4 | I have a problem with the city paying for landscaping on the land owned by Concordia as well as <br> creating new entrances to the campus by elaborate paving work placed in the street | 3/21/2019 1:29 PM | 3/20/2019 3:48 PM |
| 5 | Lots of great ideas! |  |  |

## Appendix D

## Traffic Analysis Supporting Data
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| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 120 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 121 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 146 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 138 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 148 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 145 | 19 | 1 | 525 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 139 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 142 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 51 | 4 | 3 | 177 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 7 | 1 | 153 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 181 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 70 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 175 | 24 | 5 | 611 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 172 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 171 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 135 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 122 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 171 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 77 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 211 | 12 | 0 | 600 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 133 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 4 | 2 | 121 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 86 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 108 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 35 | 105 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 60 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 124 | 15 | 3 | 448 |

File Name : 041718-12 Ave S \& 14 St S
Site Code $: 041718$
Start Date $: 4 / 17 / 2018$
Page No $: 2$

| Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 66 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 109 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 133 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 64 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 163 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 149 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 70 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 94 | 4 | 5 | 471 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 91 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 104 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 84 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 101 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 56 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 117 | 5 | 0 | 401 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 84 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 79 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 73 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 84 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 91 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 320 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 58 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 94 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 63 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 244 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 77 |

File Name : 041718-12 Ave S \& 14 St S
Site Code $: 041718$
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Stonebrooke Engineering
File Name : 041718-12 Ave S \& 14 St S
Site Code $: 041718$
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|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toala | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 01:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 9 | 51 | 4 | 3 | 67 | 177 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 7 | 1 | 73 | 153 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 18 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 7 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 172 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 73 | 171 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 197 | 14 | 1 | 238 | 1 | 8 | 88 | 39 | 3 | 139 | 0 | 31 | 228 | 18 | 4 | 281 | 673 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 10.9 | 82.8 | 5.9 | 0.4 |  | 0.7 | 5.8 | 63.3 | 28.1 | 2.2 |  | 0 | 11 | 81.1 | 6.4 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 750 | . 000 | . 929 | . 929 | . 700 | . 250 | . 944 | . 250 | . 500 | . 815 | . 542 | . 750 | . 827 | . 000 | . 775 | . 950 | . 643 | . 33 | . 962 | . 951 |
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File Name : 041718-12 Ave S \& 14 St S
Site Code $: 041718$
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Page No $: 7$

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 01:15 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 er |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 46 | 102 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 104 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 13 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 108 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 44 | 120 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 116 | 42 | 0 | 169 | 1 | 12 | 55 | 16 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 33 | 130 | 15 | 0 | 178 | 434 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 6.5 | 68.6 | 24.9 | 0 |  | 1.2 | 14.1 | 64.7 | 18.8 | 1.2 |  | 0 | 18.5 | 73 | 8.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 000 | . 688 | . 725 | . 808 | . 000 | . 862 | . 250 | . 600 | . 809 | . 667 | . 250 | . 850 | . 000 | . 589 | . 878 | . 469 | . 000 | . 967 | . 904 |
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## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 4th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 | Entering Volume | 7,850 |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 | Traffic Control | All stop |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 0 | Environment | Urban |
| Possible Injury | 0 | Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Property Damage | 1 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 1 |  |  |
| Annual crash cost $=\$ 1,520$ |  |  |  |
| Statewide Comparison |  | All Way Stop |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.07 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.35 | Statewide Average | 0.57 |
| Critical Rate | 0.79 | Critical Rate | 6.62 |
| Critical Index | 0.09 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.07 per MEV; this is $91 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 11 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 5th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 | Entering Volume | 6,500 |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 | Traffic Control | All stop |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 0 | Environment | Urban |
| Possible Injury | 0 | Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Property Damage | 1 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 1 |  |  |
| Annual crash cost $=\$ 1,520$ |  |  |  |
| Statewide Comparison |  | All Way Stop |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.08 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.35 | Statewide Average | 0.57 |
| Critical Rate | 0.84 | Critical Rate | 7.60 |
| Critical Index | 0.10 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.08 per MEV ; this is $90 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 9 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 8th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fatal | 0 |  |  |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 |  |  |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 2 |  |  |
| Possible Injury | 7 |  |  |
| Property Damage | 25 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 34 |  |  |

$$
\text { Annual crash cost }=\$ 222,200
$$

Statewide Comparison

| Total Crash Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 0.76 |
| Statewide Average | 0.70 |
| Critical Rate | 1.03 |
| Critical Index | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ |

Signals: high volume, low speed

| Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.76 |
| Critical Rate | 3.55 |
| Critical Index | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.76 per MEV; this is $26 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 13 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 11th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 | Entering Volume | 8,050 |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 | Traffic Control | All stop |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 0 | Environment | Urban |
| Possible Injury | 1 | Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Property Damage | 1 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 2 |  |  |
| Annual crash cost $=\$ 18,120$ |  |  |  |
| Statewide Comparison |  | All Way Stop |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.14 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.35 | Statewide Average | 0.57 |
| Critical Rate | 0.78 | Critical Rate | 6.50 |
| Critical Index | 0.18 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.14 per MEV; this is $82 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 10 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 14th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 | Entering Volume | 5,675 |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 | Traffic Control | All stop |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 0 | Environment | Urban |
| Possible Injury | 0 | Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Property Damage | 4 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 4 |  |  |
| Annual crash cost $=\$ 6,080$ |  |  |  |
| Statewide Comparison |  | All Way Stop |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.39 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.35 | Statewide Average | 0.57 |
| Critical Rate | 0.87 | Critical Rate | 8.41 |
| Critical Index | 0.45 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.39 per MEV; this is $55 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 6 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 17th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 |  |  |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 |  |  |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 0 |  |  |
| Possible Injury | 1 |  |  |
| Property Damage | 0 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 1 |  |  |


| Annual crash cost $=\$ 16,600$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide Comparison |  | Urban Thru / Stop |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.10 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.18 | Statewide Average | 0.33 |
| Critical Rate | 0.59 | Critical Rate | 7.79 |
| Critical Index | 0.17 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.10 per MEV; this is $83 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 5 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& 20th Street S

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fatal | 0 |  |  |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 |  |  |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 1 |  |  |
| Possible Injury | 6 |  |  |
| Property Damage | 12 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 19 |  |  |

$$
\text { Annual crash cost }=\$ 151,840
$$

## Statewide Comparison

| Total Crash Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 0.60 |
| Statewide Average | 0.52 |
| Critical Rate | 0.86 |
| Critical Index | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ |

Signals: low volume, low speed

| Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.42 |
| Critical Rate | 3.47 |
| Critical Index | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.60 per MEV; this is $30 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 9 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV ; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

## Intersection Safety Screening

Intersection: 12th Ave \& Main SE

Crash Data, 2011-2015.

| Crashes by Crash Severity |  | Intersection Characteristics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | 0 | Entering Volume | 14,650 |
| Incapacitating Injury | 0 | Traffic Control | Signals |
| Non-incapacitating Injury | 1 | Environment | Urban |
| Possible Injury | 4 | Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Property Damage | 5 |  |  |
| Total Crashes | 10 |  |  |
| Annual crash cost $=\$ 108,000$ |  |  |  |
| Statewide Comparison |  | Signals: low volume, low speed |  |
| Total Crash Rate |  | Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate |  |
| Observed | 0.37 | Observed | 0.00 |
| Statewide Average | 0.52 | Statewide Average | 0.42 |
| Critical Rate | 0.89 | Critical Rate | 3.91 |
| Critical Index | 0.42 | Critical Index | 0.00 |

The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.37 per MEV; this is $58 \%$ below the critical rate. Based on similar statewide intersections, an additional 14 crashes over the five years would indicate this intersection operaters outside the normal range.

The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV; this is $100 \%$ below the critical rate. The intersection operates within the normal range.

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 21.1 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 11.4 | 15.0 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.7 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 5.7 |

## 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.2 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 7.6 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.4 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 6.5 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.3 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 14.3 | 18.8 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 12.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SE | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.8 | 15.5 | 11.2 | 16.3 | 15.4 |

SimTraffic Performance Report AM Existing
28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.7 |

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.0 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.2 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 6.6 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.7 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 5.7 |

12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(s)$ | 8.7 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 4.7 | 7.6 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.3 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 6.5 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Devement | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 3.2 |

## 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.9 | 15.4 | 4.6 | 21.4 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 3.1 | 12.9 | 12.7 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 15.4 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Senied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 5.3 |

## 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.7 |

Intersection: 3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue ( (tt) | 61 | 106 | 105 | 138 | 95 | 154 | 278 | 250 | 70 | 146 | 139 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 24 | 50 | 52 | 56 | 28 | 40 | 160 | 143 | 16 | 81 | 51 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 91 | 92 | 105 | 70 | 107 | 244 | 223 | 55 | 130 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1032 |  | 1229 |  |  | 904 | 904 |  | 1004 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 160 |  | 160 | 130 |  |  | 11 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 11 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 | 56 | 88 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 31 | 50 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 49 | 75 | 49 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1381 | 250 | 290 | 290 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 76 | 84 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 40 | 45 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 64 | 71 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 250 | 1032 | 202 | 202 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
AM Existing
Intersection: 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 100 | 87 | 47 | 68 | 53 | 96 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 54 | 49 | 22 | 27 | 26 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 82 | 76 | 47 | 52 | 49 | 80 |
| Link Distance (tt) | 1229 | 1255 | 459 | 459 | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 116 | 61 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 54 | 34 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 65 | 90 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1255 | 1029 | 400 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 39 | 31 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 2 | 8 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 19 | 30 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1029 | 1275 | 315 | 415 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 99 | 42 | 82 | 100 | 74 | 160 | 44 | 39 | 179 | 56 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 26 | 41 | 14 | 31 | 38 | 25 | 77 | 14 | 13 | 89 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 82 | 33 | 66 | 80 | 55 | 140 | 34 | 38 | 154 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1275 |  |  | 2074 |  | 567 |  |  | 789 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 170 |  | 170 | 180 |  | 200 |  | 200 | 220 |  | 175 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SE | SE | SE | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue ( $(\mathrm{tt})$ | 45 | 144 | 49 | 134 | 128 | 80 | 119 | 115 | 85 | 30 | 170 | 142 |
| Average Queue (t) | 11 | 60 | 6 | 50 | 49 | 24 | 42 | 51 | 27 | 9 | 97 | 47 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 115 | 28 | 97 | 99 | 54 | 86 | 96 | 63 | 26 | 153 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2074 |  |  | 1166 |  |  | 561 | 561 |  | 575 | 575 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 130 | 200 |  | 200 | 240 |  |  | 180 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 130 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 205 | 297 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 7

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 37.6 | 24.0 | 25.1 | 24.2 | 25.9 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.8 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 5.0 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.5 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 |

## 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.0 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.7 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.4 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 2.2 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.5 | 20.6 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 13.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SE | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.6 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 13.4 | 13.4 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.2 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.3 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.6 |

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 32.8 | 36.9 | 41.9 | 28.9 | 17.6 | 20.7 | 35.2 | 22.7 | 30.2 | 33.0 | 23.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 25.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.9 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.1 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 5.0 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.1 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 5.7 |

12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 5.7 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 6.4 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 3.1 |

## 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.6 | 17.9 | 5.9 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 15.0 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 13.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 13.4 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Senied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 2.2 |

## 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.9 |
| Total $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 23.6 |

Intersection: 3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 154 | 312 | 182 | 253 | 126 | 154 | 319 | 301 | 144 | 327 | 301 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 43 | 126 | 104 | 63 | 49 | 86 | 168 | 154 | 55 | 172 | 157 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 119 | 253 | 164 | 148 | 98 | 169 | 264 | 250 | 129 | 264 | 250 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1032 |  | 1229 |  |  | 904 | 904 |  | 1004 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 160 |  | 160 | 130 |  |  | 0 | 18 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 11 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 15 |  | 0 | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 | 5 |  | 0 | 5 | 17 |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 31 | 77 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 21 | 40 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 44 | 62 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1381 | 236 | 290 | 290 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 91 | 88 | 63 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 51 | 39 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 75 | 58 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 236 | 1032 | 202 | 202 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 81 | 85 | 50 | 52 | 31 | 72 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 44 | 41 | 24 | 24 | 17 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 67 | 65 | 49 | 48 | 42 | 59 |
| Link Distance (tt) | 1229 | 1255 | 459 | 459 | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 62 | 78 | 63 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 38 | 45 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 68 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1255 | 1029 | 400 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 36 | 17 | 31 | 44 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 2 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 16 | 10 | 39 | 42 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1029 | 1275 | 315 | 415 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 84 | 107 | 55 | 81 | 141 | 64 | 206 | 100 | 56 | 254 | 87 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 29 | 50 | 22 | 31 | 50 | 27 | 86 | 18 | 12 | 115 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 65 | 91 | 46 | 63 | 103 | 54 | 160 | 56 | 41 | 197 | 67 |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 1275 |  |  | 2074 |  | 567 |  |  | 789 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 170 |  | 170 | 180 |  | 200 |  | 200 | 220 | 1 | 175 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Intersection: 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SE | SE | SE | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 40 | 152 | 89 | 89 | 110 | 37 | 125 | 145 | 102 | 25 | 132 | 107 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 8 | 71 | 11 | 33 | 40 | 13 | 58 | 64 | 45 | 7 | 71 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 128 | 43 | 70 | 84 | 30 | 104 | 115 | 87 | 20 | 121 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2074 |  |  | 1166 |  |  | 561 | 561 |  | 575 | 575 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 130 | 200 |  | 200 | 240 |  |  | 180 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 130 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 205 | 297 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 46

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 20.1 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 12.3 | 17.4 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.7 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.3 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 6.0 |

## 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.4 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.2 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 7.6 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.4 | 2.3 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 2.7 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.0 | 24.7 | 14.5 | 19.3 | 18.9 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SE | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 30.5 | 22.7 | 17.0 | 24.1 | 22.6 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.4 |

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.4 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.5 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 6.7 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 6.6 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 6.0 |

12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.9 | 6.8 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 9.5 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.6 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 7.6 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 7.1 |

## 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 |

## 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.4 | 22.0 | 7.0 | 30.7 | 23.0 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 15.8 | 4.3 | 18.1 | 21.5 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 18.9 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 22.6 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Senied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 2.1 |

## 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.7 |
| Total $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 24.4 |

Intersection: 3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 70 | 137 | 140 | 164 | 126 | 154 | 437 | 390 | 81 | 170 | 138 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 55 | 67 | 76 | 45 | 50 | 215 | 189 | 20 | 85 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 104 | 115 | 133 | 92 | 130 | 355 | 330 | 56 | 146 | 113 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1032 |  | 1229 |  |  | 904 | 904 |  | 1004 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 160 |  | 160 | 130 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 59 | 88 | 90 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 37 | 55 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 62 | 80 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1381 | 250 | 290 | 290 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 93 | 81 | 89 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 42 | 53 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 70 | 78 | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 250 | 1032 | 202 | 202 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 118 | 138 | 61 | 55 | 56 | 120 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 62 | 72 | 29 | 32 | 27 | 64 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 97 | 114 | 53 | 50 | 49 | 100 |
| Link Distance (tt) | 1229 | 1255 | 459 | 459 | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 123 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 52 | 72 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 | 109 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1255 | 1029 | 400 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 46 | 31 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 5 | 8 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 26 | 30 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1029 | 1275 | 315 | 415 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 143 | 220 | 143 | 160 | 185 | 86 | 258 | 92 | 168 | 301 | 197 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 51 | 91 | 28 | 59 | 86 | 35 | 119 | 18 | 22 | 155 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 108 | 169 | 80 | 114 | 158 | 69 | 207 | 54 | 96 | 250 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1275 |  |  | 2074 |  | 567 |  |  | 789 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 170 |  | 170 | 180 |  | 200 |  | 200 | 220 | 175 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |  | 4 | 0 |

## Intersection: 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SE | SE | SE | NW | NW | NW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 131 | 266 | 155 | 214 | 301 | 204 | 145 | 166 | 142 | 84 | 278 | 241 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 120 | 21 | 111 | 120 | 62 | 67 | 84 | 52 | 15 | 155 | 102 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 217 | 90 | 200 | 223 | 140 | 121 | 147 | 108 | 48 | 232 | 199 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2074 |  |  | 1166 |  |  | 561 | 561 |  | 575 | 575 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 130 | 200 |  | 200 | 240 |  |  | 180 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 5 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |

Intersection: 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 22 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 205 | 297 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 46

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 125.7 | 39.0 | 32.1 | 30.6 | 42.2 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.8 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 5.4 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.9 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 |

## 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.6 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 7.0 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.4 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 7.8 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.5 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.0 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(s)$ | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.5 | 30.3 | 16.1 | 22.4 | 21.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SE | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 31.9 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 21.7 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 2.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.0 |

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 42.2 |

6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.9 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 |

9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 1.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.6 | 9.1 |  | 4.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 6.6 |

12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.7 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 4.4 | 7.0 |

15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.0 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 7.8 |

19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 3.6 |

## 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 |

## 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.6 | 25.7 | 10.3 | 33.8 | 30.5 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 17.6 | 5.2 | 20.4 | 24.7 |

22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.1 |
| Total $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 21.6 |

25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 21.7 |

28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 |  | 3.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.0 |

Intersection: 3: 8th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 154 | 593 | 185 | 524 | 167 | 154 | 436 | 401 | 144 | 370 | 351 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 73 | 318 | 150 | 185 | 63 | 119 | 241 | 226 | 69 | 215 | 198 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 176 | 749 | 212 | 455 | 133 | 189 | 378 | 352 | 155 | 325 | 303 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1032 |  | 1229 |  |  | 904 | 904 |  | 1004 | 1004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 160 |  | 160 | 130 |  |  | 0 | 29 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 48 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 27 |  | 1 | 19 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 25 | 56 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 38 |  | 102 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: 4th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 51 | 83 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 26 | 49 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 50 | 48 | 74 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1381 | 236 | 290 | 290 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: 5th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LTR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 129 | 102 | 82 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 59 | 56 | 46 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 104 | 86 | 70 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 236 | 1032 | 202 | 202 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: 11th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 116 | 88 | 67 | 60 | 45 | 78 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 54 | 50 | 29 | 28 | 21 | 43 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 87 | 74 | 53 | 51 | 46 | 68 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1229 | 1255 | 459 | 459 | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 15: 14th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 88 | 120 | 56 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 46 | 63 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 96 | 51 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1255 | 1029 | 400 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 19: 17th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 53 | 69 | 36 | 44 |
| Average Queue (tt) | 5 | 7 | 11 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (tt) | 26 | 38 | 36 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1029 | 1275 | 315 | 415 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: 20th Street S \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 140 | 249 | 158 | 156 | 216 | 131 | 306 | 155 | 52 | 373 | 200 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 55 | 117 | 54 | 63 | 101 | 39 | 144 | 24 | 16 | 193 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 109 | 221 | 134 | 122 | 188 | 98 | 256 | 89 | 44 | 330 | 146 |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 1275 |  |  | 2074 |  | 567 |  |  | 789 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 170 |  | 170 | 180 |  | 200 |  | 200 | 220 | 11 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0 |  | 10 | 0 |

## Intersection: 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SE | SE | SE | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 111 | 288 | 155 | 154 | 186 | 91 | 248 | 352 | 312 | 37 | 193 | 160 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 148 | 38 | 56 | 93 | 26 | 132 | 126 | 104 | 7 | 119 | 65 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 250 | 125 | 110 | 158 | 62 | 233 | 274 | 220 | 24 | 183 | 142 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2074 |  |  | 1166 |  |  | 561 | 561 |  | 575 | 575 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 130 | 200 |  | 200 | 240 |  |  | 180 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 |  | 14 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 11 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 25: SE Main \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 230 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 28: Elm Street \& 12th Avenue S

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 25 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 205 | 297 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 209

## Appendix E

 Cost Estimates
# Alternative Development Preliminary Cost Estimate 

Fargo Moorhead Metro COG and City of Moorhead
$12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Corridor - River Dr to Main Avenue
Moorhead, MN
Updated May 6, 2019

Note: Quantities and costs are preliminary estimates and are subject to change. All costs are 2019 dollars.

| 1A1: Install Shared Lane Markings (Sharrows) from River Dr to 8th St |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| Sharrows |  |  |  |  |
| EA | 24 | $\$$ | 250.00 | $\$$ |


| 1A2: Install Sharrows from River Dr to 5th St and Replace South Sidewalk with 8' Path |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | it Cost |  | Cost |
| Removal | SY | 667 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 3,335.00 |
| Sidewalk | SY | 889 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 53,340.00 |
| ADA Ramps | EA | 6 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| Sharrows | EA | 16 | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | 4,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 72,675.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 14,535.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 87,210.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$90,000 |  |  |  |


| 1B: Install 5' Sidewalk on North Side Between 2nd St and 6th St |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | t Cost |  | Cost |
| Removal | SY | 745 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 3,725.00 |
| Sidewalk | SY | 995 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 59,700.00 |
| ADA Ramps | EA | 12 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 24,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 87,425.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 17,485.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 104,910.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$110,000 |  |  |  |


| 1C: Close Parking Lot Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | Cost |  | Cost |
| Removal | SY | 380 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 3,800.00 |
| Sidewalk | SY | 23 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 1,380.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter | LF | 408 | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | 18,360.00 |
| Bus Parking Area | TONS | 132 | \$ | 130.00 | \$ | 17,160.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 40,700.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,140.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 48,840.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$50,000 |  |  |  |


| 1D: Install Curb Bump-Outs at 6th St and 7th St Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | it Cost |  | Cost |
| Removal | SY | 445 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 4,450.00 |
| Sidewalk | SY | 290 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 17,400.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter | LF | 440 | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | 19,800.00 |
| ADA Ramps | EA | 8 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 16,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 57,650.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 11,530.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 69,180.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$75,000 |  |  |  |



| 2A: Install 8' or 10' Shared-Use Path on South Side from 8th to 11th St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item | Unit | Quantity |  | nit Cost |  | Cost |
|  | Removal | SY | 1357 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 6,785.00 |
|  | Sidewalk | SY | 1300 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 78,000.00 |
|  | ADA Ramps | EA | 3 | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | 6,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 90,785.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 18,157.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 108,942.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Estimated C | st | 10,000 |


| 2B1: Install Shared Lane Markings (Sharrows) from 11th St to 20th St |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| Sharrows |  |  |  |  |
| EA | 30 | $\$$ | 250.00 | $\$$ |

2B2: 6' Designated On-Street Bike Lanes on Each Side of 12th Ave from 11th St to 20th St


2B3: Replace Existing South Sidewalk with 8' Shared-Use Path from 11th St to 20th St


## 2C: Install Crosswalk at 19 1/2 St



2D: Remove Parking Area on South Side near 9th St Realign Approach into Campus Lots

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removal | LF | 247 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 2,470.00 |
| Pavement | TON | 164 | \$ 130.00 | \$ 21,320.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter | LF | 268 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 12,060.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 35,850.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  | \$ 7,170.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 43,020.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$45,000 |  |

2E: Realign 11th St Intersection to Improve Horizontal Alignment

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removal | SY | 1027 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 10,270.00 |
| Pavement | TON | 700 | \$ 130.00 | \$ 91,000.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter | LF | 550 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 24,750.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 126,020.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  | \$ 25,204.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 151,224.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$150,000 |  |

2F: Construct Grade Raise of 20th St Intersection to Improve Profile with BNSF RR Tracks


| 3A: Construct PED Bicycle Crossing on East Side of 20th St South a BNSF Railroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Cost |  | Cost |
| Sidewalk and Crossing Plates | Lump SUM | 1 | \$ | 135,560.00 | \$ | 135,560.00 |
| Drainage/Dirtwork | Lump SUM | 1 | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 25,000.00 |
| Quad Gates for Quiet Zone | EA | 4 | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 200,000.00 |
| Insurance | EA | 1 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 365,560.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 73,112.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 438,672.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$450,000 |  |  |  |

3B: Add New 10' Shared-Use Path on South Side

| Item | Unit | Quantity |  | nit Cost |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk | SY | 2085 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 125,100.00 |
| Drainage | Lump SUM | 1 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| Curb \& Gutter | LF | 1800 | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | 81,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 211,100.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 42,220.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 253,320.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$250,000 |  |  |  |

3C: Install Curb Ramp and Concrete Waiting Area at 25th Street South Bus Stop



| 4A: Upgrade Existing Sidwalks to Current ADA Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost |  | Cost |  |
| Removals | EA | 80 | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 4,000.00 |
| ADA Ramps | EA | 80 |  | 2,000.00 | \$ | 160,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 164,000.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 32,800.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  |  | \$ | 196,800.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$200,000 |  |  |  |

4B: Review and Enforce Parking Policies, Paint Curb to Restrict Parking Near Access

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paint | EA | 10500 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 10,500.00 |
| Removals | LF | 10500 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 1,050.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 11,550.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  | \$ 2,310.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 13,860.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$15,000 |  |


| 4D: Bury Overhead Electric Lines |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| Underground Lines | LF | 10500 | \$ 35.00 | \$ 367,500.00 |
| Remove/Replace Trees | EA | 73 | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ 73,000.00 |
| Remove OH Lines | LF | 10500 | \$ 20.00 | \$ 210,000.00 |
| Dirtwork | LUMP SUM | 1 | \$ 275,000.00 | \$ 275,000.00 |
| Remove OH Poles | EA | 63 | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ 189,000.00 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 1,114,500.00 |
| Contingencies (20\%) |  |  |  | \$ 222,900.00 |
| Construction Cost TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 1,337,400.00 |
|  |  |  | Estimated Cost: \$1,350,000 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Queue for the movements taken from SimTraffic reports ( 60 min run)
    ${ }^{2}$ Thru lane storage is taken as the distance to the prior intersection

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Queue for the movements taken from SimTraffic reports ( 60 min run)
    ${ }^{2}$ Thru lane storage is taken as the distance to the prior intersection

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Queue for the movements taken from SimTraffic reports ( 60 min run)

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Queue for the movements taken from SimTraffic reports ( 60 min run)

