

# Public Input Meeting #1

#### October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014









### Transportation Issues





### Study Area

KO Line = 3.9 Min/Train Closed 16% (2014) to 28% (2040) of Day Legend # 2014 Daily Traffic # 2040 Daily Traffic

<u>Prosper Line = 5.9 Min/Train</u> Closed 19% (2014) to 34% (2040) of Day

#### 8<sup>th</sup> Street 11<sup>th</sup> Street 14<sup>th</sup> Street **Prosper Line 32 Through Trains** 2000 **1st Ave** 88 46 Blockages 58 Through Trains Center Ave **53 Through Trains** 60 Blockages 000 **KO** Line 6 105 Blockage 93/Through Trains Main Ave



**Minor Arterial** 



# Past Studies









#### **Previous Studies**



## Grade Separation Feasibility Study

- »Fatal flaws at local road crossings:
  - » 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Streets
- »Studied 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Streets in Detail
- »11<sup>th</sup> Street was Most Favorable Location



### TH 10 and 75 Corridor Studies

#### **Capacity Improvements**

#### TH 10 Build Alternative C – Underpass (Segment 2)



#### **TH 75 Reroute Analysis**





## Grade Separation Demand









#### FHWA Grade Separation Criteria



### Criteria Met

#### **Train Volume**

»Tier  $1 \ge 150$  Trains/Day »Tier  $2 \ge 75$  Trains/Day

## **Future**

#### 8<sup>th</sup> Street 11<sup>th</sup> Street 14<sup>th</sup> Street **Prosper Subdivision** 11= 11 **Center Ave KO** Subdivision Main Ave









#### Tier 2 (Lower)







#### Tier 2 (Lower)





#### **Vehicle Delay** Criteria Met »Tier 1 > 40 Hours of Delay/Day »Tier 2 > 30 Hours of Delay/Day Today 8<sup>th</sup> Street 11<sup>th</sup> Street 14<sup>th</sup> Street **Prosper Subdivision** 11= 11 **Center Ave KO** Subdivision Main Ave

#### Tier 2 (Lower)





## Criteria Met

**Future** 

**Vehicle Delay** 

»Tier 1 > 40 Hours of Delay/Day

»Tier 2 > 30 Hours of Delay/Day

#### 8<sup>th</sup> Street 11<sup>th</sup> Street 14<sup>th</sup> Street **Prosper Subdivision** 115 11 **Center Ave KO Subdivision** Main Ave







### Summary



>> Out of... >> 11 Tier 1 Criteria >> 12 Tier 2 Criteria





## **Other Deficiencies**









### Traffic Operations

»Train Delays and Queues Affect Adjacent Intersections





#### Safety

#### >> Train Related Crashes

- » 1976-2008: 1 Crash Per Year
- » 2008: Quiet Zone Study
- » 2008-2014: 0 Crashes

#### » Vehicle Crashes:

- >30 Crashes/Year Along Study Corridors
- » 26% Rear-End Crashes -50% State Average
- » 44% Right-Angle Crashes +250% State Average





Manner of Collision for Fatal Crashes at Signalized Intersections

#### Emergency Response

»9 Calls/Month Delayed by Trains (4-23 to 10-1) » 2/3 Medical Assist

» KO Line = 3.9 Minutes/Train

»Prosper Line = 5.9 Minutes/Train

"The size of a fire is thought to double every 60 seconds" – Firetactics.com

"When a heart stops, brain damage can occur within four to six minutes" – American Heart Association



### **Pedestrians and Bicycles**

#### » Delays at Crossings



#### Transit





## Review of Previously Considered Alternatives









### 8<sup>th</sup> Street Grade Separation







### Alternative Comparison

» Preliminary Ranking:

- 1. 11<sup>th</sup> Street
- 2. 14<sup>th</sup> Street
- **3.** 8<sup>th</sup> Street
  - 1 Fewer Benefits

5 Greater Benefits

Scrooning Critoria	Grade Separation Alternatives										
Screening Criteria	8th Street	11th Street	14th Street								
Property Impacts											
Potential Property Impacts	●0000	●●●00	●●000								
Category Ranking	1	3	2								
Safety											
Crash History (2008-2013)	●0000	●0000	●0000								
Conflict Potential (Crossing Exposure)	••••	<b>•••</b> •0	●●●00								
Category Ranking	3	2.5	2								
Emergency Vehicle Access											
Unrestricted Access and Optimized Routes	●●●00	••••	<b>•••</b> •0								
Category Ranking	3	5	4								
Traffic Delay and Mobility											
Train Delay Reduction	••••	<b>●●●</b> ●O	●●●00								
Network-Wide Connectivity	●0000	••••	●●●00								
Proximity to Downtown	••••	<b>●●●</b> ●O	●●000								
Category Ranking	3.5	4.5	2.5								
Constructability and Design											
Grade Separation	●0000	●●●00	●●●00								
Utilities	●0000	●●●00	<b>•••</b> •0								
Intersecting Streets	●0000	••••	●●000								
Category Ranking	1	4	3								
Cost											
ROW Costs	●0000	●●●00	●●●00								
Construction, Engineering and Admin Costs	●0000	●●●00	●●000								
Category Ranking	1	3	2.5								
Railroad Issues											
Shoofly Construction	●0000	••••	●●●00								
Category Ranking		4	3								
Overall Ranking	-48%	26	-27%								

#### Disadvantages

**Poor Connectivity** 



## 8<sup>th</sup> Street Alternative

#### **Advantages**

» Highest Traffic Volumes »In Heart of Downtown



### 11<sup>th</sup> Street Alternative



#### Disadvantages

»Not Directly in Downtown

» Property Impacts

#### **Advantages**

» Fewest Property Impacts

» Greatest N-S Connectivity

» Greatest Roadway-Track Separation

» Closest to Fire Station



### 14<sup>th</sup> Street Alternatives

#### Disadvantages



#### **Advantages**

 » Fewest Utility Impacts
» Improved Connectivity vs. 8<sup>th</sup> Street





# Next Steps









#### Study Process





### Future Traffic Studies

#### One-Way Conversion Study

#### Downtown Multimodal Plan







#### Purpose and Need & Environmental Review

» Linking Planning Phase with Design & Permitting (NEPA)





### **Alternative Evaluation**

»4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Street already dismissed;

»8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 14, and <u>No Build</u>

» How do they address Purpose & Need

» Decision Document/Memorandum

» Catalyze Local, State, and Federal Agencies around a technically feasible alternative;

Linkages

Saftey



5th 6th 10th

11th Street

Prioritized

Alternative

Capacity

Delay

### Funding Assessment



» State General Fund;

#### Local Funding - Limited

MOORHEAD

- » Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
  - » Assessments/City-wide Property Tax Levy (inclusive of bonding)
  - » Municipal State Aid (MSAS)

As Study Proceeds funding options will be refined/developed into a more detailed "strategy"



### Schedule

- » Your comments on tonight's information due 11/13/2014
- » Purpose & Need November
- » Alternatives & Functional Design Plans January
- » PIM #2 January/February
- » Alternatives Analysis April/May
- » Cost Benefit Analysis May
- » Decisions Document June

Project Development (Major Milestones)	2014								2015											
	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	
Notice to Proceed		•	6-19-:	2014																
Project Start-Up		٠	week	of 6-	24-20	014														
SRC Meeting #1 - Kickoff Meeting			٠	7-15-2	014					$\geq$										
Task 1: Data Collection, Traffic Forecasting and Issue Identification					7-1-3	2014	to 9-1	·2014												
Tech Memo #1: Existing and Projected Conditions					9-	3-201	4	/												
SRC Meeting #2					<b>•</b> w	veeko	of 9-9	2014												
Public Input Meeting #1					٠.	week	of 9-1	6-201	4											
Tech Memo #2: Purpose and Need							0-16-2	2014												
SRC Meeting #3				/		٠	week	of 10-	21-20	014										
Task 4: Alternative Development and Functional Design Plans									10-	21-20	014 to	1-9-2	015							
SRC Meeting #4									٠	week	of 1-1	3-2019	5							
PIM #2: Alternatives Public Workshop									•	week	of 1-1	9-201	15							
TechMemo #3: Public Involvement Summary											2-28-	2015								
Task 6: Alternative Analysis/Review														1-26-2	2015 to	9 4-17-	2015			
TechMemo #4: Alternatives Analysis													•	4-17-2	2015					
SRC Meeting #5													٠	week	of 4-2	21-201	5			
Tech Memo #5: Cost-Benefit Analysis												5-1	5-201	5						
Project Decisions Document/Memorandum													6-	15-201	15					
SRC Meeting #6 – Presentation of Draft Report												week	of 6-:	22-20	15 🔶					
Approvals on Products from Tasks 1-7													1	Augus	t 2015	•				
Project Closeout/Wrap up of FM COG Component of Contract														Septe	ember	2015	•			

Meeting
Deliverable
Milestone

### How to Comment

»Informally at the meeting

» Written Comment Sheet

»E-mail:

- » Wade.Frank@kljeng.com
- » Subject line: "Moorhead Grade Separation"



